#### Supplementary data

#### **Appendix 1 – Glossary**

AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases: clinically important cephalosporinases encoded by the chromosomes of many Enterobacteriaceae or (less often) by plasmids. High-level expression confers resistance to penicillins (except temocillin), cephalosporins (except cefepime), aztreonam and penicillin-  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor combinations.

Antimicrobial: A substance that kills or inhibits the growth of microorganisms. This includes antibiotics and totally synthetic compounds.

Bacteraemia: The presence of micro-organisms in the blood stream

 $\beta$ -lactamases: Enzymes produced by some bacteria that confer resistance to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics such as penicillins and cephalosporins, by breaking down the central structure of the antibiotic.

Carbapenemases: These are β-lactamases that inactivate carbapenems such as meropenem; most also attack and confer resistance to penicillins and cephalosporins CBA – (Controlled before and after study) is a more limited assessment than interrupted time series because it does not contain an initial pre-study period to examine underlying trends not a post-study period to assess the sustainability of trend, A crossover study design may exclude bias due to sequential change,

CCG: Clinical Commissioning Group. This is a locality based authority in England responsible for primary care services and placing financial contracts with local hospitals for specific services CQUIN: NHS England Commissioning for Quality and Innovation payments framework, to encourage care providers to share and continually improve how care is delivered and to achieve transparency and overall improvement in healthcare.

Cluster randomized controlled clinical trial. This is a trial where groups of individuals rather than individuals are randomized to treatment. This complex study design may reduce the chances of one patient's treatment having an effect on detection of effects in a patient randomized to a different treatment in the dame environment.

Colonization: Situation whereby microorganisms establish themselves in a particular environment, such as a body surface, without producing disease

Community-acquired: infection that is acquired outside of hospitals.

Community-onset or community-associated: usually defined as infection or colonization detected in an outpatient or within 48 hours of hospital admission. Recommended to permit extension to 72hours

CCT – (Controlled clinical trial) A ckinical trial where there is a comparative arm that is not randomized.

ESBL (extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase):  $\beta$ -Lactamases that attack cephalosporins with an oxyimino side chain, for example, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, ceftolozane as well as the oxyimino-monobactam aztreonam. Unlike AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases (q.v.) they are inhibited by clavulanic acid and tazobactam and unlike carbapenemases (q.v.) they do not attack carbapenems. Avibactam inhibitis them and AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases.

Healthcare – associated (acquired) : infection or colonization detected in an in-patient more than 48 hours after hospital admission or in a resident of a nursing (or residential) home.Recommended to permit extension to 72hours Hospital-onset or Hospital-associated (-acquired): infection or colonization detected in an inpatient more than 48 hours after hospital admission. Recommended to permit extension to 72 hours.

IMP carbapenemase (of MBL class) prevalent particularly in Asia and Australia sometimes in association with a second carbapenemase (*bla*<sub>KPC</sub>) gene Infection: Invasion by and multiplication of pathogenic microorganisms in the body, producing tissue injury and disease, requiring treatment.

ITS – (Interrupted time series). A series of sequential cases where an intervention is made in the middle of the study as in before and after studies but additional time periods before and after the two comparative periods are included to give information omn prior trends and sustainability. studied. There may be further interventions in the series similarly studied.

KPC *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing bacteria are drug-resistant Gram negative bacilli which spread rapidly and cause significant morbidity and mortality. They are the most prevalent carbapenemase producers encoded by the *bla*<sub>KPC</sub> gene, which can be found in other Gram negative species.

MBL (Metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase) producing Gram negative bacteria use a Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion in expressing resistance to carbapenems and other B-lactams

MDR GNB – (Multi-drug resistant Gram-negative bacteria) are defined as bacteria resistant to at least three different antibiotic classes or susceptible to only one or two classes. NDM New Delhi metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase is a carbapenemase located on a mobile genetic element  $bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$  and is found on plasmids of various sizes. It is found in various species making outbreaks more difficult to identify.

OXA-48 carbapenemases hydrolyze penicillins at a high level but carbapenems at a low level sparing broad spectrum cephalosporins and are no susceptible to  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors. Recogniition in the laboratory can be difficult. The gene *bla*<sub>OXA-48</sub> is carried on a transposon and can be in a plasmid or chromosome.

Outbreak: at least two similar (i.e. not distinct) cases related in time and place

Porins: These are proteins that span the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and mycobacteria forming pores that allow the entry of small water-soluble molecules, including antibiotics.

RCT (randomised controlled trial). Trials where patient allocation to the control and test arms of the study are allocated at random. They can be open label where treating physicians know which arm a patient has been allocated to or blinded where this is not the case. The latter is less likely to be subject to bias.

VIM MBL is a carbapenemase predominantly found in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* but found in Enterobacteriaceae as well. The genes *blavim* are located on mobile integrons .

# Appendix 2 Remit scope and related NICE guidelines

# Joint BSAC/HIS/BIA Working Party on Multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria

#### 2.1. Guideline title

Treatment of MDR Gram-negative bacteria – report from a Joint Working Party Short title: Treatment of Multi-Drug-Resistant Gram negative bacteria

# 2.2. Clinical need for the guideline

#### Epidemiology

There are a rising number of MDR Gram-negative infections across community and hospital care and the dual problems of finding an appropriate antibiotic and preventing spread.

APRHAI has recently produced brief guidelines on infection control and treatment options for these infections.

There is significant interest attracted by the May 2010 BSAC conference examining the dearth of new antibiotics effective against Gram-negative bacteria.

The Department of Health's recognised that whilst control of MRSA and C difficile has been relatively successful, Gram-negative infections have continued to increase. Consequent to this is the surveillance subcommittee of APRHAI recommendation that E. coli bacteraemia be included in mandatory surveillance.

# Current practice

Members of BSAC and HIS, with the knowledge of the Councils of each, have been discussing the issues surrounding the recent increase in infections with multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in UK hospitals.

Following discussions and consideration of the forthcoming APRHAI report we now believe it an appropriate time to set up a Joint Working Party to look at making authoritative recommendations both for treatment and prevention of transmission of these infections.

# 2.3. The remit

To examine and make recommendations both for treatment and prevention of transmission of multi-drug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative infections, resulting in the publication of guidelines on:

- current epidemiology and infection control issues; and
- therapeutic issues and antibiotic guidance for treating infections caused by MDR Gram-negative bacteria.

For the purposes of this Working Party, the remit will mainly include infections in critical and non-critical care patients in secondary care. However, the same general principles would apply in community settings, particularly in areas where inappropriate treatment is encouraging selection. Consideration will be given to laboratory testing and susceptibility testing, although only screening and confirmatory tests available in a general microbiology laboratory. The use of antibiotic combinations in the therapy of infections will be considered, both parenteral and oral agents.

# 2.4. The Guideline

The guideline development process is described on the NICE website and reproduced in Appendix 3. The Working Party will follow the SIGN process when developing guidance including the hosting of a national stakeholder meeting as part of the national stakeholder consultation process.

# 2.5. The Scope

Defines what the guideline will and will not examine and what the guideline developers will consider. The scope is based on the referral from the three Societies and is the final scope.

# 2.5.1. Population Groups that will be covered

a) Adults

Particular consideration given to patients of 65 years and older, and people at high risk of acquiring multi-resistant bacteria such as those requiring care in hospital settings

b) Children over 1 month old

# 2.5.2. Key clinical issues that will be covered

- a) Antimicrobial treatment of MDR Gram-negative infections
- b) Antimicrobial stewardship
- c) Epidemiology

d) Surveillance

e) Infection prevention: standards, hand and environmental hygiene, organizational structures

Clinical situations that will not be covered include:

Cystic fibrosis

Community outbreaks

# 2.5.3. Infections that will be covered

Those caused by the following organisms

Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp. including Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp., Proteus spp., Serratia spp., Citrobacter freundii, Morganella morgani

Sexually transmitted infections, *Helicobacter* ssp. *Salmonella* ssp. and some anaerobes are Gram-negative and are increasingly resistant, but were excluded because relevant public health control actions are substantially different or they have not been researched.

# 2.5.4. Antibiotics that will be considered

Standard antibiotics currently in use such as most cephalosporins, coamoxiclav, piperacillin/tazobactam quinolones, temocillin (pivmecillinam is the oral formulation of mecillinam

*Old antibiotics that have been re-introduced*: such as aminoglycosides (including gentamicin and amikacin), colistin, fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin *Recently developed antibiotics*: tigecycline, cefepime, new B-lactam-B-lactamase inhibitor combinations and carbapenems or those new agents at preliminary stages of testing.

# 2.5.5. Healthcare settings

All settings in which NHS care is received

# 2.6. Main outcomes

Outputs will be the production of guidelines, which will be approved via a process of national consultation. The intention is to inform and guide practice but also to highlight areas where more research is needed. The following will be produced and published as indicated: Current epidemiology and infection control issues – Journal of Hospital Infection Therapeutic issues and antibiotic guidance for treating infections caused by multiresistant Gram-negatives – Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy In addition, it is expected that each Journal will carry a leading article or review article on the guidance that is published by the joint societies.

# 2.7. Recommendations for practice

Treatment Surveillance Screening Prevention of transmission Cleaning and environment

# 2.8. Economic aspects

Developers will take into account both clinical and cost effectiveness when making recommendations involving a choice between alternative interventions. Failure to implement the recommendations would result in greater costs in terms of life expectancy or quality. Screening and isolation will result in significant cost pressures where this is not currently practised, but these costs are set against reduced transmission and fewer cases needing antibiotic treatment. Prolonged isolation can have adverse effects on a patient's psychological health, so may have additional unexpected costs.

# 2.9. Patient Representation and Equality

Patient representatives are invited to all meetings and involved in the writing and drafting of the guidelines. As part of these discussions potential impacts on equality of groups sharing protected characteristics are considered and incorporated into the guidelines. Health inequalities associated with socioeconomic factors and with inequities in access for groups to healthcare and social care are considered and opportunities identified to improve health.

#### 2.10. Status

#### 2.10.1 Scope

This is the final scope.

# 2.10.2 Timing

The development of the guideline recommendation began in July 2011.

# **Appendix 3 Guideline development process**

# 3.1. Guidance document

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. *SIGN 50: a guideline developer's handbook.* Revised edition. Edinburgh: Healthcare Improvement Scotland; 2014. Available at: <u>http://www.sign.ac.uk</u> [last accessed April 2017].

# 3.2. Related NICE guidance

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Infection: prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in primary and community care. NICE Clinical Guideline 139. London: NICE; 2012. Last updated: February 2017. Available at: http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg139 [ last accessed April 2017].

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Antimicrobial stewardship: prescribing antibiotics. London: NICE; Published date: January 2015 Last updated: January 2017. Available at:

<u>https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/ktt9/chapter/evidence-context</u> [ last accessed July 2017]

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. .Urinary Tract Infection in Adults. London: NICE; Quality standard [QS90] Published date: June 2015. Available at: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs90/chapter/introduction</u>

NICE approved guideline: Wilson AP, Livermore DM, Otter JA, et al. Prevention and control of multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: recommendations from a Joint Working Party. *J Hosp Infect* 2016; 92 Suppl 1: S1-S44. Available at : http://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/article/S0195-6701(15)00314-X/pdf

# 3.3. Process followed

The subject was identified by the Scientific Development Committee of the Healthcare Infection Society in February 2011 and approved by HIS in May 2011. The BSAC Council agreed a similar proposal at the same time. BIA Council agreed to join in September 2011. The members were chosen to reflect the range of stakeholders and not limited to members of the three Societies. The questions were decided at the first meeting of the Group in November 2011 from issues presented to the members and patient representatives by staff and patients in the preceding months. Each was debated by the Group before adoption. Enhance Reviews was paid for the serach and data extraction. Working Party members were not paid except for travel expenses.

#### 3.4. Conflict of Interests

Conflicts of interest were registered at the outset and renewed during the process. They are stated in the Transparency declaration of the Report. In the event of a potential conflict being identified, the Working Party agreed that the member should not contribute to the section affected. With one exception, no interests were declared that required any actions and this related to the infection control paper produced by the working party.

#### 3.5. PICO

**Patients**: All patient groups were included. The guideline is careful not to make recommendations which may prejudice clinical care based on gender, age, ethnicity or socio-economic status.

**Interventions**: interventions were identified in the literature to generate intervention specific recommendations

**Comparisons**: comparisons between intervention and standard management were used;

**Outcomes** were objective referring to length of hospital stay, mortality, rate of acquisition or infection.

#### 3.6. Systematic Review Questions: Infection Control

- 1. What is the definition of Multidrug Resistant Gram-negative bacilli?
- 2. What Gram-negative bacilli cause infection control problems?
- 3. What are the relative contributions of community and hospital acquisition?

- 4. What is the evidence for reservoir and spread of mulitresistant Gram-negatives in Care Homes and secondary care?
- 5. What is the role of agricultural use of sewage and antibiotic treatment in veterinary practice in spreading ESBL?
- 6. What insights has national *E. coli* bacteraemia surveillance provided?
- 7. What is the role for screening in patients and staff?
- 8. What organisms should screening include?
- 9. Who, how and when to screen patients for Multidrug Resistant Gram-negative bacilli?
- 10. What can be done concerning patients unable to consent to a rectal swab?
- 11. How frequently does screening need to be performed?
- 12. Is there evidence for effective interventions on positive patients i.e. can carriage be cleared?
- 13. Selective decontamination: Why is it not used? Is there a role?
- 14. When should the environment be sampled?
- 15. What is the evidence that respiratory equipment contributes to transmission?
- 16. What national surveillance is performed and how should it be developed?
- 17. What is the evidence that sensor taps contribute to transmission?
- 18. Is there any cleaning method more effective than others at removing the Multidrug Resistant Gram-negative bacilli from the environment?
- 19. What is the evidence that infection control precautions prevent transmission?
- 20. Are standard infection control measures sufficient to stop transmission?
- 21. What are the minimum standards to stop spread in public areas, primary care or care homes?
- 22. Is there evidence for high/low risk areas within a healthcare facility?
- 23. Are there any organisational structures within a healthcare facility that play a role in the successful control of multi-resistant Gram-negative bacilli?
- 24. How should we undertake local screening, why is it important and how should it be interpreted?
- 25. At what point should passive surveillance switch to active surveillance i.e. screening?
- 26. What is the role of isolation in the care home/hospital settings?

Is there evidence of differences between organisms in respect of transmission, morbidity and mortality:

3.7. Antimicrobial Chemotherapy -Systematic Review Questions

- 1. What is the clinical importance of carbapenemases versus AmpC and CTX-M strains?
- 2. What impact have returning travellers made on UK epidemiology?
- 3. What is the global epidemiology of MDR-GNR?
- 4. How do Multidrug Resistant Enterobacteriaceae differ from the non-fermenters in terms of their prevalence and associated resistance genes?
- 5. What is the efficacy of carbapenems, mecillinam, temocillin, fosfomycin and colistin against specific pathogens?
- 6. What are the recommended antibiotics for community/secondary/tertiary care?
- 7. What is the threshold level of resistance for changing choice of empirical treatment for urinary infection?

#### Appendix 4 Systematic Review

#### 4.1. Databases and Search terms Used 23/5/14<sup>i</sup>

#### 4.1.1. Databases

The Cochrane Library; MEDLINE; EMBASE; CINAHL

MeSH Terms See 4.2.

Free text terms. See 4.2.

Search Date: Medline 1946-2014; Embase 1980-2012; CINAHL (1984-2012)

Search Results (Figure 1)

Total number of articles located after duplicates removed = 2523

Sift 1 Criteria

Abstract screening: Systematic review, primary research, infection relates to MDR Gram-negative infection, informs one or more review question

Articles Retrieved

Total number of studies selected = 597

Sift 2 Criteria

Full text confirms that the article is primary research (randomised controlled trial, nonrandomised controlled trials, controlled before and after studies, interrupted time series, case control study, case series, prospective cohort, systematic review; informs one or more of the review questions.

Articles selected for appraisal (10 full text publications could not be retrieved)

Total number of studies selected = 49

Critical appraisal

Articles presenting primary research or a systematic review and meeting the sift criteria were critically appraised by two reviewers using SIGN and EPOC criteria. Consensus was achieved through discussion

Accepted and Rejected Evidence

No meta analyses were available

Accepted after critical appraisal 49

Rejected after critical appraisal 0

# 4.2. Search

# 4.2.1. CINAHL (January 1984-December 2012)

#	Query	Results
S83	S48 AND S82	275
S82	S55 OR S56 OR S81	515,966
S81	S57 or S58 or S59 or S60 or S61 or S62 or S63 or S64 or S65 or S66 or S67 or S68 or S69 or S70 or S71 or S72 or S73 or S74 or S75 or S76 or S77 or S78 or S79 or S80	471,263
S80	TI ( (time points n3 over) or (time points n3 multiple) or (time points n3 three) or (time points n3 four) or (time points n3 five) or (time points n3 six) or (time points n3 seven) or (time points n3 eight) or (time points n3 nine) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 twelve) or (time points n3 month*) or (time points n3 hour*) or (time points n3 day*) or (time points n3 'more than') ) or AB ( (time points n3 over) or (time points n3 multiple) or (time points n3 six) or (time points n3 four) or (time points n3 five) or (time points n3 six) or (time points n3 seven) or (time points n3 eight) or (time points n3 nine) or (time points n3 three) or (time points n3 seven) or (time points n3 eight) or (time points n3 nine) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 eleven) or (time points n3 ten) or (time points n3 hour*) or (time points n3 hour*) or (time points n3 hour*) or (time points n3 day*) or (time points n3 'more than') )	1,527
S78	TI ( multicentre or multicenter or multi-centre or multi-center ) or AB random*	101,899
S77	TI random* OR controlled	94,669
S76	TI ( trial or (study n3 aim) or 'our study' ) or AB ( (study n3 aim) or 'our study' )	87,121
S75	TI ( pre-workshop or preworkshop or post-workshop or postworkshop or (before n3 workshop) or (after n3 workshop) ) or AB ( pre-workshop or preworkshop or post-workshop or postworkshop or (before n3 workshop) or (after n3 workshop) )	283
S74	TI ( demonstration project OR demonstration projects OR preimplement* or pre-implement* or post-implement* or postimplement* ) or AB ( demonstration project OR demonstration projects OR preimplement* or pre-implement* or post-implement* or postimplement* )	1,290

#	Query	Results
S73	(intervention n6 clinician*) or (intervention n6 community) or (intervention n6 complex) or (intervention n6 design*) or (intervention n6 doctor*) or (intervention n6 educational) or (intervention n6 family doctor*) or (intervention n6 family physician*) or (intervention n6 family practitioner*) or (intervention n6 financial) or (intervention n6 GP) or (intervention n6 general practice*) Or (intervention n6 hospital*) or (intervention n6 impact*) Or (intervention n6 individualise*) or (intervention n6 individualize*) Or (intervention n6 individualise*) or (intervention n6 individualize) or (intervention n6 individualising) or (intervention n6 interdisciplin*) or (intervention n6 multicomponent) or (intervention n6 multi-component) or (intervention n6 multidisciplin*) or (intervention n6 multi-disciplin*) or (intervention n6 multifacet*) or (intervention n6 multi-facet*) or (intervention n6 multifacet*) or (intervention n6 multi-facet*) or (intervention n6 personalize*) or(intervention n6 multi-modal*) or (intervention n6 personalize) or (intervention n6 personalise) or (intervention n6 personalize) or (intervention n6 personalising) or (intervention n6 pharmaci*) or (intervention n6 personalising) or (intervention n6 pharmaci*) or (intervention n6 pharmacist*) or (intervention n6 pharmaci*) or (intervention n6 pharmacist*) or (intervention n6 practitioner*) Or (intervention n6 prescrib*) or (intervention n6 prescription*) or (intervention n6 primary care) or (intervention n6 professional*) or (intervention n6 provider*) or (intervention n6 regulatory) or (intervention n6 regulatory) or (intervention n6 tailor*) or (intervention n6 target*) or (intervention n6 tailor*) or (intervention n6 target*) or (intervention n6 target*) or (intervention n6 target*) or (intervention n6 target*) o	23,198
S72	TI ( collaborativ* or collaboration* or tailored or personalised or personalized ) or AB ( collaborativ* or collaboration* or tailored or personalised or personalized )	38,021
S71	TI pilot	13,958
S70	(MH 'Pilot Studies')	36,433
S69	AB 'before-and-after'	17,437
S68	AB time series	1,670
S67	TI time series	359
S66	AB ( before* n10 during or before n10 after ) or AU ( before* n10 during or before n10 after )	32,982
S65	TI ( (time point*) or (period* n4 interrupted) or (period* n4 multiple) or (period* n4 time) or (period* n4 various) or (period* n4 varying) or (period* n4 week*) or (period* n4 month*) or (period* n4 year*) ) or AB ( (time point*) or (period* n4 interrupted) or (period* n4 multiple) or (period* n4 time) or (period* n4 various) or (period* n4 varying) or (period* n4 week*) or (period* n4 month*) or (period* n4 year*) )	51,050

#	Query	Results
S64	TI ( (quasi-experiment* or quasiexperiment* or quasi-random* or quasirandom* or quasi control* or quasicontrol* or quasi* W3 method* or quasi* W3 study or quasi* W3 studies or quasi* W3 trial or quasi* W3 design* or experimental W3 method* or experimental W3 study or experimental W3 studies or experimental W3 trial or experimental W3 design* ) ) or AB ( (quasi-experiment* or quasiexperiment* or quasi- random* or quasirandom* or quasi control* or quasicontrol* or quasi* W3 method* or quasi* W3 study or quasi* W3 studies or quasi* W3 method* or quasi* W3 study or quasi* W3 studies or quasi* W3 trial or quasi* W3 design* or experimental W3 method* or experimental W3 study or experimental W3 studies or experimental W3 trial or experimental W3 design* ) )	12,758
S63	TI pre w7 post or AB pre w7 post	9,367
S62	MH 'Multiple Time Series' or MH 'Time Series'	1,312
S61	TI ( (comparative N2 study) or (comparative N2 studies) or evaluation study or evaluation studies ) or AB ( (comparative N2 study) or (comparative N2 studies) or evaluation study or evaluation studies )	11,680
S60	MH Experimental Studies or Community Trials or Community Trials or Pretest-Posttest Design + or Quasi-Experimental Studies + Pilot Studies or Policy Studies + Multicenter Studies	34,567
S59	TI ( pre-test* or pretest* or posttest* or post-test* ) or AB ( pre-test* or pretest* or posttest* or 'post test* ) OR TI ( preimplement*' or pre- implement* ) or AB ( pre-implement* or preimplement* )	6,868
S58	TI ( intervention* or multiintervention* or multi-intervention* or postintervention* or post-intervention* or preintervention* or pre- intervention* ) or AB ( intervention* or multiintervention* or multi- intervention* or postintervention* or post-intervention* or preintervention* or pre-intervention* )	151,748
S57	(MH 'Quasi-Experimental Studies')	5,747

#	Query	Results
S56	(TI (systematic* n3 review*)) or (AB (systematic* n3 review*)) or (TI (systematic* n3 bibliographic*)) or (AB (systematic* n3 bibliographic*)) or (TI (systematic* n3 literature)) or (AB (systematic* n3 literature)) or (TI (systematic* n3 review*)) or (AB (systematic* n3 review*)) or (TI (comprehensive* n3 literature)) or (AB (comprehensive* n3 literature)) or (TI (comprehensive* n3 bibliographic*)) or (AB (comprehensive* n3 bibliographic*)) or (JN 'Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews') or (TI (information n2 synthesis)) or (TI (data n2 synthesis)) or (AB (information n2 synthesis)) or (AB (data n2 synthesis)) or (TI (data n2 extract*)) or (AB (data n2 extract*)) or (TI (medline or pubmed or psyclit or cinahl or (psycinfo not 'psycinfo database') or 'web of science' or scopus or embase)) or (AB (medline or pubmed or psyclit or cinahl or (psycinfo not 'psycinfo database') or 'web of science' or scopus or embase)) or (AB (medline or pubmed or psyclit or cinahl or (psycinfo not 'psycinfo database') or 'web of science' or scopus or embase)) or (MH 'Systematic Review') or (MH 'Meta Analysis') or (TI (meta-analy* or metaanaly*)) or (AB (meta-analy* or metaanaly*))	59,817
S55	S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54	158,596
S54	TI ( 'control* N1 clinical' or 'control* N1 group*' or 'control* N1 trial*' or 'control* N1 study' or 'control* N1 studies' or 'control* N1 design*' or 'control* N1 method*' ) or AB ( 'control* N1 clinical' or 'control* N1 group*' or 'control* N1 trial*' or 'control* N1 study' or 'control* N1 studies' or 'control* N1 design*' or 'control* N1 method*' )	1
S53	TI controlled or AB controlled	68,638
S52	TI random* or AB random*	117,418
S51	TI ( 'clinical study' or 'clinical studies' ) or AB ( 'clinical study' or 'clinical studies' )	7,969
S50	(MM 'Clinical Trials+')	10,670
S49	TI ( (multicent* n2 design*) or (multicent* n2 study) or (multicent* n2 studies) or (multicent* n2 trial*) ) or AB ( (multicent* n2 design*) or (multicent* n2 study) or (multicent* n2 studies) or (multicent* n2 trial*) )	8,917
S48	S18 AND S21 AND S47	917
S47	S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 OR S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37 OR S38 OR S39 OR S40 OR S41 OR S42 OR S43 OR S44 OR S45 OR S46	16,726

#	Query	Results
S46	TI ( (belcomycin or colicort or colimycin* or colisitin or colisticin or Colistin or colistine or colomycin or (coly n1 mycin) or colymicin or colymycin or coly-mycin or multimycin or (Polymyxin n1 E) or totazina) ) OR AB ( (belcomycin or colicort or colimycin* or colisitin or colisticin or Colistin or colistine or colomycin or (coly n1 mycin) or colymicin or colymycin or coly-mycin or multimycin or (Polymyxin n1 E) or totazina) )	171
S45	(MH 'Colistin')	134
S44	TI ( ((amdinocillin n1 pivoxil) or (FL n1 '1039') or FL1039 or fl1039 or FL-1039 or pivamdinocillin or Pivmecillinam or Selexid or coactabs or (ro n1 '109071') or (ro10 n1 '9071') or ro109071) ) OR AB ( ((amdinocillin n1 pivoxil) or (FL n1 '1039') or FL1039 or fl1039 or FL- 1039 or pivamdinocillin or Pivmecillinam or Selexid or coactabs or (ro n1 '109071') or (ro10 n1 '9071') or ro109071) )	13
S43	TI ( ((Cephalosporanic n1 Acid*) or Cephalosporin* or Cefamandole or Cefoperazone or Cefazolin or Cefonicid or Cefsulodin or Cephacetrile or Cefotaxime or Cephalothin or Cephapirin or Cephalexin or Cefaclor or Cefadroxil or Cephaloglycin or Cephradine or Cephaloridine or Ceftazidime or Cephamycins or Cefmetazole or Cefotetan or Cefoxitin) ) OR AB ( ((Cephalosporanic n1 Acid*) or Cephalosporin* or Cefamandole or Cefoperazone or Cefazolin or Cefonicid or Cefsulodin or Cephacetrile or Cefotaxime or Cephalothin or Cephapirin or Cefnalexin or Cefaclor or Cefadroxil or Cephaloglycin or Cephapirin or Cephalexin or Cefaclor or Cefadroxil or Cephaloglycin or Cephradine or Cephaloridine or Cefatzidime or Cephanycins or Cefmetazole or Cefotetan or Cefoxitin) )	1,569
S42	TI ( (Axepim* or bmy 28142 or bmy28142 or BMY-28142 or Cefepim* or cefepitax or ceficad or cepimax or forzyn beta or maxcef or maxfrom or maxipime or Quadrocef) ) OR AB ( (Axepim* or bmy 28142 or bmy28142 or BMY-28142 or Cefepim* or cefepitax or ceficad or cepimax or forzyn beta or maxcef or maxfrom or maxipime or Quadrocef) )	171
S41	(MH 'Cephalosporins+')	2,105

#	Query	Results
S40	TI ( (berkfurin or biofurin or chemiofuran or dantafur or f 30 or f30 or fua-med or furaben or furadantin* or furadantoin or furadina or furadoine or furadonin or furadonine or furalan or furanpur or furantocompren or furantoin* or furobactina or furofen or furophen or infurin or ituran or ivadantin or macrobid or macrodantin* or macrofuran or macrofurin or micofurantin* or mitrofuratoin or nephronex or nierofu or nifurantin or nifuryl or (nitro n1 macro) or nitrofuracin or nitrofuradantoin or nitrofurantine or nitrofurantoin* or nitrofurin or novofuran or nsc 2107 or nsc2107 or orafuran or parfuran or phenurin or (potassium n1 furagin) or ralodantin or trocurine or urantin or (uro n1 tablinen) or urodil or urodin or urofuran or urolong or urotablinen or uro-tablinen or urotoina or uvamin) ) OR AB ( (berkfurin or biofurin or chemiofuran or furadantoin or furadoine or furadonin or furadantin* or furadantoin or furadina or furadoine or furadonin or furobactina or furofen or furophen or infurin or ituran or ivadantin or macrobid or macrodantin* or macrofuran or macrofurin or nitrofurantin* or furofen or furophen or infurin or ituran or ivadantin or macrobid or macrodantin* or nitrofuradantoin or nitrofurantin* or mitrofuratoin or nephronex or nierofu or nifurantin or nifuryl or (nitro n1 macro) or nitrofuracin or nitrofuranton or nitrofurantin or nitrofurantoin* or nitrofurantin or novofuran or nos 2107 or nsc2107 or orafuran or parfuran or phenurin or (uro n1 tablinen) or urodil or urodin or urofuran or urolong or urotablinen or uro-tablinen or urotoina or uvamin) )	325
S39	TI ( ((az n1 threonam) or azactam or azenam or azthreonam or aztreonam or (corus n1 '1020') or dynabiotic or primbactam or SQ 26,776 or sq 26,776 or sq 26776 or SQ-26,776 or sq26776 or sq-26776 or urobactam) ) OR AB ( ((az n1 threonam) or azactam or azenam or azthreonam or aztreonam or (corus n1 '1020') or dynabiotic or primbactam or SQ 26,776 or sq 26,776 or sq 26776 or SQ-26,776 or sq26776 or sq-26776 or urobactam) )	96
S38	(MH 'Aztreonam')	54
S37	TI ( (fosfocil or fosfocin or fosfocina or fosfomicin or fosfomycin or fosfonomycin or 'mk 0955' or mk 955 or mk0955 or mk955 or monuril or phosphomycin or phosphonomycin) ) OR AB ( (fosfocil or fosfocin or fosfocina or fosfomicin or fosfomycin or fosfonomycin or 'mk 0955' or mk 955 or mk0955 or mk955 or monuril or phosphomycin or phosphonomycin) )	57

#	Query	Results
S36	TI ( (akacin or akicin or amicacina or amicasil or amicin or amiglymide v or amikacin* or amikafur or amikalem or amikan or amikayect or amikin or amiklin or amikozit or amiktam or amitracin or amixin or amukin or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or biokacin or briclin or briklin or chemacin or cinmik or fabianol or gamikal or glukamin or kacinth-a or kanbine or kormakin or likacin or lukadin or miacin or mikasome or onikin or oprad or orlobin or pediakin or pierami or riklinak or savox or selaxa or selemycin or sulfate amikacin or tybikin or vs 107 or vs107 or yectamid) ) OR AB ( (akacin or akicin or amicacina or amicasil or amicin or amiglymide v or amikacin* or amikafur or amikalem or amikan or amikayect or amikin or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or biokacin or briclin or briklin or chemacin or cinmik or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or in amiktam or amitracin or amixin or amikin or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or biokacin or briclin or briklin or chemacin or cinmik or fabianol or gamikal or glukamin or kacinth-a or kanbine or kormakin or likacin or lukadin or miacin or mikasome or onikin or oprad or orlobin or pediakin or pierami or riklinak or savox or selaxa or selemycin or sulfate amikacin or tybikin or vs 107 or vs107 or yectamid) )	342
S35	(MH 'Amikacin')	140

#	Query	Results
S34	TI ( (adelanin or alcomicin or apigent or apogen or apoten or azupel or bactiderm or biogaracin or bristagen or cidomycin or danigen or (frieso n1 gent) or garabiotic or garalone or garamicin* or garamycin or garbilocin or gencin or gendril or genoptic or genrex or gensumycin or gentabiotic or gentabiox or genta or gentagram or gentain or gentacor or gentacycol or gentacyl or gentafair or gentagram or gentamax or gentame* or gentalline or gentalol or gentalyn or gentamax or gentamytrex or gentalus or gentarad or gentasil or gentaayl or gentasone or gentaplus or gentarim or gentawit or gentamytor gentamytrex or gentallor or gentarim or gentawit or gentaryl or gentamytrex or gentallor or gentimycin or gentor or genycin or gentory or gentiderm or gentimycin or gentorin or genycin or gentomycin or genycin or genycine or gevramycin or genycin or gentomycin or genycin or lisagent or martigenta or migenta or miragenta or miramycin or nichogencin or nsc 82261 or nsc82261 or obogen or ocugent or ocu-mycin or lisagent or martigenta or ribomicin or rigaminol or rocy gen or rovixida or rupegen or sagestam or sch 9724 or sch9724 or sedanazin or servigenta or skinfect or sulmycin or tangyn or u-gencin or versigen or apoten or azupel or bactiderm or biogaracin or bristagen or cidomycin or danigen or dermogen or dianfarma or dispagent or duragentam* or epigent or (frieso n1 gent) or garabiotic or garalone or garamicin* or gentamyl or gentabiotic or gentacyl or gentaar or gentacylin or gentamyl or gentamyl or gentaline or gentarior or gentagram or gentak or gental or gentamy or gentarior or gentagram or gentagen or or gentaline or gental or gentagram or gentagen or gentamyl or gentaline or gentacylin or gentagen or or gentaline or gental or gentagent or gentagen or gentagen or gentary or gentacylin or gentamyl or gentamylicin or gentary or gentacylin or gentagen or or gentaline or gentary or gentacylin or gentagen or or gentagin or gentary or gentacylin or gentagen or gentagen or or gentagen or diversentagen or gentagen or geny	993
<b>333</b>		000

#	Query	Results
S32	TI ( (Aminoglycosides or Anthracyclines or Aclarubicin or Daunorubicin or Plicamycin or Butirosin Sulfate or Sisomicin or Hygromycin B or Kanamycin or Dibekacin or Nebramycin or Metrizamide or Neomycin or Framycetin or Paromomycin or Ribostamycin or Puromycin or Spectinomycin or Streptomycin or Dihydrostreptomycin Sulfate or Streptothricins or Streptozocin) ) OR AB ( (Aminoglycosides or Anthracyclines or Aclarubicin or Daunorubicin or Plicamycin or Butirosin Sulfate or Sisomicin or Hygromycin B or Kanamycin or Dibekacin or Nebramycin or Metrizamide or Neomycin or Framycetin or Paromomycin or Ribostamycin or Puromycin or Spectinomycin or Streptomycin or Dihydrostreptomycin Sulfate or Streptothricins or Streptomycin or Dihydrostreptomycin Sulfate or Streptothricins or Streptozocin) )	1,269
S31	(MH 'Aminoglycosides+')	6,215
S30	TI ( ((chinolone n1 derivative) or fluoroquinolones or (haloquinolone n1 derivative) or ketoquinolines or oxoquinolines or quinolinones or quinolones) ) OR AB ( ((chinolone n1 derivative) or fluoroquinolones or (haloquinolone n1 derivative) or ketoquinolines or oxoquinolines or quinolinones or quinolinones or quinolones) )	834
S29	(MH 'Quinolines+') OR (MH 'Antiinfective Agents, Quinolone+')	4,842
S28	TI ( (tigecycline or (tbg n1 mino) or tygacil or gar 936 or gar936 or (tert n1 butylglycinamido*)) ) OR AB ( (tigecycline or (tbg n1 mino) or tygacil or gar 936 or gar936 or (tert n1 butylglycinamido*)) )	208
S27	TI ( ((brl n1 '17421') or brl17421 or (thiophenemalonamic n1 acid) or negaban or temocillin or temopen) ) OR AB ( ((brl n1 '17421') or brl17421 or (thiophenemalonamic n1 acid) or negaban or temocillin or temopen) )	10

#	Query	Results
S26	TI ( (aclam or aktil or ambilan or amocla or amoclan or amoclav or amoksiklav or amolanic or amometin or (amox n1 clav) or amox:clav or (amoxi n1 plus) or (amoxNear/3clavulan*) or amoxiclav or amoxiclav- bid or amoxiclav-teva or amoxsiklav or amoxxlin or (amoxycillin- clavulanic n1 acid) or ancla or (auclatin n1 duo) or augamox or augmaxcil or augmentan or augmentin* or augmex or augpen or (augucillin n1 duo) or augurcin or ausclav or auspilic or bactiv or bactoclav or bioclavid or (brl n1 '25000') or brl25000 or brl-25000 or cavumox or ciblor or (clacillin n1 duo) or clamax or clamoxlin or clamobit or clawonex or clamovid or clamoxin or (clamoxyl n1 duo*) or clarin-duo or clavamox or clavar or clavinex or clavodar or clavoxil or (clavoxilin n1 plus) or clavubactin or clavudale or clavulanate- amoxicilav) or (con 1 amoxyclav) or coamoxiclav or co-amoxiclav or coamoxyclav or (cramon n1 duo) or (croanan n1 duo) or curam or danoclav or (darzitil n1 plus) or e-moxclav or enhancin or fleming or fugentin or (fullicilina n1 plus) or gumentin or hibiotic or inciclav or klamonex or kmoxilin or lactamox or lansiclav or moxiclav or moxiclav or woxclav or natravox or nufaclav or palentin or quali-mentin or ranclav or spektramox or stacillin or suplentin or synermox or xiguav or (zami n1 '8503')) OR AB ( (aclam or aktil or ambilan or amoxilar or aumoclan or amoxiclav-bid or amoxiclav-teva or amoxsiklav or auspilic or bactiv or bactoclav or bioclavid or (brl n1 '25000') or brl25000 or brl-25000 or cavumox or ciblor or (clacillin n1 duo) or clamax or clamoxyl n1 duo*) or clarin-duo or clavamox or clavarin or augmentin* or augmex or augpen or (augucillin n1 duo) or augurcin or ausclav or auspilic or bactiv or bactoclav or bioclavid or (brl n1 '25000') or brl25000 or brl-25000 or cavumox or ciblor or (clacillin n1 duo) or clamax or clamoxyl n1 duo*) or clarin-duo or clavamox or clavar or clavinex or clavoxil or (clavoxilin n1 plus) or clavaror or clavoxin or (clamoxyl n1 duo*) or clarin fuo or clavulin or (clavavin or clavina o	805

#	Query	Results
S25	TI ( (cl 307579 or cl298741 or cl307579 or tazabactam or tazobac* or tazocel or tazocillin* or tazocin or tazomax or tazonam or tazopril or yp 14 or yp14 or ytr 830 or ytr 830h or ytr830 or ytr830h or zosyn) ) OR AB ( (cl 307579 or cl298741 or cl307579 or tazabactam or tazobac* or tazocel or tazocillin* or tazocin or tazomax or tazonam or tazopril or yp 14 or yp14 or ytr 830 or ytr 830h or ytr830 or ytr830h or zosyn) )	247
S24	TI ( (acopex or avocin or cl 227,193 or Cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl227,193 or Cl227193 or cypercil or hishiyaclorin or ivacin or pentcillin or pentocillin or picillin* or pipcil or pipera hameln or piperacil or piperacillin* or piperacin or pipera-hameln or pipercillin or piperilline or pipraci* or pipraks or pipril or piprilin or pitamycin or t 1220 or t1220 or t-1220 or taiperacillin) ) OR AB ( (acopex or avocin or cl 227,193 or cl 227193 or cl 2271	296
S23	TI ( (Carbapenem* or doripenem or ertapenem or Imipemide or Imipenem or Invanoz or Invanz or meropenem or Merrem or 'MK 0787' or MK0787 or MK-0787 or N Formimidoylthienamycin or N- Formimidoylthienamycin or Penem or Ronem or S 4661 or S-4661 or SM 7338 or SM-7338 or Thienamycin*) ) OR AB ( (Carbapenem* or doripenem or ertapenem or Imipemide or Imipenem or Invanoz or Invanz or meropenem or Merrem or 'MK 0787' or MK0787 or MK-0787 or N Formimidoylthienamycin or N-Formimidoylthienamycin or Penem or Ronem or S 4661 or S-4661 or SM 7338 or SM-7338 or Thienamycin*) )	974
S22	(MH 'Carbapenems+')	559
S21	S19 OR S20	14,473
S20	(MH 'Drug Resistance, Microbial+')	14,182
S19	TI ( (multiresistant or (multi n1 resistan*)) ) OR AB ( (multiresistant or (multi n1 resistan*)) )	604
S18	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17	7,706

#	Query	Results
S17	TI ( ((bacillus n1 morgan*) or (bacterium n1 morgana) or (morganella n1 morgagni*) or (morganella n1 morganii) or (proteus n1 morgagni) or (proteus n1 morgana*) or (salmonella n1 morgana)) ) OR AB ( ((bacillus n1 morgan*) or (bacterium n1 morgana) or (morganella n1 morgagni*) or (morganella n1 morganii) or (proteus n1 morgagni) or (proteus n1 morgana*) or (salmonella n1 morgana)) )	20
S16	TI ( ((Citrobacter n1 freundii) or (bacterium n1 freundii) or (Escherichia n1 freundii)) ) OR AB ( ((Citrobacter n1 freundii) or (bacterium n1 freundii) or (Escherichia n1 freundii)) )	32
S15	(MH 'Citrobacter')	40
S14	TI Serratia OR AB Serratia	238
S13	(MH 'Serratia') OR (MH 'Serratia Infections')	174
S12	TI Proteus OR AB Proteus	257
S11	(MH 'Proteus') OR (MH 'Proteus Infections')	118
S10	TI ( (Acinetobacter or mima or mimae or herellea or acinetobacterium) ) OR AB ( (Acinetobacter or mima or mimae or herellea or acinetobacterium) )	889
S9	(MH 'Acinetobacter Infections')	581
S8	TI 'p. aeruginosa' OR AB 'p. aeruginosa'	610
S7	TI ( ((bacillus n1 pyocyaneus) or (bacterium n1 (aeruginosum or pyocyaneum)) or (blue n1 apus) or (Pseudomonas n1 (aeruginosa or aureofaciens or pyoceaneus or pyocyanea or pyocyaneus))) ) OR AB ( ((bacillus n1 pyocyaneus) or (bacterium n1 (aeruginosum or pyocyaneum)) or (blue n1 apus) or (Pseudomonas n1 (aeruginosa or aureofaciens or pyoceaneus or pyocyanea or pyocyaneus))) )	1,855
S6	TI ( (enterobacter or aerobacter) ) OR AB ( (enterobacter or aerobacter) )	370
S5	TI ( ('k. pneumoniae' or 'b. friedlander') ) OR AB ( ('k. pneumoniae' or 'b. friedlander') )	200

#	Query	Results
S4	TI ( (klebsiella or Calymmatobacterium or (aerobacter n1 aerogenes) or ((bacillus or bacterium) n1 pneumonia) or ((friedlaender or Friedlander) n1 bacillus) or (Hyalococcus n1 pneumonia) or Pneumobacillus) ) OR AB ( (klebsiella or Calymmatobacterium or (aerobacter n1 aerogenes) or ((bacillus or bacterium) n1 pneumonia) or ((friedlaender or Friedlander) n1 bacillus) or (Hyalococcus n1 pneumonia) or Pneumobacillus) )	1,039
S3	(MH 'Klebsiella') OR (MH 'Klebsiella Infections')	835
S2	TI ( (Eaggec or (escherichia n1 coli) or (e n1 coli) or (alkalescens-dispar n1 group) or (bacillus n1 escherichii) or (Coli n1 bacillus) or (Coli n1 bacterium) or colibacillus or (colon n1 bacillus)) ) OR AB ( (Eaggec or (escherichia n1 coli) or (e n1 coli) or (alkalescens-dispar n1 group) or (bacillus n1 escherichii) or (Coli n1 bacillus) or (Coli n1 bacterium) or colibacillus or (colon n1 bacillus)) )	2,914
S1	(MH 'Escherichia Coli') OR (MH 'Escherichia Coli Infections')	2,983

# 4.2.2. Cochrane Library (Issue 11, 2012)

ID Search

#1 MeSH descriptor: [Escherichia coli] explode all trees

#2 (Eaggec or (escherichia near/1 coli) or (e near/1 coli) or (alkalescens-dispar near/1 group) or (bacillus near/1 escherichii) or (Coli near/1 bacillus) or (Coli near/1 bacterium) or colibacillus or (colon near/1 bacillus)):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#3 MeSH descriptor: [Klebsiella] explode all trees

#4 (klebsiella or Calymmatobacterium or (aerobacter near/1 aerogenes) or ((bacillus or bacterium) near/1 pneumonia) or ((friedlaender or Friedlander) near/1 bacillus) or (Hyalococcus near/1 pneumonia) or Pneumobacillus):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#5 k. pneumoniae or b. friedlander:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

- #6 MeSH descriptor: [Enterobacter] explode all trees
- #7 (enterobacter or aerobacter):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)
- #8 MeSH descriptor: [Pseudomonas aeruginosa] explode all trees

#9 ((bacillus near/1 pyocyaneus) or (bacterium near/1 (aeruginosum or pyocyaneum)) or (blue near/1 apus) or (Pseudomonas near/1 (aeruginosa or aureofaciens or pyoceaneus or pyocyanea or pyocyaneus))):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#10 p. aeruginosa:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#11 MeSH descriptor: [Acinetobacter] explode all trees

#12 (Acinetobacter or mima or mimae or herellea or acinetobacterium):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#13 MeSH descriptor: [Proteus] explode all trees

#14 Proteus:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#15 MeSH descriptor: [Serratia] explode all trees

#16 Serratia:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#17 MeSH descriptor: [Citrobacter freundii] explode all trees

#18 ((Citrobacter near/1 freundii) or (bacterium near/1 freundii) or (Escherichia near/1 freundii)):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#19 MeSH descriptor: [Morganella morganii] explode all trees

#20 ((bacillus near/1 morgan\$) or (bacterium near/1 morgana) or (morganella near/1 morgagni\$) or (morganella near/1 morganii) or (proteus near/1 morgagni) or (proteus near/1 morgana\$) or (salmonella near/1 morgana)):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#21 #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20

#22 (multiresistant or (multi near/1 resistan\$)):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#23 MeSH descriptor: [Drug Resistance, Multiple] explode all trees

#24 #22 or #23

#25 MeSH descriptor: [Colistin] explode all trees

#26 (belcomycin or colicort or colimycin\$ or colisitin or colisticin or Colistin or colistine or colomycin or (coly near/1 mycin) or colymicin or colymycin or coly-mycin or multimycin or (Polymyxin near/1 E) or totazina):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#27 MeSH descriptor: [Carbapenems] explode all trees

#28 (Carbapenem\$ or doripenem or ertapenem or Imipemide or Imipenem or Invanoz or Invanz or meropenem or Merrem or 'MK 0787' or MK0787 or MK-0787 or N Formimidoylthienamycin or N-Formimidoylthienamycin or Penem or Ronem or S 4661 or S-4661 or SM 7338 or SM-7338 or Thienamycin\$):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#29 MeSH descriptor: [Piperacillin] explode all trees

#30 (acopex or avocin or cl 227,193 or Cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl227193 or cl220 or t1220 or t1220 or t1220 or t2220 or t220 or t2

#31 (cl 307579 or cl298741 or cl307579 or tazabactam or tazobac\$ or tazocel or tazocillin\$ or tazocin or tazomax or tazonam or tazopril or yp 14 or yp14 or ytr 830 or ytr 830h or ytr830h or zosyn):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#32 MeSH descriptor: [Amoxicillin-Potassium Clavulanate Combination] explode all trees

(aclam or aktil or ambilan or amocla or amoclan or amoclav or amoksiklav or #33 amolanic or amometin or (amox near/1 clay) or amox-clay or (amoxi near/1 plus) or (amoxNear/3clavulan\$) or amoxiclav or amoxiclav-bid or amoxiclav-teva or amoxsiklav or amoxxlin or (amoxycillin-clavulanic near/1 acid) or ancla or (auclatin near/1 duo) or augamox or augmaxcil or augmentan or augmentin\$ or augmex or augpen or (augucillin near/1 duo) or augurcin or ausclav or auspilic or bactiv or bactoclav or bioclavid or (brl near/1 '25000') or brl25000 or brl-25000 or cavumox or ciblor or (clacillin near/1 duo) or clamax or clamentin or clamobit or clamonex or clamovid or clamoxin or (clamoxyl near/1 duo\$) or clarin-duo or clavamox or clavar or clavinex or clavodar or clavoxil or (clavoxilin near/1 plus) or clavubactin or clavudale or clavulanate-amoxicillin or clavulin or (clavulox near/1 duo) or clavumox or (co near/1 amoxiclav) or (co near/1 amoxyclav) or coamoxiclav or co-amoxiclav or coamoxyclav or (cramon near/1 duo) or (croanan near/1 duo) or curam or danoclav or (darzitil near/1 plus) or e-moxclav or enhancin or fleming or fugentin or (fullicilina near/1 plus) or gumentin or hibiotic or inciclav or klamonex or kmoxilin or lactamox or lansiclav or moxiclav or moxicle or moxyclay or natrayox or nufaclay or palentin or quali-mentin or ranclay or spektramox or stacillin or suplentin or synermox or synulox or (velamox near/1 cl) or vestaclav or viaclav or vulamox or xiclav or (zami near/1 '8503')):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#34 ((brl near/1 '17421') or brl17421 or (thiophenemalonamic near/1 acid) or negaban or temocillin or temopen):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#35 (tigecycline or (tbg near/1 mino) or tygacil or gar 936 or gar 936 or (tert near/1 butylglycinamido\$)):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#36 MeSH descriptor: [Quinolones] explode all trees

#37 ((chinolone near/1 derivative) or fluoroquinolones or (haloquinolone near/1 derivative) or ketoquinolines or oxoquinolines or quinolinones or quinolones):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#38 MeSH descriptor: [Aminoglycosides] explode all trees

#39 (Aminoglycosides or Anthracyclines or Aclarubicin or Daunorubicin or Plicamycin or Butirosin Sulfate or Sisomicin or Hygromycin B or Kanamycin or Dibekacin or Nebramycin or Metrizamide or Neomycin or Framycetin or Paromomycin or Ribostamycin or Puromycin or Spectinomycin or Streptomycin or Dihydrostreptomycin Sulfate or Streptothricins or Streptozocin):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#40 MeSH descriptor: [Gentamicins] explode all trees

#41 (adelanin or alcomicin or apigent or apogen or apoten or azupel or bactiderm or biogaracin or bristagen or cidomycin or danigen or dermogen or dianfarma or dispagent or duragentam\$ or epigent or (frieso near/1 gent) or garabiotic or garalone or garamicin\$ or garamycin or garbilocin or gencin or gendril or genoptic or genrex or gensumycin or gentabiotic or gentabiox or gentac or gentacidin or gentacin or gentacor or gentacycol or gentacyl or gentafair or gentagram or gentak or gental or gentaline or gentalline or gentalol or gentalyn or gentamax or gentame\$ or gentamicin\$ or gentamina or gentamycin\$ or gentamyl or gentamytrex or gentaplus or gentarad or gentasil or gentasol or gentasone or gentasporin or gentatrim or gentavet or genticin\$ or genticyn or gentiderm or gentimycin or gentocin or gentogram or gentomycin or genum or geomycine or gevramycin or g-mycin or gmyticin or g-myticin or grammicin or hexamycin or jenamicin or konigen or lacromycin or lisagent or martigenta or migenta or miragenta or miramycin or nichogencin or nsc 82261 or nsc82261 or obogen or ocugenta or ocu-mycin or oftagen or ophtagram or opthagen or optigen or opti-genta or ottogenta or pyogenta or refobacin or ribomicin or rigaminol or rocy gen or rovixida or rupegen or sagestam or sch 9724 or sch9724 or sedanazin or servigenta or skinfect or sulmycin or tangyn or u-gencin or versigen or yectamicina):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#42 MeSH descriptor: [Amikacin] explode all trees

#43 (akacin or akicin or amicacina or amicasil or amicin or amiglymide v or amikacin\$ or amikafur or amikalem or amikan or amikayect or amikin or amiklin or amikozit or amiktam or amitracin or amixin or amukin or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or biokacin or briclin or briklin or chemacin or cinmik or fabianol or gamikal or glukamin or kacinth-a or kanbine or kormakin or likacin or lukadin or miacin or mikasome or onikin or oprad or orlobin or pediakin or pierami or riklinak or savox or selaxa or selemycin or sulfate amikacin or tybikin or vs 107 or vs107 or yectamid):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#44 MeSH descriptor: [Fosfomycin] explode all trees

#45 (fosfocil or fosfocin or fosfocina or fosfomicin or fosfomycin or fosfonomycin or 'mk 0955' or mk 955 or mk0955 or mk955 or monuril or phosphomycin or phosphonomycin):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#46 MeSH descriptor: [Aztreonam] explode all trees

#47 ((az near/1 threonam) or azactam or azenam or azthreonam or aztreonam or (corus near/1 '1020') or dynabiotic or primbactam or SQ 26,776 or sq 26,776 or sq 26776 or SQ-26,776 or sq26776 or sq-26776 or urobactam):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#48 MeSH descriptor: [Nitrofurantoin] explode all trees

#49 (berkfurin or biofurin or chemiofuran or dantafur or f 30 or f30 or fua-med or furaben or furadantin\$ or furadantoin or furadina or furadoine or furadonin or furadonine or furalan or furanpur or furantocompren or furantoin\$ or furobactina or furofen or furophen or infurin or ituran or ivadantin or macrobid or macrodantin\$ or macrofuran or macrofurin or micofurantin\$ or mitrofuratoin or nephronex or nierofu or nifurantin or nifuryl or (nitro near/1 macro) or nitrofuracin or nsc 2107 or nsc2107 or orafuran or parfuran or phenurin or (potassium near/1 furagin) or ralodantin or trocurine or urantin or (uro near/1 tablinen) or urodil or urodin or urofuran or urolong or urotablinen or uro-tablinen or urotoina or uvamin):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#50 MeSH descriptor: [Cephalosporins] explode all trees

#51 ((Cephalosporanic near/1 Acid\$) or Cephalosporin\$ or Cefamandole or Cefoperazone or Cefazolin or Cefonicid or Cefsulodin or Cephacetrile or Cefotaxime or Cephalothin or Cephapirin or Cephalexin or Cefaclor or Cefadroxil or Cephaloglycin or Cephradine or Cephaloridine or Ceftazidime or Cephamycins or Cefmetazole or Cefotetan or Cefoxitin):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#52 MeSH descriptor: [Amdinocillin Pivoxil] explode all trees

#53 ((amdinocillin near/1 pivoxil) or (FL near/1 '1039') or FL1039 or fl1039 or FL-1039 or pivamdinocillin or Pivmecillinam or Selexid or coactabs or (ro near/1 '109071') or (ro10 near/1 '9071') or ro109071):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)

#54 #25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29 or #30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35 or #36 or #37 or #38 or #39 or #40 or #41 or #42 or #43 or #44 or #45 or #46 or #47 or #48 or #49 or #50 or #51 or #52 or #53

#55 #21 and #24 and #54 (21)

# 4.2.3. Embase (January 1980 to December 1012)

1 exp Escherichia coli/ (255846)

2 (Eaggec or (escherichia adj coli) or (e adj coli) or (alkalescens-dispar adj group) or (bacillus adj escherichii) or (Coli adj bacillus) or (Coli adj bacterium) or colibacillus or (colon adj bacillus)).ti,ab. (240749)

3 exp Klebsiella/ (30199)

4 (klebsiella or Calymmatobacterium or (aerobacter adj aerogenes) or ((bacillus or bacterium) adj pneumonia) or ((friedlaender or Friedlander) adj bacillus) or (Hyalococcus adj pneumonia) or Pneumobacillus).ti,ab. (22836)

5 ('k. pneumoniae' or 'b. friedlander').ti,ab. (5513)

6 exp Enterobacter/ (12784)

7 (enterobacter or aerobacter).ti,ab. (9700)

8 exp Pseudomonas aeruginosa/ (55073)

9 ((bacillus adj pyocyaneus) or (bacterium adj (aeruginosum or pyocyaneum)) or (blue adj apus) or (Pseudomonas adj (aeruginosa or aureofaciens or pyoceaneus or pyocyanea or pyocyaneus))).ti,ab. (43474)

10 'p. aeruginosa'.ti,ab. (17572)

11 exp Acinetobacter/ (12028)

12 (Acinetobacter or mima or mimae or herellea or acinetobacterium).ti,ab. (10917)

13 exp Proteus/ (14447)

14 Proteus.ti,ab. (10461)

15 exp Serratia/ (9507)

16 Serratia.ti,ab. (7407)

17 exp Citrobacter freundii/ (1778)

18 ((Citrobacter adj freundii) or (bacterium adj freundii) or (Escherichia adj freundii)).ti,ab. (1675)

19 exp Morganella morganii/ (1134)

20 ((bacillus adj morgan\$) or (bacterium adj morgana) or (morganella adj morgagni\$) or (morganella adj morganii) or (proteus adj morgagni) or (proteus adj morgana\$) or (salmonella adj morgana)).ti,ab. (804)

21 or/1-20 (396800)

22 (multiresistant or (multi adj resistan\$)).ti,ab. (5599)

23 exp multidrug resistance/ (29629)

24 22 or 23 (33705)

25 exp Colistin/ (8049)

26 (belcomycin or colicort or colimycin\$ or colisitin or colisticin or Colistin or colistine or colomycin or (coly adj mycin) or colymicin or colymycin or coly-mycin or multimycin or (Polymyxin adj E) or totazina).ti,ab. (3104)

27 exp Carbapenems/ (4745)

28 (Carbapenem\$ or doripenem or ertapenem or Imipemide or Imipenem or Invanoz or Invanz or meropenem or Merrem or 'MK 0787' or MK0787 or MK-0787 or N Formimidoylthienamycin or N-Formimidoylthienamycin or Penem or Ronem or S 4661 or S-4661 or SM 7338 or SM-7338 or Thienamycin\$).ti,ab. (18086)

29 exp Piperacillin/ (14822)

30 (acopex or avocin or cl 227,193 or Cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl227,193 or Cl227,193 or Cl227193 or piperacil or cl220 or t1220 or t1220

31 exp Amoxicillin-Potassium Clavulanate Combination/ (23616)

32 (aclam or aktil or ambilan or amocla or amoclan or amoclav or amoksiklav or amolanic or amometin or (amox adj clav) or amox-clav or (amoxi adj plus) or (amox adj3 clavulan\$) or amoxiclav or amoxiclav-bid or amoxiclav-teva or amoxsiklav or amoxxlin or (amoxycillin-clavulanic adj acid) or ancla or (auclatin adj duo) or augamox or augmaxcil or augmentan or augmentin<sup>\$</sup> or augmex or augpen or (augucillin adj duo) or augurcin or ausclav or auspilic or bactiv or bactoclav or bioclavid or (brl adj '25000') or brl25000 or brl-25000 or cavumox or ciblor or (clacillin adj duo) or clamax or clamentin or clamobit or clamonex or clamovid or clamoxin or (clamoxyl adj duo\$) or clarin-duo or clavamox or clavar or clavinex or clavodar or clavoxil or (clavoxilin adj plus) or clavubactin or clavudale or clavulanate-amoxicillin or clavulin or (clavulox adj duo) or clavumox or (co adj amoxiclav) or (co adj amoxyclav) or coamoxiclav or coamoxiclav or coamoxyclav or (cramon adj duo) or (croanan adj duo) or curam or danoclav or (darzitil adj plus) or e-moxclav or enhancin or fleming or fugentin or (fullicilina adj plus) or gumentin or hibiotic or inciclav or klamonex or kmoxilin or lactamox or lansiclav or moxiclav or moxicle or moxyclav or natravox or nufaclav or palentin or quali-mentin or ranclav or spektramox or stacillin or suplentin or synermox or synulox or (velamox adj cl) or vestaclav or viaclav or vulamox or xiclav or (zami adj '8503')).ti,ab. (11598)

33 exp Quinolones/ (101072)

34 ((chinolone adj derivative) or fluoroquinolones or (haloquinolone adj derivative) or ketoquinolines or oxoquinolines or quinolinones or quinolones).ti,ab. (15677)

# 35 exp Aminoglycosides/ (10599)

36 (Aminoglycosides or Anthracyclines or Aclarubicin or Daunorubicin or Plicamycin or Butirosin Sulfate or Sisomicin or Hygromycin B or Kanamycin or Dibekacin or Nebramycin + or Metrizamide or Neomycin or Framycetin or Paromomycin or Ribostamycin or Puromycin or Spectinomycin or Streptomycin or Dihydrostreptomycin Sulfate or Streptothricins or Streptozocin).ti,ab. (56708)

# 37 exp Gentamicins/ (70647)

38 (adelanin or alcomicin or apigent or apogen or apoten or azupel or bactiderm or biogaracin or bristagen or cidomycin or danigen or dermogen or dianfarma or dispagent or duragentam\$ or epigent or (frieso adj gent) or garabiotic or garalone or garamicin<sup>\$</sup> or garamycin or garbilocin or gencin or gendril or genoptic or genrex or gensumycin or gentabiotic or gentabiox or gentac or gentacidin or gentacin or gentacor or gentacycol or gentacyl or gentafair or gentagram or gentak or gental or gentaline or gentalline or gentalol or gentalyn or gentamax or gentame\$ or gentamicin\$ or gentamina or gentamycin\$ or gentamyl or gentamytrex or gentaplus or gentarad or gentasil or gentasol or gentasone or gentasporin or gentatrim or gentavet or genticin\$ or genticyn or gentiderm or gentimycin or gentocin or gentogram or gentomycin or genum or geomycine or gevramycin or g-mycin or gmyticin or g-myticin or grammicin or hexamycin or jenamicin or konigen or lacromycin or lisagent or martigenta or migenta or miragenta or miramycin or nichogencin or nsc 82261 or nsc82261 or obogen or ocugenta or ocu-mycin or oftagen or ophtagram or opthagen or optigen or opti-genta or ottogenta or pyogenta or refobacin or ribomicin or rigaminol or rocy gen or rovixida or rupegen or sagestam or sch 9724 or sch9724 or sedanazin or servigenta or skinfect or sulmycin or tangyn or u-gencin or versigen or vectamicina).ti,ab. (23700)

# 39 exp Amikacin/ (28644)

40 (akacin or akicin or amicacina or amicasil or amicin or amiglymide v or amikacin\$ or amikafur or amikalem or amikan or amikayect or amikin or amiklin or amikozit or amiktam or amitracin or amixin or amukin or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or biokacin or briclin or briklin or chemacin or cinmik or fabianol or gamikal or glukamin or kacinth-a or kanbine or kormakin or likacin or lukadin or miacin or mikasome or onikin or oprad or orlobin or pediakin or pierami or riklinak or savox or selaxa or selemycin or sulfate amikacin or tybikin or vs 107 or vs107 or yectamid).ti,ab. (9841)

# 41 exp Fosfomycin/ (5561)

42 (fosfocil or fosfocin or fosfocina or fosfomicin or fosfomycin or fosfonomycin or 'mk 0955' or mk 955 or mk0955 or mk955 or monuril or phosphomycin or phosphonomycin).ti,ab. (2386)

# 43 exp Aztreonam/ (10567)

44 ((az adj threonam) or azactam or azenam or azthreonam or aztreonam or (corus adj '1020') or dynabiotic or primbactam or SQ 26,776 or sq 26,776 or sq 26776 or SQ-26,776 or sq26776 or sq-26776 or urobactam).ti,ab. (3245)

45 exp Nitrofurantoin/ (9724)

46 (berkfurin or biofurin or chemiofuran or dantafur or f 30 or f30 or fua-med or furaben or furadantin\$ or furadantoin or furadina or furadoine or furadonin or furadonine or furalan or furanpur or furantocompren or furantoin\$ or furobactina or furofen or furophen or infurin or ituran or ivadantin or macrobid or macrodantin\$ or macrofuran or micofurantin\$ or mitrofuratoin or nephronex or nierofu or nifurantin or nifuryl or (nitro adj macro) or nitrofuracin or nsc 2107 or nsc2107 or orafuran or parfuran or phenurin or (potassium adj furagin) or ralodantin or trocurine or urotablinen or urotoina or uvamin).ti,ab. (3412)

47 exp Cephalosporins/ (150937)

48 (Axepim\$ or bmy 28142 or bmy28142 or BMY-28142 or Cefepim\$ or cefepitax or ceficad or cepimax or forzyn beta or maxcef or maxfrom or maxipime or Quadrocef).ti,ab. (2995)

49 exp tazobactam/ (3045)

50 (cl 307579 or cl298741 or cl307579 or tazabactam or tazobac\$ or tazocel or tazocillin\$ or tazocin or tazomax or tazonam or tazopril or yp 14 or yp14 or ytr 830 or ytr 830h or ytr830 or ytr830h or zosyn).ti,ab. (3809)

51 exp temocillin/ (499)

52 ((brl adj '17421') or brl17421 or (thiophenemalonamic adj acid) or negaban or temocillin or temopen).ti,ab. (236)

53 exp tigecycline/ (3876)

54 (tigecycline or (tbg adj mino) or tygacil or gar 936 or gar 936 or (tert adj butylglycinamido\$)).ti,ab. (1970)

55 exp cefepime/ (9948)

56 ((Cephalosporanic adj Acid\$) or Cephalosporin\$ or Cefamandole or Cefoperazone or Cefazolin or Cefonicid or Cefsulodin or Cephacetrile or Cefotaxime or Cephalothin or Cephapirin or Cephalexin or Cefaclor or Cefadroxil or Cephaloglycin or Cephradine or Cephaloridine or Ceftazidime or Cephamycins or Cefmetazole or Cefotetan or Cefoxitin).ti,ab. (45983)

57 exp pivmecillinam/ (685)

58 ((amdinocillin adj pivoxil) or (FL adj '1039') or FL1039 or fl1039 or FL-1039 or pivamdinocillin or Pivmecillinam or Selexid or coactabs or (ro adj '109071') or (ro10 adj '9071') or ro109071).ti,ab. (280)

59 or/25-58 (349366)

60 21 and 24 and 59 (4969)

61 (review or review, tutorial or review, academic).pt. (1901059)

62 (systematic\$ adj5 review\$).tw,sh. (70959)

63 (systematic\$ adj5 overview\$).tw,sh. (869)

64 (quantitativ\$ adj5 review\$).tw,sh. (15516)

65 (quantitativ\$ adj5 overview\$).tw,sh. (203)

- 66 (quantitativ\$ adj5 synthesis\$).tw,sh. (2716)
- 67 (methodologic\$ adj5 review\$).tw,sh. (3414)
- 68 (methodologic\$ adj5 overview\$).tw,sh. (238)
- 69 (integrative research review\$ or research integration).tw. (94)
- 70 (meta-analys\$ or meta analys\$ or metaanalys\$).tw,sh. (96394)
- 71 (meta synthesis or meta synthesis or metasynthesis).tw,sh. (238)
- 72 (meta-regression or meta regression or metaregression).tw,sh. (2242)
- 73 (synthes\$ adj3 literature).tw. (1448)
- 74 (synthes\$ adj3 evidence).tw. (3583)
- 75 integrative review.tw. (604)
- 76 data synthesis.tw. (8747)
- 77 (research synthesis or narrative synthesis).tw. (547)
- 78 (systematic study or systematic studies).tw. (7413)
- 79 systematic comparison\$.tw. (1183)
- 80 comprehensive review\$.tw. (6873)
- 81 critical review.tw. (11216)
- 82 quantitative review.tw. (488)
- 83 structured review.tw. (492)
- 84 realist review.tw. (34)
- 85 realist synthesis.tw. (12)
- 86 review.ti. (264011)
- 87 systematic\$ literature review\$.tw. (3464)
- 88 'systematic review'/ (55637)
- 89 'systematic review (topic)'/ (2885)
- 90 meta analysis/ (67746)
- 91 'meta analysis (topic)'/ (5552)
- 92 (synthes\$ adj2 qualitative).tw. (428)
- 93 (systematic adj2 search\$).tw. (7848)
- 94 systematic\$ literature research\$.tw. (102)
- 95 (review adj3 scientific literature).tw. (833)
- 96 (literature review adj2 side effect\$).tw. (10)
- 97 (literature review adj2 adverse effect\$).tw. (2)
- 98 (literature review adj2 adverse event\$).tw. (6)
- 99 (evidence-based adj2 review).tw. (1915)
- 100 critical analysis.tw. (5559)

101 (review\$ adj10 (papers or trials or trial data or studies or evidence or intervention\$ or evaluation\$ or outcome\$ or findings)).tw. (248295)

102 review.ti. (264011)

103 metanaly\$.tw. (316)

104 letter.pt. (800258)

105 editorial.pt. (417835)

106 104 or 105 (1218093)

107 or/61-103 (2212977)

108 107 not 106 (2200787)

109 (clin\$ adj2 trial).mp. (968683)

110 ((singl\$ or doubl\$ or trebl\$ or tripl\$) adj (blind\$ or mask\$)).mp. (190403)

111 (random\$ adj5 (assign\$ or allocat\$)).mp. (101920)

112 randomi\$.mp. (613392)

113 crossover.mp. (59181)

114 exp randomized-controlled-trial/ (334017)

115 exp double-blind-procedure/ (112280)

116 exp crossover-procedure/ (35737)

117 exp single-blind-procedure/ (16758)

118 exp randomization/ (60197)

119 or/109-118 (1282139)

120 intervention?.ti. or (intervention? adj6 (clinician? or collaborat\$ or community or complex or DESIGN\$ or doctor? or educational or family doctor? or family physician? or family practitioner? or financial or GP or general practice? or hospital? or impact? or improv\$ or individuali?e? or individuali?ing or interdisciplin\$ or multicomponent or multi-component or multidisciplin\$ or multi-disciplin\$ or multifacet\$ or multi-facet\$ or multi-modal\$ or personali?e? or personali?ing or pharmacies or pharmacist? or pharmacy or physician? or practitioner? or regulatory or tailor\$ or target\$ or team\$ or usual care)).ab. (175033)

121 (hospital\$ or patient?).hw. and (study or studies or care or health\$ or practitioner? or provider? or physician? or nurse? or nursing or doctor?).ti,hw. (1363115)

122 demonstration project?.ti,ab. (2081)

123 (pre-post or 'pre test\$' or pretest\$ or posttest\$ or 'post test\$' or (pre adj5 post)).ti,ab. (78013)

124 (pre-workshop or post-workshop or (before adj3 workshop) or (after adj3 workshop)).ti,ab. (673)

125 trial.ti. or ((study adj3 aim?) or 'our study').ab. (724065)

126 (before adj10 (after or during)).ti,ab. (394152)
127 (time points adj3 (over or multiple or three or four or five or six or seven or eight or nine or ten or eleven or twelve or month\$ or hour? or day? or 'more than')).ab. (10006)

128 pilot.ti. (43036)

129 (multicentre or multicenter or multi-centre or multi-center).ti. (34428)

130 random\$.ti,ab. or controlled.ti. (819713)

131 review.ti. (264011)

132 \*experimental design/ or \*pilot study/ or quasi experimental study/ (5205)

133 ('quasi-experiment\$' or quasiexperiment\$ or 'quasi random\$' or quasirandom\$ or 'quasi control\$' or quasicontrol\$ or ((quasi\$ or experimental) adj3 (method\$ or study or trial or design\$))).ti,ab. (105122)

134 or/120-133 (3341084)

135 exp animals/ or exp invertebrate/ or animal experiment/ or animal model/ or animal tissue/ or animal cell/ or nonhuman/ (18985259)

136 human/ or normal human/ or human cell/ (14037258)

137 135 and 136 (14004971)

138 135 not 137 (4980288)

139 ('time series' adj2 interrupt\$).ti,ab. (922)

140 134 not (138 or 139) (2996658)

141 108 or 119 or 140 (5157863)

142 and 141 (1860)

### 4.2.4. Medline (January 1946 to December 2012)

1 exp Escherichia coli/ (224545)

2 (Eaggec or (escherichia adj coli) or (e adj coli) or (alkalescens-dispar adj group) or (bacillus adj escherichii) or (Coli adj bacillus) or (Coli adj bacterium) or colibacillus or (colon adj bacillus)).ti,ab. (226847)

3 exp Klebsiella/ (13720)

4 (klebsiella or Calymmatobacterium or (aerobacter adj aerogenes) or ((bacillus or bacterium) adj pneumonia) or ((friedlaender or Friedlander) adj bacillus) or (Hyalococcus adj pneumonia) or Pneumobacillus).ti,ab. (18345)

5 ('k. pneumoniae' or 'b. friedlander').ti,ab. (3902)

6 exp Enterobacter/ (5504)

7 (enterobacter or aerobacter).ti,ab. (8130)

8 exp Pseudomonas aeruginosa/ (30232)

9 ((bacillus adj pyocyaneus) or (bacterium adj (aeruginosum or pyocyaneum)) or (blue adj apus) or (Pseudomonas adj (aeruginosa or aureofaciens or pyoceaneus or pyocyanea or pyocyaneus))).ti,ab. (35984)

10 'p. aeruginosa'.ti,ab. (14103)

11 exp Acinetobacter/ (5262)

12 (Acinetobacter or mima or mimae or herellea or acinetobacterium).ti,ab. (8005)

13 exp Proteus/ (8091)

14 Proteus.ti,ab. (9496)

15 exp Serratia/ (5505)

16 Serratia.ti,ab. (6720)

17 exp Citrobacter freundii/ (438)

18 ((Citrobacter adj freundii) or (bacterium adj freundii) or (Escherichia adj freundii)).ti,ab. (1361)

19 exp Morganella morganii/ (133)

20 ((bacillus adj morgan\$) or (bacterium adj morgana) or (morganella adj morgagni\$) or (morganella adj morganii) or (proteus adj morgagni) or (proteus adj morgana\$) or (salmonella adj morgana)).ti,ab. (601)

21 or/1-20 (360253)

22 (multiresistant or (multi adj resistan\$)).ti,ab. (3949)

23 exp drug resistance, multiple/ (21763)

24 22 or 23 (24405)

25 exp Colistin/ (2107)

26 (belcomycin or colicort or colimycin\$ or colisitin or colisticin or Colistin or colistine or colomycin or (coly adj mycin) or colymicin or colymycin or coly-mycin or multimycin or (Polymyxin adj E) or totazina).ti,ab. (2346)

27 exp Carbapenems/ (6668)

28 (Carbapenem\$ or doripenem or ertapenem or Imipemide or Imipenem or Invanoz or Invanz or meropenem or Merrem or 'MK 0787' or MK0787 or MK-0787 or N Formimidoylthienamycin or N-Formimidoylthienamycin or Penem or Ronem or S 4661 or S-4661 or SM 7338 or SM-7338 or Thienamycin\$).ti,ab. (11771)

29 exp Piperacillin/ (2035)

30 (acopex or avocin or cl 227,193 or Cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl 227193 or cl227,193 or Cl227,193 or Cl227193 or cl227,193 or cl223,193 or cl223,193

31 (cl 307579 or cl298741 or cl307579 or tazabactam or tazobac\$ or tazocel or tazocillin\$ or tazocin or tazomax or tazonam or tazopril or yp 14 or yp14 or ytr 830 or ytr 830h or ytr830h or zosyn).ti,ab. (2217)

32 exp Amoxicillin-Potassium Clavulanate Combination/ (1914)

33 (aclam or aktil or ambilan or amocla or amoclan or amoclav or amoksiklav or amolanic or amometin or (amox adj clav) or amox-clav or (amoxi adj plus) or (amox adj3 clavulan\$) or amoxiclav or amoxiclav-bid or amoxiclav-teva or amoxsiklav or amoxxlin or (amoxycillin-clavulanic adj acid) or ancla or (auclatin adj duo) or augamox or augmaxcil or augmentan or augmentin\$ or augmex or augpen or (augucillin adj duo) or augurcin or ausclav or auspilic or bactiv or bactoclav or bioclavid or (brl adj '25000') or brl25000 or brl-25000 or cavumox or ciblor or (clacillin adj duo) or clamax or clamentin or clamobit or clamonex or clamovid or clamoxin or (clamoxyl adj duo\$) or clarin-duo or clavamox or clavar or clavinex or clavodar or clavoxil or (clavoxilin adj plus) or clavubactin or clavudale or clavulanate-amoxicillin or clavulin or (clavulox adj duo) or clavumox or (co adj amoxiclav) or (co adj amoxyclav) or coamoxiclav or coamoxiclav or coamoxyclav or (cramon adj duo) or (croanan adj duo) or curam or danoclav or (darzitil adj plus) or e-moxclav or enhancin or fleming or fugentin or (fullicilina adj plus) or gumentin or hibiotic or inciclav or klamonex or kmoxilin or lactamox or lansiclav or moxiclav or spektramox or stacillin or suplentin or synermox or synulox or (velamox adj cl) or vestaclav or viaclav or vulamox or xiclav or (zami adj '8503')).ti,ab. (9184)

34 ((brl adj '17421') or brl17421 or (thiophenemalonamic adj acid) or negaban or temocillin or temopen).ti,ab. (179)

35 (tigecycline or (tbg adj mino) or tygacil or gar 936 or gar 936 or (tert adj butylglycinamido\$)).ab,ti. (1161)

36 exp Quinolones/ (33277)

37 ((chinolone adj derivative) or fluoroquinolones or (haloquinolone adj derivative) or ketoquinolines or oxoquinolines or quinolinones or quinolones).ti,ab. (11055)

38 exp Aminoglycosides/ (122582)

39 (Aminoglycosides or Anthracyclines or Aclarubicin or Daunorubicin or Plicamycin or Butirosin Sulfate or Sisomicin or Hygromycin B or Kanamycin or Dibekacin or Nebramycin + or Metrizamide or Neomycin or Framycetin or Paromomycin or Ribostamycin or Puromycin or Spectinomycin or Streptomycin or Dihydrostreptomycin Sulfate or Streptothricins or Streptozocin).ti,ab. (52288)

40 exp Gentamicins/ (16678)

41 (adelanin or alcomicin or apigent or apogen or apoten or azupel or bactiderm or biogaracin or bristagen or cidomycin or danigen or dermogen or dianfarma or dispagent or duragentam\$ or epigent or (frieso adj gent) or garabiotic or garalone or garamicin<sup>\$</sup> or garamycin or garbilocin or gencin or gendril or genoptic or genrex or gensumycin or gentabiotic or gentabiox or gentac or gentacidin or gentacin or gentacor or gentacycol or gentacyl or gentafair or gentagram or gentak or gental or gentaline or gentalline or gentalol or gentalyn or gentamax or gentame\$ or gentamicin\$ or gentamina or gentamycin\$ or gentamyl or gentamytrex or gentaplus or gentarad or gentasil or gentasol or gentasone or gentasporin or gentatrim or gentavet or genticin\$ or genticyn or gentiderm or gentimycin or gentocin or gentogram or gentomycin or genum or geomycine or gevramycin or g-mycin or gmyticin or g-myticin or grammicin or hexamycin or jenamicin or konigen or lacromycin or lisagent or martigenta or migenta or miragenta or miramycin or nichogencin or nsc 82261 or nsc82261 or obogen or ocugenta or ocu-mycin or oftagen or ophtagram or opthagen or optigen or opti-genta or ottogenta or pyogenta or refobacin or ribomicin or rigaminol or rocy gen or rovixida or rupegen or sagestam or sch 9724 or sch9724 or sedanazin or servigenta or skinfect or sulmycin or tangyn or u-gencin or versigen or vectamicina).ti,ab. (19829)

#### 42 exp Amikacin/ (3372)

43 (akacin or akicin or amicacina or amicasil or amicin or amiglymide v or amikacin\$ or amikafur or amikalem or amikan or amikayect or amikin or amiklin or amikozit or amiktam or amitracin or amixin or amukin or apalin or bb k 8 or bb k8 or bbk 8 or bb-k 8 or bbk8 or bbk-8 or bb-k8 or biclin or biklin or biokacin or briclin or briklin or chemacin or cinmik or fabianol or gamikal or glukamin or kacinth-a or kanbine or kormakin or likacin or lukadin or miacin or mikasome or onikin or oprad or orlobin or pediakin or pierami or riklinak or savox or selaxa or selemycin or sulfate amikacin or tybikin or vs 107 or vs107 or yectamid).ti,ab. (7140)

44 exp Fosfomycin/ (1378)

45 (fosfocil or fosfocin or fosfocina or fosfomicin or fosfomycin or fosfonomycin or 'mk 0955' or mk 955 or mk0955 or mk955 or monuril or phosphomycin or phosphonomycin).ti,ab. (1779)

46 exp Aztreonam/ (1233)

47 ((az adj threonam) or azactam or azenam or azthreonam or aztreonam or (corus adj '1020') or dynabiotic or primbactam or SQ 26,776 or sq 26,776 or sq 26776 or SQ-26,776 or sq26776 or sq-26776 or urobactam).ti,ab. (2333)

48 exp Nitrofurantoin/ (2253)

49 (berkfurin or biofurin or chemiofuran or dantafur or f 30 or f30 or fua-med or furaben or furadantin\$ or furadantoin or furadina or furadoine or furadonin or furadonine or furalan or furanpur or furantocompren or furantoin\$ or furobactina or furofen or furophen or infurin or ituran or ivadantin or macrobid or macrodantin\$ or macrofuran or macrofurin or micofurantin\$ or mitrofuratoin or nephronex or nierofu or nifurantin or nifuryl or (nitro adj macro) or nitrofuracin or nsc 2107 or nsc2107 or orafuran or parfuran or phenurin or (potassium adj furagin) or ralodantin or trocurine or urotablinen or urotoina or uvamin).ti,ab. (2721)

50 exp Cephalosporins/ (35352)

51 (Axepim\$ or bmy 28142 or bmy28142 or BMY-28142 or Cefepim\$ or cefepitax or ceficad or cepimax or forzyn beta or maxcef or maxfrom or maxipime or Quadrocef).ti,ab. (1916)

52 ((Cephalosporanic adj Acid\$) or Cephalosporin\$ or Cefamandole or Cefoperazone or Cefazolin or Cefonicid or Cefsulodin or Cephacetrile or Cefotaxime or Cephalothin or Cephapirin or Cephalexin or Cefaclor or Cefadroxil or Cephaloglycin or Cephradine or Cephaloridine or Ceftazidime or Cephamycins or Cefmetazole or Cefotetan or Cefoxitin).ti,ab. (35099)

53 exp Amdinocillin Pivoxil/ (199)

54 ((amdinocillin adj pivoxil) or (FL adj '1039') or FL1039 or fl1039 or FL-1039 or pivamdinocillin or Pivmecillinam or Selexid or coactabs or (ro adj '109071') or (ro10 adj '9071') or ro109071).ti,ab. (237)

55 or/25-54 (246506)

56 21 and 24 and 55 (3195)

57 exp clinical trial/ (706293)

- 58 exp randomized controlled trials/ (85563)
- 59 exp double-blind method/ (118498)
- 60 exp single-blind method/ (17086)
- 61 exp cross-over studies/ (30990)
- 62 randomized controlled trial.pt. (342334)
- 63 clinical trial.pt. (476450)
- 64 controlled clinical trial.pt. (85694)
- 65 (clinic\$ adj2 trial).mp. (552367)
- 66 (random\$ adj5 control\$ adj5 trial\$).mp. (443104)
- 67 (crossover or cross-over).mp. (59003)
- 68 ((singl\$ or double\$ or trebl\$ or tripl\$) adj (blind\$ or mask\$)).mp. (162179)
- 69 randomi\$.mp. (509202)
- 70 (random\$ adj5 (assign\$ or allocat\$ or assort\$ or reciev\$)).mp. (150717)
- 71 or/57-70 (968331)
- 72 (review or review, tutorial or review, academic).pt. (1758734)
- 73 (systematic\$ adj5 review\$).tw,sh. (40365)
- 74 (systematic\$ adj5 overview\$).tw,sh. (663)
- 75 (quantitativ\$ adj5 review\$).tw,sh. (3684)
- 76 (quantitativ\$ adj5 overview\$).tw,sh. (153)
- 77 (quantitativ\$ adj5 synthesis\$).tw,sh. (1107)
- 78 (methodologic\$ adj5 review\$).tw,sh. (2696)
- 79 (methodologic\$ adj5 overview\$).tw,sh. (180)
- 80 (integrative research review\$ or research integration).tw. (78)
- 81 meta-analysis as topic/ (12608)
- 82 (meta-analys\$ or meta analys\$ or metaanalys\$).tw,sh. (62359)
- 83 (meta synthesis or meta synthesis or metasynthesis).tw,sh. (215)
- 84 (meta-regression or meta regression or metaregression).tw,sh. (1650)
- 85 meta-analysis.pt. (37918)
- 86 (synthes\$ adj3 literature).tw. (1070)
- 87 (synthes\$ adj3 evidence).tw. (2956)
- 88 integrative review.tw. (583)
- 89 data synthesis.tw. (6328)
- 90 (research synthesis or narrative synthesis).tw. (463)
- 91 (systematic study or systematic studies).tw. (5679)

92 systematic comparison\$.tw. (953)

93 systematic comparison\$.tw. (953)

94 evidence based review.tw. (965)

95 comprehensive review\$.tw. (5290)

96 critical review.tw. (9227)

97 quantitative review.tw. (382)

98 structured review.tw. (376)

99 realist review.tw. (24)

100 realist synthesis.tw. (11)

101 review.ti. (212126)

102 (review\$ adj4 (papers or trials or studies or evidence or intervention\$ or evaluation\$)).tw. (80949)

103 metanaly\$.tw. (137)

104 letter.pt. (766872)

105 editorial.pt. (310993)

106 comment.pt. (493546)

107 or/104-106 (1166749)

108 or/72-103 (1897061)

109 108 not 107 (1860495)

110 intervention?.ti. or (intervention? adj6 (clinician? or collaborat\$ or community or complex or DESIGN\$ or doctor? or educational or family doctor? or family physician? or family practitioner? or financial or GP or general practice? or hospital? or impact? or improv\$ or individuali?e? or individuali?ing or interdisciplin\$ or multicomponent or multi-component or multidisciplin\$ or multi-disciplin\$ or multifacet\$ or multi-facet\$ or multi-facet\$ or multimodal\$ or multi-modal\$ or personali?e? or personali?ing or pharmacies or pharmacist? or pharmacy or physician? or practitioner? or regulatory or tailor\$ or target\$ or team\$ or usual care)).ab. (128957)

111 (pre-intervention? or preintervention? or 'pre intervention?' or post-intervention? or post intervention?').ti,ab. (7451)

112 demonstration project?.ti,ab. (1742)

113 (pre-post or 'pre test\$' or pretest\$ or posttest\$ or 'post test\$' or (pre adj5 post)).ti,ab. (52427)

114 (pre-workshop or post-workshop or (before adj3 workshop) or (after adj3 workshop)).ti,ab. (472)

115 trial.ti. or ((study adj3 aim?) or 'our study').ab. (500725)

116 (before adj10 (after or during)).ti,ab. (314768)

117 ('quasi-experiment\$' or quasiexperiment\$ or 'quasi random\$' or quasirandom\$ or 'quasi control\$' or quasicontrol\$ or ((quasi\$ or experimental) adj3 (method\$ or study or trial or design\$))).ti,ab,hw. (84783)

118 ('time series' adj2 interrupt\$).ti,ab,hw. (744)

119 (time points adj3 (over or multiple or three or four or five or six or seven or eight or nine or ten or eleven or twelve or month\$ or hour? or day? or 'more than')).ab. (7043)

120 pilot.ti. (32084)

121 Pilot projects/ (74648)

122 (clinical trial or controlled clinical trial or multicenter study).pt. (595489)

123 (multicentre or multicenter or multi-centre or multi-center).ti. (24301)

124 random\$.ti,ab. or controlled.ti. (624993)

125 (control adj3 (area or cohort? or compare? or condition or design or group? or intervention? or participant? or study)).ab. not (controlled clinical trial or randomized controlled trial).pt. (342332)

126 'comment on'.cm. or review.ti,pt. or randomized controlled trial.pt. (2652864)

127 (rat or rats or cow or cows or chicken? or horse or horses or mice or mouse or bovine or animal?).ti. (1254855)

128 exp animals/ not humans.sh. (3812817)

129 (or/110-126) not (or/127-128) (3811646)

130 71 or 109 or 129 (4107075)

131 and 130 (822)

## 4.3.Clinical Review Tables

## 4.3.1. Antibiotic stewardship

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Ben-David 2010 ITS Setting Tertiary (one hospital) Israel January 2006– December 2008	To assess the effect of an intensified intervention, that included active surveillance, on the incidence of infection with carbapenem-resistant <i>K. pneumoniae</i> <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =390 Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: data from medical records of all patients who acquired CRKP infection Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: K. pneumoniae Resistant to: carbapenems, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Intervention1. Enhanced national infection control programme: contact precautions were used for the care of all patients with CRKP colonization or infection; the prevalence of colonization or infection was reported daily, and this information was mailed to the hospital management and the national coordinator; and patients infected with CRKP had their names entered into a database so that they could be identified at hospital re- admission2. Active surveillence programme: obtaining rectal culture samples from patients hospitalized in ICUs and in step- down units, at admission to the unit and once weekly until the patient was dischargedLength of pre-intervention: 17 months priorLength of post-intervention:	Infection control Before the intervention, the incidence of clinical infection with CRKP had increased 6.42-fold to 6.93 cases per 10,000 patient-days After an enhanced infection control and active surveillance programme was introduced, the incidence of clinical infection reduced to 1.8 cases per 10,000 patient-days ( $P$ <0.001). The slope significantly changed with the introduction of the intervention from 0.12 to -0.07 ( $P$ <0.001)	ITS Protection against secula changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable quality)
Borer 2011	To devise a local strategy for eradication of a hospital-wide	Bacteria: K. pneumoniae	19 months following         Intervention         1. Emergency department	Bacterial colonization and infection	ITS Protection
ITS	outbreak caused by CRKP		flagging system		against secula

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Setting Tertiary (one hospital) Israel May 2006– May 2010	Participants <i>N</i> =803 Adolescents 13–18 years, adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years, elderly 80+years Male: 410, female: 393 Inclusion criteria: data from medical records of patients with CRKP infection Exclusion criteria: not reported	Resistant to: carbapenems Mechanism of resistance: not reported	<ol> <li>Building of a cohort space or ward</li> <li>Intensive active surveillance in high-risk wards</li> <li>Epidemiological investigations</li> <li>Carbapenem-restriction policy</li> <li>Length of pre-intervention: 11 months prior</li> <li>Length of post-intervention: 36 months following</li> </ol>	During the intervention, the CRKP undetected ratio showed a significant increase from 55.7% for June– December 2007 to 71.2% in 2008, 78.9% in 2009 and 92.5% for February– May 2010 ( $P$ ≤0.001). From May 2006 through April 2007 (pre-intervention), the CRKP-IN incidence density per 10,000 patient- days was 5.26. After the intervention programme was introduced, the incidence of clinical CRPK infection reduced to 2.91 cases per 10,000 patient-days ( $P$ <0.001) in 12/2007, 1.91 in 12/2008 and 1.28 in 12/2009. The slope changed significantly with the introduction of the intervention ( $P$ =0.004). <b>Antibiotic use</b> Meropenem use showed a statistically significant decrease from 2007 to 2010 ( $P$ ≤0.001); colistin use increased significantly during the same period ( $P$ ≤0.001)	changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable to low quality)
Church 2011 ITS Setting Secondary (one hospital)	To assess the possible effects of varying usage of levofloxacin, gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin on <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> susceptibility to piperacillin-tazobactam, cefepime and tobramycin <b>Participants</b>	Bacteria: <i>P. aeruginosa</i> Resistant to: aminoglycosides (tobramycin), cephalosporins (cefepime), piperacillin/tazobactam	<ul> <li>Intervention</li> <li>1. Levofloxacin replaced with gatifloxacin in 2001</li> <li>2. Gatifloxacin replaced with moxifloxacin in 2006</li> <li>Ciprofloxacin available throughout study period</li> </ul>	Antibiotic resistance and susceptibility No association between the susceptibility of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates to tobramycin and formulary changes was noted. With cefepime, a significant change in susceptibility was detected after the introduction of atifloxacin (P=0.0090) and	ITS Protection against secular changes (low quality) Protection against detection bias (low quality

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
USA January 2000- December 2008	Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: data from clinical microbiology and pharmacy databases of the Medical University of South Carolina Medical Centre Exclusion criteria: not reported	Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Length of pre-intervention: 15 months prior Length of post-intervention 1: 60 months Length of post-intervention 2: 30 months following	moxifloxacin ( <i>P</i> =0.0571). In the case of piperacillin/tazobactam, a positive change in susceptibility over time was detected after introduction of moxifloxacin ( <i>P</i> =0.0589). In each analysis, the effect of total fluoroquinolone usage was not significant	
Cohen 2011 ITS Setting Tertiary (one hospital) Israel March 2006– August 2010	To describe the implementation of an institution-wide, multiple-step intervention to curtail the epidemic spread of CRKP <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =33,570 Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: all patients affected by CRKP Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: K. pneumoniae Resistant to: carbapenems Mechanism of resistance: not reported	<ul> <li>Intervention         <ol> <li>Single-room isolation and contact precautions</li> <li>Cohorting of patients and nursing staff, screening of patients in the same room as newly identified carriers of CRKP, and local protocol for continued cohorting of returning patients</li> <li>Weekly active surveillance in the ICU</li> <li>Active surveillance of patients on admission to the emergency department</li> </ol> </li> <li>Length of pre-intervention: not reported         <ol> <li>Length of post-intervention 1: 14 months</li> <li>Length of post-intervention 3: 2 years</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Bacterial colonization and infectionThe incidence (total number of cases of in-hospital CRKP acquisition detected by clinical cultures) and weekly point prevalence were reported as the number of cases per 1000 hospital bedsIncidence was found to change significantly after intervention 2 (06/2007) and 3 (10/2008). Prevalence was found to change significantly only in September 2009 (after intervention 4)In the emergency department, the mean rate of compliance with the active surveillance protocol ( $\pm$ SD) was 43% $\pm$ 10%	ITS Protection against secula changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable to low quality)

	bacteria	follow-up	Incounto	assessment
		Length of post-intervention 4: 15 months		
To examine the effect of the antibiotic stewardship programme on the incidence of resistant Gram- negative HAIs <b>Participants</b> SICU <i>N</i> =6044, TICU <i>N</i> =14,802 Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: 14,277, female: 6569 Inclusion criteria: all patients admitted to the SICU or TICU during the study period who contracted an HAI with microbiological confirmation of at least one Gram- negative pathogen, at least 18 years of age Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: <i>P.</i> aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp. Resistant to: aminoglycosides, carbapenems, cephalosporins (third- and fourth-generation), fluoroquinolones Mechanism of resistance: not reported	<ul> <li>Intervention         <ol> <li>Antibiotic stewardship: April 2002, guidelines for prophylactic antibiotics were devised for select procedures</li> <li>Antibiotic rotation: January 2005, institution-wide initiative for surgical prophylaxis based on the Surgical Care Improvement Project</li> </ol> </li> <li>Length of pre-intervention: 15 months         <ol> <li>Length of post-intervention 1: 11 months</li> <li>Length of post-intervention 2: 16 months</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Antibiotic use Both in the SICU and TICU and there was a significant decrease in the utilization of total broad-spectrum antibiotics (BLIC, carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, third- and fourth- generation cephalosporins) targeting Gram-negative pathogens over the observation period ( <i>P</i> <0.001) Infection During the 8-year observation period, the proportion of healthcare- associated infections caused by MDR Gram-negative pathogens decreased from 37.4% (2001) to 8.5% (2008), whereas the proportion of healthcare-associated infections caused by pan-sensitive pathogens increased from 34.1% to 53.2%	ITS Protection against secula changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable to low quality)
To examine the effect of restricting ciprofloxacin use on the resistance of nosocomial Gram-negative bacilli, including <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , to group 2 carbapenems in a hospital's ICUs and intermediate care units <b>Participants</b> <i>N:</i> not reported Age: not reported	Bacteria: E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, P. aeruginosa, A. baumannii Resistant to: carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem, doripenem),	Intervention Restriction of ciprofloxacin: ciprofloxacin use was restricted hospital wide in July 2007; after this restriction, pre-approval by the on-call infectious diseases fellow was required for its use Length of pre-intervention: 42 months	Antibiotic use Following the restriction of ciprofloxacin, there was a significant decreasing trend ( <i>P</i> =0.0027) in its use, from 87.09 DDD/1000 patient- days in 2004 to 8.04 DDD/1000 patient-days in 2010. Use of the group 2 carbapenems increased significantly ( <i>P</i> =0.0134) from 11.96 DDD/1000 patient-days in 2004 to	ITS Protection against secula changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable
F S A Z N I a t H corror E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Participants SICU <i>N</i> =6044, TICU <i>N</i> =14,802 Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: 14,277, female: 6569 Inclusion criteria: all patients admitted to the SICU or TICU during he study period who contracted an HAI with microbiological confirmation of at least one Gram- negative pathogen, at least 18 years of age Exclusion criteria: not reported To examine the effect of restricting ciprofloxacin use on the resistance of nosocomial Gram-negative bacilli, ncluding <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , to group 2 carbapenems in a hospital's ICUs and intermediate care units Participants V: not reported Age: not reported	Participantsaminoglycosides, carbapenems, cephalosporins (third- and fourth-generation), fluoroquinolonesAdults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: 14,277, female: 6569aminoglycosides, carbapenems, cephalosporins (third- and fourth-generation), fluoroquinolonesInclusion criteria: all patients admitted to the SICU or TICU during he study period who contracted an HAI with microbiological confirmation of at least one Gram- negative pathogen, at least 18 years of ageMechanism of resistance: not reportedExclusion criteria: not reportedBacteria: E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, P. aeruginosa, A. baumanniiFo examine the effect of restricting ciprofloxacin use on the resistance of nosocomial Gram-negative bacilli, ncluding P. aeruginosa, to group 2 carbapenems in a hospital's ICUs and intermediate care unitsBacteria: E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, P. aeruginosa, A. baumanniiParticipants V: not reported Age: not reportedResistant to: carbapenems, (mipenem, meropenem, doripenem), cephalosporins	<ul> <li>Participants</li> <li>SICU N=6044, TICU N=14,802</li> <li>Adults 19–45 years, middle aged</li> <li>46–64 years, aged 65–79 years</li> <li>Male: 14,277, female: 6569</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: all patients</li> <li>admitted to the SICU or TICU during he study period who contracted an tAI with microbiological zonfirmation of at least one Gramnegative pathogen, at least 18 years of age</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: not reported</li> <li>Fo examine the effect of restricting ciprofloxacin use on the resistance of nosocomial Gram-negative bacilli, ncluding <i>P. aeruginosa</i>, to group 2</li> <li>arbapenems in a hospital's ICUs and intermediate care units</li> <li>Participants</li> <li>V: not reported</li> <li>Age: not reported</li> </ul>	Participantsaminoglycosides, carbapenems, cephalosporins (hird- and fourth-generation), fluoroquinolonesproceduresfluoroquinolones, third- and fourth- generation cephalosporins) targeting Gram-negative pathogens over the observation period (P<0.001)Male: 14,277, female: 6569mechanism of resistance: not reported2. Antibiotic rotation: January 2005, institution-wide initiative for surgical prophylaxis based on the Surgical Care Improvement ProjectInfection During the 8-year observation period, the proportion of healthcare- associated infections caused by MDR Gram-negative pathogens decreased from 37.4% (2001) to 8.5% (2008), whereas the proportion of healthcare-associated infections caused by pan-sensitive pathogens increased from 34.1% to 53.2%To examine the effect of restricting profloxacin use on the resistance fon soccomial Gram-negative pathogens increased in generation caused bacilli, neluding P. aeruginosa, to group 2 arabapenems (impenem, wrot reportedBacteria: E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, P. aeruginosa, A. baumanitiIntervention Restriction of ciprofloxacin: ciprofloxacin use was restricted hospital wide in July 2007; after the on-call infectious diseases fellow was required for its useAntibiotic use Following the restriction of carbapenems (impenem, meropenem, doripenem), cephalosporinsIntervention: Length of pre-intervention: 12 10 monthsParticipants W: not reported Speint-days in 2004 to 8.04 DDD/1000 patient-days in 2004 to 28.19 DDD/1000 p

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
January 2004– December 2010	Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: all clinical ICU and intermediate care unit specimens (blood, sterile fluid, sputum, urine, wounds and anaerobic specimens) with test results that were positive for <i>P. aeruginosa, E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, A. baumannii</i> and <i>S. maltophilia</i> . Only nosocomial cases, defined as involving patients who had a hospital length of stay exceeding two days Exclusion criteria: results of surveillance and environmental sample cultures.	(cefepime), piperacillin/tazobactam, fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin) Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Length of post-intervention: 42 months	<ul> <li>2010. Overall, there was a hospital-wide decrease of 18.4% (<i>P</i>&lt;0.0001) in the use of antibacterials during the study time</li> <li>Infection There were no changes observed in the number of nosocomial <i>S. maltophilia</i> isolates per 10,000 patient-days following the restriction of ciprofloxacin Antibiotic resistance Over the seven-year time period, there was a decrease of 13.7% in the percentage of ciprofloxacin-resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates that were collected, which equates to a decrease of 3.9% per year (<i>P</i>=0.0017). No significant changes was observed in the susceptibilities to the group II carbapenems of nosocomial Enterobacteriaceae or <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates</li></ul>	
Meyer 2009	To test whether reduction of third- generation cephalosporin use has a sustainable positive impact on the	Bacteria: E. coli, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa	<ol> <li>Intervention</li> <li>Education programmes for professionals and patients in</li> </ol>	Antibiotic use Following the implementation of guidelines in a surgical ICU, a	ITS Protection against secula
Setting Tertiary (one ICU) Germany January 2002–	high endemic prevalence of third generation cephalosporin-resistant <i>K. pneumoniae</i> and <i>E. coli</i> in an ICU <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =3758 Age: not reported	Resistant to: cephalosporins (third- generation), piperacillin Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	<ul> <li>July 2004</li> <li>2. Education sessions on antibiotic guidelines were held in the departments of surgery and anaesthesiology</li> <li>3. Empiric standard therapy for peritonitis and other intra- abominal infections was</li> </ul>	significant and sustainable decrease in the use of third-generation cephalosporins of -110.2 DDD/1000 patient-days (95% CI -140.0 to -80.4, R <sup>2</sup> =0.468) was observed. There was a significant reduction in the use of ampicillins (-167.4 DDD/1000, 95% CI -223.8 to -110.9, R <sup>2</sup> =0.378) and in	changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (high quality)

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
December 2006	Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: not reported Exclusion criteria: not reported		switched from third- generation cephalosporins to piperacillin in combination with a beta-lactamase inhibitor. The duration of antibiotic therapy for open fractures was shortened to single-shot pre-operative prophylaxis Length of pre-intervention: 30 months Length of post-intervention: 30 months	the use of imidazoles (-94.5 DDD/1000, 95% CI -121.2 to -67.7, R <sup>2</sup> =0.463) The use of aminoglycosides decreased steadily before and after the intervention (slope -1.4 DDD/1000 patient-days per month, 95% CI -1.8 to -1.0, R <sup>2</sup> =0.430); piperacillin and piperacillin/tazobactam showed a significant increase in level of 64.4 DDD/1000 patient-days (95% CI 38.5–90.3) and continued to increase by 2.3 DDD/1000 patient- days (95% CI 1.0–3.6) per month after the intervention (R <sup>2</sup> =0.745)	
Meyer 2010 ITS Setting Tertiary (one ICU) Germany January 2002– December 2006	To evaluate the impact of a reduced duration of antibiotic prophylaxis for cerebrospinal shunts on total antibiotic use in the ICU and key resistant pathogens <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =11,887 Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: monthly data on antimicrobial use obtained from the computerized pharmacy database. Monthly resistance data collected from the microbiology laboratory.	Bacteria: <i>E. coli, K.</i> pneumoniae, <i>P.</i> aeruginosa Resistant to: carbapenems (imipenem), cephalosporins (third- generation) Mechanism of resistance: not reported	InterventionChange in antibiotic prophylaxis:Revised recommendation ofsingle-shot prophylaxis withcefuroxime for shunt catheters,beginning in January 2004Length of pre-intervention: 24months priorLength of post-intervention:36 months following	Antibiotic use Following the implementation of a comprehensive teaching session on antibiotic prophylaxis in cerebrospinal shunts in a surgical ICU, pre-operative prophylaxis for shunt catheters was changed into single-shot prophylaxis, and total antibiotic use decreased (–147.3 DDD/1000 patient-days, <i>P</i> =0.052). This corresponded to a decrease of 15% in the use of cefuroxime. The reduction in total antibiotic consumption was sustainable and did not increase over the next 36 months.	ITS Protection against secula changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable quality)

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	Only samples taken in the ICU were considered Exclusion criteria: copy strains – defined as an isolate of the same species showing the same susceptibility pattern throughout a 1- month period in the same patient, no matter what the site of isolation				
Yong 2010 ITS Setting Tertiary (one ICU) Australia January 2000– December 2006	To perform an evaluation of changes in antibiotic susceptibility patterns in common Gram-negative organisms isolated from an ICU to demonstrate whether an observed reduction in broad-spectrum antibiotic use alters the resistance patterns of local bacteria <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =13,295 Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: not reported Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Enterobacter spp., P. aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp. Resistant to: aminoglycosides, carbapenems (imipenem), cephalosporins (ceftazidime), fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin) Mechanism of resistance: not reported	InterventionNational guidelines on antimicrobial prescribing; antibiotic stewardship via computerized decision support systems. In 2001, one system guiding antibiotic use outside the ICU – a web-based antimicrobial approval system for third- generation cephalosporins (cefotaxime and ceftriaxone). In 2002, targeting the ICU specifically – computerized decision support system for antibiotic prescribingLength of pre-intervention: 54 months	Antibiotic use Following the implementation of national guidelines on antimicrobial prescribing and antibiotic stewardship, there was a significant reduction in the number of imipenem-resistant <i>E. coli</i> and <i>Klebsiella</i> spp. isolates observed in the ICU. A small but significant improvement in the number of imipenem-resistant <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. isolates was also observed. For Enterobacteriaceae with potentially inducible beta- lactamases, no significant changes was observed in imipenem susceptibility, although gentamicin susceptibility increased at a rate of 2.1%/year (95% CI 0.7–3.4), and ciprofloxacin susceptibility increased at a rate of 0.9%/year (95% CI 0.1– 1.7)	ITS Protection against secula changes (high quality) Protection against detection bias (acceptable to low quality)
				ICU antibiotic consumption	

	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
				The use of antibiotics to cover Gram- negative bacteria in the ICU, including third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins, carbapenems, extended-spectrum penicillins, aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones remained stable during the study period	
Xue 2009	To determine the relation of	Bacteria: A. baumanniii	Intervention	Mortality	RCT
	carbapenem restriction with the		Carbapenem restriction policy	Mortality rates did not differ	Low
RCI	Incidence of MDR A. baumannii in	Resistant to:	limiting the use of third-	significantly between the treatment	methodological
Setting	VAF	carbapenents	used when severe sensis and	2 12)	quality (0)
Tertiary (one	Participants	Mechanism of	after consultation with a		Small sample
ICU)	N=26	resistance: ESBL	physician from the Department	Antibiotic resistance	size
China	Adults 19–45 years, middle aged		of Infectious Diseases. N=12	More patients in the conventional	
luno 2007	46–64 years, aged 65–79 years		Control group	group developed a carbapenem-	
December	Male: 15. female: 11		Conventional treatment: no	although the difference was not	
2007			restrictions of carbapenem	statistically significant (RR 0.63; 95%	
	Inclusion criteria: Patients receiving		(doctors were able to prescribe if	CI 0.38–1.04)	
	five days and diagnosed with VAP		necessary). <i>I</i> V=15		
	ive days and diagnosed with VAP		Length of follow-up: duration of		
	Exclusion criteria: not reported		treatment		

*K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumonia; P.aeruginosa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; A. baumanniii, Acinetobacter baumanniii; E. coli, Escherichia coli; E. aerogenes; Enterobacter aerogenes; E. cloacae, Enterobacter cloacae; S. maltophilia, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia; CRKP, carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae*; SICU, surgical intensive care unit; TICU, trauma intensive care unit; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia; MDR, multi-drug resistant; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; BLIC, beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations; ITS, interrupted time series; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ICU, intensive care unit; FQ, fluoroquinolones; 3/4CEPH, third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins; HAI, healthcare-associated infection; CI, confidence interval; RR, risk ratio; DDD, defined daily dose; SD, standard deviation.

#### 4.3.2. Other infection control measures

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Levin 2010	To analyse whether single patient	Bacteria: Acinetobacter	Intervention	Infection control	СВА
СВА	bacterial transmission between ICU patients	negative bacteria	rooms. Old ICU A <i>N</i> =64, new ICU A <i>N</i> =62	significantly lower ICU acquisition of resistant organisms when compared	methodological quality (0)
Setting		Resistant to:		with ICU B during the same period	
Tertiary (two ICUs)	Participants N=207	carbapenems	<b>Control group</b> ICU B remained open plan. Old	[3/62 (5%) vs 7/39 (18%), respectively, <i>P</i> =0.043], which was	
Israel	Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not	Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	ICU B <i>N</i> =44, new ICU B <i>N</i> =39	confirmed using survival analysis ( <i>P</i> =0.011). ICU B showed no	
Dates not reported	reported		Length of follow-up: not reported	changes over the study	
	Inclusion criteria: not reported				
	Exclusion criteria: not reported				

ICU, intensive care unit; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; CBA, controlled before-after study.

# 4.3.3. Selective decontamination

Study	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative	Intervention, control and	Results	Quality
details		bacteria	follow-up		assessment
Agusti 2002	To determine the efficacy of SDD in	Bacteria: A. baumannii	Intervention	Bacterial colonization	Quasi-
	patients with multi-drug-resistant A.		SDD: a combination of	Rates of faecal, pharyngeal and	randomized
Quasi-	baumannii intestinal colonization	Resistant to:	polymyxin E (colistin) (150 mg)	axillary colonization did not	Low
randomized		aminoglycosides	and tobramycine (80 mg)	significantly reduce during ICU stay	methodological
	Participants	(tobramycine)	administered in 20-mL liquid	in the control group (P value not	quality (0)
Setting	N=54		form x 4/day (orally or through	reported). In the SDD group, the rate	

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Tertiary (one ICU) Spain October 1998–June 1999	Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: 16, female: 5 Inclusion criteria: Intervention group 1. All patients with <i>A. baumannii</i> fecal colonization 2. An expected ICU stay exceeding five days Control group 1. All patients admitted 1 October– 30 Novembe 1998 with <i>A. baumannii</i> faecal colonization 2. At least one series of axillary- pharyngeal-rectal swab performed Exclusion criteria: not reported	Mechanism of resistance: not reported	nasogastric tube), and 0.5 g of gel containing 2% of colistin and tobramycine applied round the gum margins and oropharynx x 4/day. Duration of treatment from detection of <i>A. baumannii</i> to discharge from ICU. <i>N</i> =21 <b>Control group</b> No intervention. <i>N</i> =33 <b>Length of follow-up</b> : duration of treatment	of faecal and pharyngeal carriage was reduced significantly ( <i>P</i> <0.001 and <i>P</i> =0.003, respectively), but not the rate of cutaneous carriage <b>Antibiotic resistance</b> MDR <i>A. baumannii</i> had not been detected at the time of faecal carriage in 21 of 33 (63.6%) of the control group and 11 of 21 (52.3%) of the SDD group. In the SDD group, all <i>A. baumannii</i> strains were tobramycin resistant and susceptible to colistin at the beginning of the study. No resistance to colistin developed during the study	Small sample size
Brun- Buisson 1989 Quasi- randomized Setting Tertiary (one ICU) France January 1987-May 1987	To study the efficacy of intestinal decontamination by oral non- absorbable antibiotic agents to control a nosocomial outbreak of intestinal colonization and infection with MDR Enterobacteriaceae, and to examine its effects on endemic nosocomial infection rates. <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =86 Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: not reported, female: not reported	Bacteria: Enterobacter spp., <i>P. aeruginosa</i> Resistant to: aminoglycosides (amikacin), third- generation cephalosporins Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	Intervention SDD: a combination of polymyxin E (colistin), 50 mg; neomycin, 1 g; and nalidixic acid (quinolone), 1 g administered in liquid form x 4/day either orally or through a nasogastric tube, starting within 24 h of admission and continuing until discharge from the unit. <i>N</i> =36 <b>Control group</b> No prophylaxis. <i>N</i> =50 <b>Length of follow-up</b> : not reported	<ul> <li>Mortality         All-cause mortality and mortality from             nosocomial infections did not differ             significantly between patients             receiving SDD or no prophylaxis            Clinical success/improvement         There was no significant difference             between patients receiving SDD or             no prophylaxis in:             -         the incidence of any nosocomial             infection             -         the infections caused by Gramnegative bacteria</li></ul>	Quasi- randomized Low methodological quality (0)

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	Inclusion criteria: 1. Consecutive patients with unit stay exceeding two days 2. Severity score at admission >2 Exclusion criteria: 1. Severe neutropenia routinely receiving oral antibiotic prophylaxis			<ul> <li>the number of nosocomial infections that needed antibiotic treatment</li> <li>There was no significant difference in the number of patients staying on ICU longer than seven or 15 days</li> <li>Bacterial colonization One SDD patient and 12 no prophylaxis patients were positive for MDR strains (RR 0.12; 95% CI 0.02– 0.85). No new cases of MDR strains of Enterobacteriacae were detected during the first four months after the trial</li> <li>Adverse events Three no prophylaxis patients needed therapy for a septic episode caused by Enterobacteriacae; however, this was not significantly different from the intervention group</li> </ul>	
Saidel-Odes 2012 RCT	To assess the effectiveness of SDD for eradicating CRKP oropharyngeal and gastrointestinal carriage	Bacteria: K. pneumoniae Resistant to:	Intervention SDD: topical application in the oropharynx of colistin sulfomethate sodium 100,000 U	<b>Mortality</b> The rate of mortality did not differ significantly between the SDD group and the placebo group. The causes of mortality were not reported. No	RCT High methodological quality (++)
Setting Tertiary (one internal medicine ward) Israel	N=40 Middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65– 79 years, elderly 80+ years Male: 26, female: 14 Inclusion criteria: 1. Hospitalized patients with CRKP colonization with or without infection	Mechanism of resistance: not reported	mg per g incorporated into the gel. Dose of 0.5 g x 4/day for seven days. Plus an oral solution of 80 mg of gentamicin and 1x10 U of polymyxin E (colistin), given orally or through a nasogastric tube X 4/day for seven days. N=20	Antibiotic susceptibility CRKP isolates from patients in the SDD arm remained susceptible to gentamicin and polymyxin E throughout the study (MIC ≤2 mg/mL and ≤0.094 mg/mL, respectively)	Small sample size

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
November 2008–June 2010	<ul> <li>2. &gt;18 years of age</li> <li>3. Available for a follow-up period (while hospitalized or as outpatients) of at least seven weeks</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: &lt;18 years of age, pregnancy, lactation, a known allergy to one of the study drugs, renal failure with creatinine clearance less than 50 mL/min, treatment with intravenous gentamicin or intravenous, polymyxin E at the time of randomization</li> </ul>		<b>Control group</b> Placebo: topical application in the oropharynx of the placebo gel, which was compounded from carboxymethyl cellulose. Dose of 0.5 g x 4/day for seven days. Plus two oral solutions, one containing sodium chloride 0.45% and the other containing pulverized sacarin, given orally or through a nasogastric tube X 4/day for seven days. <i>N</i> =20	<b>Bacterial colonization</b> At the end of treatment, the number of participants in the SDD group that had a throat culture that was CRKP positive reduced from 30% to 0%, whereas in the placebo group, this reduced from 35% to 30% ( <i>P</i> <0.0001)	

A. baumannii, Acinetobacter baumannii; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; MDR, multi-drug resistant; SDD, selective digestive decontamination; RR, risk ratio, CI, confidence interval; CRKP, carbapenem-resistant K. pneumonia; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ICU, intensive care unit.

# 4.3.4. Systematic reviews

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Falagas 2009 <sup>1</sup> Setting International Search up to January 2009	To assess the clinical and microbiological effectiveness of fosfomycin in the treatment of MDR, XDR or PDR non-fermenting Gram- negative bacterial infections <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =33 Studies: 23 microbiological, one animal and three cohort studies and three case reports Inclusion criteria: microbiological, animal experimental or clinical data on the effect of fosfomycin against MDR non-fermenting Gram-negative pathogens such as <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp., <i>Stenotrophomonas</i> spp. and <i>Burkholderia</i> spp. MDR, XDR or PDR non-fermenting Gram-negative bacilli or to Gram-negative bacilli with resistance to two or more classes of potentially effective antimicrobial agents Exclusion criteria: studies written in languages other than English, French, German, Italian or Spanish.	Bacteria: <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp., <i>Stenotrophomonas</i> spp. and <i>Burkholderia</i> spp. See Table II in the paper for details of clinical studies	Intervention Fosfomycin Control group Combination of fosfomycin with other antimicrobial agents	Microbiological: a total of 1859 MDR non-fermenting Gram-negative isolates. Susceptibility rate to fosfomycin of MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates was ≥90% and 50–90% in 7/19 and 4/19 relevant studies, respectively. 30.2% isolates of MDR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , 3.5% MDR <i>A.</i> <i>baumannii</i> isolates were found to be susceptible to fosfomycin Clinical: 91% of the patients clinically improved (treatment of infections caused by MDR <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> )	Low methodological quality (0) This review was included because it is on the topic; however, the conclusions reached are not supported by the study design

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	Studies representing abstracts in scientific conferences				
Falagas 2009 <sup>2</sup> Setting Not reported Searches performed: 9 July 2008, 16 July 2008 and 11 September 2008	To evaluate the available clinical evidence regarding the effectiveness and safety of systemic colistin in children without cystic fibrosis <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =370 Studies: 10 case series and 15 case reports Inclusion criteria: studies with data regarding the use of intravenous, intrathecal, intramuscular or intraventricular colistin in paediatric patients for the treatment of infections caused by colistin- susceptible pathogens or for prophylaxis. All or the majority of patients involved in each individual study should not have cystic fibrosis Exclusion criteria: studies that focused on colistin use in paediatric patients with cystic fibrosis, or reporting the use of oral colistin or the use of colistin for topical treatment in paediatric patients. Abstracts in scientific conferences or studies published in languages other	Bacteria: P. aeruginosa, A. baumannii, K. aerogenes, H. influenza, P. pyocyanin, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae and A. aerogenes See Table I in the paper for details of studies	Intervention Colistin for the treatment of infections ( <i>N</i> =326) Control group Colistin for surgical prophylaxis or prophylaxis of infections in burns patients ( <i>N</i> =44)	Case series treatment: 271 evaluable subjects Cure: 235/271 Improvement: 10/271 Deterioration: 6/271 Death: 20/271 Adverse effects (included in safety assessment <i>N</i> =311) 1. Nephrotoxicity: 33/311 had cylindruria or haematuria, 8/311 had a blood urea nitrogen elevation of >10% (in one child owing to an overdosage of colistin), 5/311 had renal tubular cells in the urine, 3/311 had proteinuria and 2/311 had a significant increase in serum creatinine levels during intravenous colistin treatment. Data regarding adverse events not provided for two children 2. Neurotoxicity: 0/311 3. Other: 8/311 Case series prophylaxis: Incidence of infection: 0/44 Death: 9/44 attributed to the underlying pathologies. No signs of colistin-related toxicity were found Adverse effects:	Acceptable methodological quality (+) This review was included because it is on the topic; however, the conclusions reached are not supported by th study design
	German, Italian or Greek			persistent for up	

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
				to one week after withdrawal of colistin: 16/44 2. Proteinuria, disappearing right after colistin withdrawal: 14/44 3. Oliguria during the initial stages of colistin treatment: 1/44 4. No adverse events: 13/44	
Falagas 2010 <sup>3</sup> Setting International Searches up to January 2009	To the evidence on fosfomycin as a treatment option for infections caused by members of the family Enterobacteriaceae with advanced resistance to antimicrobial drugs, including producers of ESBL <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =119 Studies: 17 in-vitro microbiological studies, two prospective studies, one retrospective study and two case reports Inclusion criteria: studies on Enterobacteriaceae isolates with an advanced drug resistance (MDR, carbapenem resistance, or production of ESBLs, AmpC β-lactamases, serine carbapenemases or metallo-β-lactamases) profile and their susceptibility to fosfomycin, and the clinical effectiveness of treatment with fosfomycin for infections with these pathogens	Bacteria: Microbiological studies K. pneumoniae isolates, E. coli Clinical studies E. coli, S. typhimurium, S. typhi See Table III in the paper for details of studies	Intervention Amoxicillin-clavulanate potassium Control group Fosfomycin–trometamol in two of the <i>E. coli</i> studies	<ul> <li>Microbiological success</li> <li>11 of the 17 studies reported that at least 90% of the isolates were susceptible to fosfomycin</li> <li>Clinical efficacy</li> <li>Measured in four studies.</li> <li>Two studies oral treatment for lower UTI with ESBL-producing <i>E. coli</i> (one prospective and one retrospective) resulted in the treatment group with clinical cure in 75 of the 80 (93.8%) patients included in these studies.</li> <li>Two case reports of infection due to MDR <i>Salmonella</i> spp. Reported treatment was effective with fosfomycin</li> </ul>	Low methodological quality (0) This review was included because it is on the topic; however, the conclusions reached are not supported by th study design

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	Exclusion criteria: abstracts in scientific conferences or studies published in languages other than English, Spanish, French, German, Italian or Greek				
Falagas 2012 <sup>4</sup> Setting Not reported Searches from 2000 to 2010	To identify and evaluate the available data regarding the susceptibility of recent Gram- negative bacteria to isepamicin, including that of MDR strains of bacteria <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =512 Studies=11 microbiological, one RCT, one prospective study, one restrospective study Inclusion criteria: either a microbiological (in-vitro) study that evaluated the susceptibility of Gram- negative bacterial isolates (including MDR ones) to isepamicin or a clinical study that evaluated the use of isepamicin, given for the treatment of infections by the aforementioned pathogens or for prophylaxis for this type of infection. In addition, studies deemed relevant should have been published between 2000 and 2010 Exclusion criteria: studies that examined a sample of fewer than 10	Bacteria: Clinical studies S. epidermidis, E. coli, S. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa See Table II in the paper for details of studies	Intervention Isepamicin Control group Two clinical studies – amikacin one clinical study – isepamicin + levofloxacin for prophylaxis	Microbiological: isepamicin was more effective in four studies than amikacin, six studies reported as effective, one study both groups ineffective. In studies including MDR bacteria, 2/4 reported more effective than amikacin; 1/4 as effective as amikacin; 1/4 both isepamicin and amikacin ineffective Clinical: 1. Paediatric infection treatment studies: 100% clinical and bacteriological response for both the isepamicin and the amikacin arms. Definition of clinical response not stated (e.g. cure, improvement) 2. Prophylactic study: acute bacterial prostatitis 1.3%	Low methodological quality (0) This review was included because it is on the topic; however, the conclusions reached are not supported by the study design

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	isolates or patients, studies referring to synergistic or pharmacodynamic/ pharmacokinetic parameters of isepamicin, studies that provided data regarding the susceptibility of isepamicin to micro-organisms other than Gram-negative bacteria or the susceptibility of other aminoglycosides only to Gram- negative bacteria. Abstracts in scientific conferences or studies published in languages other than English, Spanish, French, German or Italian				
Kaki 2011 <sup>5</sup> Setting International Search January 1996 to December 2010	To evaluate the current state of evidence for antimicrobial stewardship interventions in the critical care unit <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =not available/not reported for all included studies Studies: three RCTs, three ITSs, and 18 uncontrolled before–after studies Inclusion criteria: application of any intervention; to improve antimicrobial utilization; and within an intensive care setting Exclusion criteria: if no intervention was applied, non-human or non- patient based, non-hospital based,	Bacteria: P. aeruginosa, A. baumannii, E. coli, Klebsiella spp., ESBL See Table I in the paper for details of studies.	Intervention Antimicrobial stewardship: 1. Antibiotic restriction/ pre- approval 2. Computer-assisted decision support 3. Infectious diseases consultant 4. Re-assessment on pre- specified date 5. Antibiotic de-escalation protocols 6. Antibiotic prophylaxis guideline 7. Antibiotic treatment guideline <b>Control group</b> Not reported, presumably no stewardship	Overall stewardship intervention: 1. Reductions in antimicrobial utilization (11–38% defined daily dose/1000 patient-days) 2. Lower total antimicrobial costs (US\$ 5–10/ patient-day) 3. Shorter average duration of antibiotic therapy 4. Less inappropriate use 5. Fewer antibiotic adverse events. stewardship intervention beyond six months: 1. Reductions in antimicrobial resistance rates Antibiotic stewardship was not associated with increases in nosocomial infection rates, length of stay or mortality	High methodological quality (++)

details Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
patients. Additionally, antibiotic cycling. Conference abstracts				
Siempos 20076To clarify whether carbapenems are more effective or safer than other broad-spectrum antibiotics for the 	Bacteria: <i>P. aeruginosa</i> See Table I in the paper for details of studies	Intervention Carbapenems: 1. Imipenem/ cilastatin (eight studies) 2. Meropenem (four studies) Control group Imipenem/ cilastatin compared with: 1. Fluoroquinolones: levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin (three studies) 2. Other beta-lactams: piperacillin/tazobactam, aztreonam, cefepime, ceftazidime (five studies) Meropenem compared with: combination of a cephalosporin (ceftazidime, cefuroxime) with an aminoglycoside (amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin)	<ol> <li>All-cause mortality: lower mortality in the carbapenems group (OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.55–0.95)</li> <li>Treatment success (clinical): no difference between groups (OR 1.08, 95% CI 0.91–1.29)</li> <li>Treatment success (microbiological): no difference between groups (OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.72–1.50)</li> <li>Adverse effects: no difference (0.81, 0.46–1.43)</li> <li><i>P. aeruginosa</i> pneumonia subgroup: lower treatment success (OR 0.42, 95% CI 0.22–0.82) and lower eradication of <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. strains (OR 0.50, 95% CI 0.24–0.89) in the carbamenems group.</li> <li>Late onset of HAP subgroup: no difference between groups (OR 1.34, 95% CI 0.91–1.97)</li> </ol>	High methodological quality (++)

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	haematological malignancies and trials that included fewer than 10 patients with pneumonia who received a carbapenem. Experimental trials and trials focusing on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics parameters. Finally, RCTs comparing the effectiveness and safety of two different carbapenems				

*P. aeruginosa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; A. baumannii, Acinetobacter baumannii; K. aerogenes, Klebsiella aerogenes; H. influenza, Haemophilus influenza; P. pyocyanin, Pseudomonas pyocyanin; K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae; A. aerogenes, Aerobacter aerogenes; E. coli; Escherichia coli; S. typhimurium, Salmonella typhimurium; S.typhi, Salmonella typhi; S. pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae; S. epidermidis, Staphylococcus epidermidis; MDR, multi-drug resistant; XDR, extensively drug resistant; PDR, pan-drug resistant; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.* 

- Falagas ME, Kastoris AC, Karageorgopoulos DE, Rafailidis PI. Fosfomycin for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant nonfermenting Gram-negative bacilli: a systematic review of microbiological, animal and clinical studies. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;34:111–120.
- 2. Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Rafailidis PI. Systemic colistin use in children without cystic fibrosis: a systematic review of the literature. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;**33**:503.e1–e13.
- 3. Falagas ME, Kastoris AC, Kapaskelis AM, Karageorgopoulos DE. Fosfomycin for the treatment of multidrug-resistant, including extendedspectrum beta-lactamase producing, Enterobacteriaceae infections: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010;**10**:43–50.
- 4. Falagas ME, Karageorgopoulos DE, Georgantzi GG, Sun C, Wang R, Rafailidis PI. Susceptibility of Gram-negative bacteria to isepamicin: a systematic review. *Expert Rev Anti-Infect Ther* 2012;**10**:207–218.
- 5. Kaki R, Elligsen M, Walker S, Simor A, Palmay L, Daneman N. Impact of antimicrobial stewardship in critical care: a systematic review. *J* Antimicrob Chemother 2011;66:1223–1230.

6. Siempos II, Vardakas KZ, Manta KG, Falagas ME. Carbapenems for the treatment of immunocompetent adult patients with nosocomial pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2007;**29**:548–560.

#### 4.3.5. Treatment

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Betrosian 2007 RCT Setting Tertiary (1 ICU) Greece October 2004– February 2006	To evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of high-dose regimen ampicillin sulbactam for the treatment of VAP from MDR <i>A.</i> <i>baumannii</i> <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =27 Age: not reported Male: 15, female: <i>N</i> =12 Inclusion criteria: all patients mechanically ventilated for more than 72 h with positive tracheal aspirates for <i>A. baumannii</i> Exclusion criteria: episodes of VAP in which <i>A. baumannii</i> was isolated in conjunction with another micro- organism	Bacteria: A. baumannii Resistant to: ampicillin/sulbactam and susceptible exclusively to colistin (polymyxin E) Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Intervention Ampicillin/sulbactam at a rate 2: 1 every 8 h. 24 g/12 g daily for seven to 10 days. <i>N</i> =13 Control group Ampicillin/sulbactam at a rate 2: 1 every 8 h. 18 g/9 g daily for seven to 10 days. <i>N</i> =14 Length of follow-up: one month	<ul> <li>Mortality         <ul> <li>14-day VAP mortality and 30-day all-cause mortality were not significantly different between treatment groups</li> </ul> </li> <li>Clinical success/improvement         <ul> <li>The number of patients with clinical success and clinical failure was not significantly different between treatment groups</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bacterial colonization         <ul> <li>The two treatment groups showed no difference in the eradication of <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates (bacteriological success), bacteriological failure or superinfection</li> </ul> </li> <li>Adverse events         <ul> <li>There was no difference in the adverse effects experienced by participants</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	RCT Low methodological quality (0) Very small sample size
Betrosian 2008 RCT	To compare the clinical efficacy and safety of high-dose ampicillin/sulbactam vs colistin as monotherapy for the treatment of <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. VAP	Bacteria: <i>A. baumannii</i> Resistant to: Aminoglycosides, carbapenems,	Intervention Colistin, intravenous 3 MIU every 8 h for eight to 10 days. <i>N</i> =15	Mortality 14-day VAP mortality and 28-day all- cause mortality were not significantly different between treatment groups	RCT Low methodological quality (0)

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
Setting Tertiary (2 ICUs) Greece Dates not reported	Participants N=28Middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65– 79 years Male: 14, female: 14Inclusion criteria: ventilated patients for >72 h who developed MDR <i>A.</i> baumannii VAPExclusion criteria: cases of VAP with mixed isolated micro-organisms, combination antibiotic therapy, allergy to beta-lactamase or penicillin, or previous enrolment in similar studies	cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones <b>Mechanism of</b> <b>resistance</b> : not reported	Control groupAmpicillin/sulbactam, 9 g (at a rate 2:1) every 8 h for eight to 10 days, administered as follows: three vials (20 mL each) containing 3.0 g of ampicillin/sulbactam diluted in 200 mL of 5% dextrose provided within 1-h duration infusion. $N=13$ Length of follow-up: two-week- and one-month mortalities	Clinical success/improvement The number of patients with clinical success and clinical failure was not significantly different between treatment groups Bacterial colonization The two treatment groups showed no difference in the eradication of <i>A.</i> <i>baumannii</i> isolates (bacteriological success) or bacteriological failure (persistence of <i>A. baumannii</i> isolates (>104 CFU/mL) Adverse events There was no difference in the adverse effects experienced by participants	Small sample size
Chastre 2003 RCT Setting Tertiary (51 ICUs) France May 1999- June 2002	To compare the efficacy of eight days vs 15 days of antibiotic treatment of patients with microbiologically proven VAP <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =401 Middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65– 79 years Male: 141, female: 46 Inclusion criteria: 1. >18 years of age 2. Clinical suspicion of VAP 3. Positive quantitative cultures of distal pulmonary secretion samples	Bacteria: E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Enterobacter spp., P. aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp., Proteus spp., Serratia spp., C. freundii, M. morgagnii Resistant to: ticarcillin, methicillin Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	Intervention Antibiotics for eight days: specific antibiotics, doses and schedules are not reported. Antibiotics were selected by the treating physicians. As per protocol, the initial regimen should have preferably combined at least an aminoglycoside, or a fluoroquinolone and a broad- spectrum beta-lactam antimicribial agent. <i>N</i> =197	Mortality 28-day and 60-day all-cause mortality and in-hospital mortality did not significantly differ between the eight- and 15-day regimes Clinical success/improvement Risk differences (90% CIs) to develop an unfavourable outcome (defined as death, pulmonary infection recurrence, or prescription of a new antibiotic for any reason provided for ≥48 h) were not significantly different between the eight- and 15-day regimes for all patients (RR 2.6, 90% CI -5.6 to 10.7) and for those patients with	RCT High methodological quality (++)

Study	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative	Intervention, control and	Results	Quality
uetalis		Dacteria	Tonow-up		assessment
	<ul> <li>4. Instigation within the 24 h following of appropriate empirical antibiotic therapy directed against the micro-organism/s responsible for the infection</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: <ol> <li>Pregnant</li> <li>Enrolled in another trial</li> <li>Little chance of survival</li> <li>Neutropenia</li> <li>Concomitant acquired immunodiffeciency syndrome</li> <li>Immunosuppressants or long- term corticosteroid therapy</li> <li>Concomitant extrapulmonary infection that required prolonged antimicrobial treatment</li> <li>Attending physical declined full- life support.</li> <li>Early-onset pneumonia (within the first five days of mechanical ventilation) and no antimicrobial therapy during the 15 days preceding infection.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		Antibiotics for 15 days: specific antibiotics, doses and schedules are not reported. Antibiotics were selected by the treating physicians. As per protocol, the initial regimen should have preferably combined at least an aminoglycoside or a fluoroquinolone and a broad- spectrum beta-lactam antimicribial agent. <i>N</i> =204 Length of follow-up: three months	non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria (RR 8.6, 90% CI -5.9 to 23.1) The rate of and time to (Kaplan- Meier method, log-rank test) pulmonary infection considered to be recurrence, relapses or superinfection was not significantly different between treatment regimes. <b>Antibiotic use</b> The number of antibiotic-free days was significantly less for all patients on the eight-day regime, but not for those patients with non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria. No difference was found in the number of patients continuing to receive antibiotics after the end of the trial treatment regimen, or in the number of patients who received an additional course of antibiotics <b>Antibiotic resistance</b> For patients who developed recurrent pulmonary infections, those who had received the eight-day treatment of antibiotics had significantly less emergence of MDR pathogens compared with those who had received the 15-day treatment (42.1% vs 62.3% of recurrent infections, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.04)	

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
	_				
Cox 1987	To compare the efficacy of norfloxacin vs standard parenteral	Bacteria: E. coli, Klebsiella spp.	Norfloxacin 400 mg x2/day.	Clinical success/improvement No significant differences were found	Acceptable
RCT	treatment of non-bacteraemic,	Enterobacter spp., P.	minimum treatment seven days.	between norfloxacin and standard	methodological
Sotting	hospital-acquired UTI	aeruginosa, Serratia	<i>N</i> =52 (46 evaluable patients)	parenteral antibiotic treatment in the	quality (+)
Secondary	Participants	morgagnii	Control group	clinically cured, showed clinical	
(two	<i>N</i> =104		Aminoglycosides alone;	improvement or had treatment failure	
hospitals)	Age: not reported	Resistant to: not	aminoglycosides and		
USA	Male: not reported, female: not	reported	meziocillin/ticarcillin;	Superinfection Rates of superinfection and early re-	
March 1985-		Mechanism of	cephalosporin; aminoglycosides	infection also did not differ	
December	Inclusion criteria:	resistance: not	and vancomycin, cephalosporin,	significantly between the norfloxacin	
1985	1. Hospitalized patients	reported	cefotaxime alone, administered	and standard parenteral antibiotic	
	3 Documented UTI caused by an		manufacturers' quidelines N=52	treatment groups	
	organism known or presumed		(48 evaluable patients)	Antibiotic resistance	
	susceptible to norfloxacin			No differences in the number of	
	Evolucion oritorio:		Length of follow-up: seven (SD	patients experiencing adverse	
	1, <18 years of age		weeks	receiving norfloxacin and those	
	2. Pregnant or not practising an			receiving standard parenteral	
	effective means of birth control			antibiotics	
	3. A history of allergic diathesis or				
	acid or norfloxacin				
	4. Functional renal abnormalities or				
	unstable deteriorating renal function				
	5. Comatose or high probability of imminent death				
	6. Serious concurrent infection				
	7. Treated or recently completed				
	treatment				
	WITH ANTIDIOTICS				
	psychiatric disorder or central				
	nervous system disease				

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
			•		
Giamarellou 1990 RCT Setting Tertiary (one ICU) Greece Dates not reported	To evaluate the efficacy of monotherapy with pefloxacin in secondary ICU pulmonary infections in comparison with imipenem <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =71 Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years, elderly 80+ years Male: 42, female: 29 Inclusion criteria: adult patients presenting serious bacterial infections of the respiratory tract Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: E. coli, K. pneumoniae, Enterobacter spp. (various Enterobacteriaceae), P. aeruginosa, A. anitratus, P. mira, S. marcescens Resistant to: aminoglycosides (gentamicine, tobramycin, netilmicin, amikacin), aztreonam, carbapenems (imipenem), cephalosporins (cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone), fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin) Mechanism of resistance: not	Intervention Pefloxacin intravenously 400 mg, every 8 h for 11.5 (SD 5.8) days. <i>N</i> =35 Control group Imipenem intravenously 1 g every 8 h for 12.9 (SD 6.2) days. <i>N</i> =36 Length of follow-up: duration of treatment	MortalityThere were three deaths related to sepsis in the imipenem group and one in the pefloxacin group (although the sepsis was not related to the bronchopneumonia, but to an underlying abdominal infection). All- cause mortality was not reportedClinical success/improvement No differences were found in the number of patients cured, the number with superinfection that was cured, the number showing improvement and the number experiencing treatment failure. Bacterial eradication rates were significantly lower in the imipemem group [55.3% vs 82.9%, respectively (P<0.001)]Antibiotic resistance Resistance development among persisting strains was also significantly different (data not	RCT Acceptable methodological quality (+)
		reported		reported, <i>P</i> <0.05) <b>Adverse events</b> No systemic reactions or abnormal laboratory parameters were reported in either treatment group	
Huttner 2013	To investigate if intestinal carriage of ESBL-E can be eradicated	Bacteria: Enterobacter spp. (ESBL-E)	Intervention Colistin sulfate 50 mg (equivalent to 42 mg colistin	Clinical success/improvement The rate of eradication of ESBL-E was significantly different between	RCT

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
RCT Setting Secondary (all inpatient wards of a single hospital) Switzerland June 2009– June 2012	Participants N=58 Adolescents 13–18 years, adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years, elderly 80+ years Male: 34, female: 24 Inclusion criteria: aged ≥18 years; ESLB-E-positive rectal swab Exclusion criteria: patients with active ESLB infection, patients treated with antibiotics active against ESLB-E, pregnancy/breastfeeding, contraindication to the use of study drugs, previous study enrolment and resistance of the colonizing ESLB-E strain to colistin (defined as MIC >2 mg/L	Resistant to: cefotaxime, cefotaxime/ clavulanic acid, ceftazidime, ceftazidime/clavulanic acid, cefepime, cefepime/clavulanic acid Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	base or 1.26 million units 4x/day) and neomycin sulfate (250 mg equivalent to 178 mg neomycin base 4xday) for 10 days. In the presence of ESBL-E bacteriuria, the patients were also treated with nitrofurantoin (100 mg 3x/day) for five days. <i>N</i> =27 <b>Control group</b> Placebo. <i>N</i> =27 <b>Length of follow-up</b> : 28 (SD seven) days	treatment regimes during treatment (day 6; RR 0.40; 95% CI 0.23–0.70) or in the first day after treatment (RR 0.42; 95% CI 0.23–0.76), but did not differ in the end of follow-up <b>Treatment adherence</b> There was no significant difference between groups in the number of patients that adhered to treatment, measured by counting the number of pills on the boxes of study medication <b>Adverse events</b> No statistically significant difference was found between the treatment groups in the number of patients with at least one episode of liquid stool	High methodological quality (++)
Moskowitz 2011 RCT Secting Secondary (seven cystic fibrosis centres) USA February 2007	To assess whether biofilm-growing bacteria susceptibility testing of <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> correlates better with clinical outcomes in chronic cystic fibrosis airway infections, when compared with conventional antibiotic susceptibility testing <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =39 Adolescents 13–18 years, adults 19–45 years Male: 25, female: 14	Bacteria: <i>P. aeruginosa</i> Resistant to: aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Intervention Biofilm testing: bioflim regimens of two antibiotics were selected centrally using a published algorithm, which calculated for each bacterial morphotype the biofilm minimum inhibitory quotient of each drug, defined as achievable serum concentration divided by biofilm MIC. <i>N</i> =20 <b>Control group</b> Conventional testing:	Antibiotic susceptibility Participants were assigned to 12 different regimens. The most common regimens included meropenem (52%) and ciprofloxacin (49%). Azithromycin-containing regimens were used for only two participants (5%), both in the biofilm group. No participant received ceftazidime and tobramycin, a combination commonly used in cystic fibrosis clinical practice	RCT Acceptable methodological quality (+) Small sample size

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
October 2007	Inclusion criteria: diagnosis of cystic fibrosis, history of persistent <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> airway infection, clinical stability at the time of screening, ≥14 years with at least one prior course of intravenous antibiotics Exclusion criteria: sputum culture negative for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , sputum culture positive for <i>B. cepacia</i> complex species, hospitalization or treatment for an acute pulmonary exacerbation, treatment with oral or inhaled antipseudomonal antibiotics, or azithromycin or other macrolides, within 14 days prior to screening		antibiotics were selected centrally using a published algorithm, which calculated for each bacterial morphotype the conventional minimum inhibitory quotient of each drug defined as achievable serum concentration divided by conventional MIC. <i>N</i> =19 Length of follow-up: 14 days	Of the agents tested, meropenem was most active against biofilm- grown bacteria, but antibiotic regimens based on biofilm testing did not differ significantly from regimens based on conventional testing in terms of microbiological and clinical responses	
Rattanaump awan 2010	To determine whether nebulized CMS as adjunctive therapy of Gram-	Bacteria: <i>E. coli</i> (ESBL +ve) and <i>E. coli</i> (ESBL -	Intervention Systemic antibiotic and	Mortality Rates of mortality due to VAP and	RCT Acceptable
RCT	negative VAP was safe and beneficial	ve), <i>K. pneumoniae</i>	nebulized CMS (parenteral)	all-cause mortality did not differ	methodological
		pneumoniae (ESBL -	base reconstituted in 4 mL of	intervention or control	
Setting	Participants	ve), E. cloacae, P.	NSS every 12 h via a nebulizer	Clinical success/improvement	
hospital)	Middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–	baumannii	systemic antibiotic therapy of	Favourable microbiological outcome	
Thailand	79 years, elderly 80+ years		VAP was ended (decided by	was significantly higher in the	
h.h. 0000	Male: 64, female: 36	Resistant to:	physician). <i>N</i> =51	intervention group compared with the	
July 2006– September	Inclusion criteria: hospitalized	aminoglycosides,	Control group	control group (RK 1.57, 95% Cl 1 03–2 37) but no significant	
2009	patients, ≥18 years of age. diagnosis	fluoroguinolones	Systemic antibiotic(s) plus NSS	difference was observed on clinical	
	of Gram-negative VAP		equivalent to 75 mg of colistin	outcomes	
		Mechanism of	base reconstituted in 4 mL of		
	Exclusion criteria: not reported	resistance: ESBL	NSS every 12 h via a nebulizer	I he overall incidence of	
			systemic antibiotic therapy of	renal impairment did not differ	
			VAP was ended. <i>N</i> =49	between the two treatment groups	

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
			Length of follow-up: 28 days		
Stenderup 1983 RCT Setting Community Denmark Dates not reported	To study the use of mecillinam as a prophylactic for travellers' diarrhoea <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =74 tourists Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years, elderly 80+ years Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: Danish tourists travelling to Egypt and the Far East Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: Enterotoxogeni <i>E. coli</i> Resistant to: mecillinam, tetracyline, sulfonamide, streptomycin, chloramphenicol, kanamycin, ampicillin, cephalosporin, carbenicillin Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Intervention Mecillincam, 200 g, 1x per day for 25 days. <i>N</i> =38 <b>Control group</b> Placebo. <i>N</i> =36 <b>Length of follow-up</b> : duration of treatment	Antibiotic resistance Only 8% of <i>E. coli</i> strains were resistant to three or more antibiotics in the pre-travel samples. Post- travel, after participants had received either mecillinam or placebo, approximately 50% or more of the <i>E.</i> <i>coli</i> was resistant to more than three antibiotics	RCT Low methodological quality (0)
Tannock 2011 RCT Setting Primary (14 long-term care facilities) New Zealand Dates not reported	To test the efficacy of probiotic strain <i>E. coli</i> Nissle 1917 in reducing the carriage of MDR <i>E. coli</i> <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =70 Age: not reported Male: not reported, female: not reported Inclusion criteria: not reported Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: <i>E. coli</i> Resistant to: fluoroquinolones (norfloxacin) Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	Intervention Probiotic: strain <i>E. coli</i> Nissle 1917, 5x10 <sup>9</sup> -5x10 <sup>10</sup> CFU one capsule twice daily for five weeks. <i>N</i> =36 <b>Control group</b> Placebo starch powder capsule. <i>N</i> =33 <b>Length of follow-up</b> : five weeks	Clinical success/improvement There was no significant difference between the probiotic and placebo groups in the number of people with faecal and urine samples becoming negative or remaining positive. Antibiotic resistance 103 norfloxacin-resistant <i>E. coli</i> isolates from 20 probiotic patients were tested for susceptibility. All isolates were resistant to norfloxacin (MIC >256 µg/mL) and ciprofloxacin. The majority of norfloxacin-resistant <i>E. coli</i> isolates were MDR. The combination of MDRs differed	RCT Acceptable methodological quality (+)

Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
				among strains. None of the isolates were ESBL producers.	
Wang 2009 RCT Setting Tertiary (one ICU) China March 2006–July 2006	To report the effectiveness of extended-infusion meropenem compared with conventional bolus dosing in the management of HAP due to MDR <i>A. baumannii</i> <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =30 Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: 19, female: 11 Inclusion criteria: HAP due to MDR <i>A. baumannii</i> Exclusion criteria: not reported	Bacteria: A. baumanniii Resistant to: carbapenems (meropenem) Mechanism of resistance: not reported	Intervention Extended intravenous meropenem infusion: 500 mg every 6 h over a 3-h infusion. <i>N</i> =15 Control group Conventional treatment: intravenous meropenem 1 g. every 8 h over a 1-h infusion. <i>N</i> =15 Length of follow-up: duration of treatment	Clinical success/improvement No significant differences were found between extended-infusion meropenem and conventional bolus dosing in the number of patients with treatment success at days 3, 5 and 7. The rates of relapse also did not significantly differ between the treatment groups Antibiotic resistance No patient developed a meropenem- resistant strain of <i>A. baumannii</i> , and the MIC <sub>90</sub> for meropenem against <i>A. baumannii</i> remained at 2 µg/mL	RCT Acceptable methodological quality (+) Small sample size
Xue 2009 RCT Setting Tertiary (one ICU) China June 2007– December 2007	To determine the relation of carbapenem restriction with the incidence of MDR <i>A. baumannii</i> in VAP <b>Participants</b> <i>N</i> =26 Adults 19–45 years, middle aged 46–64 years, aged 65–79 years Male: 15, female: 11 Inclusion criteria: patients receiving mechanical ventilation for more than five days and diagnosed with VAP	Bacteria: <i>A. baumanniii</i> Resistant to: carbapenems Mechanism of resistance: ESBL	Intervention Carbapenem restriction policy limiting the use of third- generation carbapenems. Only used when severe sepsis and after consultation with a physician from the Department of Infectious Diseases. <i>N</i> =12 <b>Control group</b> Conventional treatment: no restrictions of carbapenem (doctors were able to prescribe if necessary). <i>N</i> =15	<ul> <li>Mortality The rates of mortality did not differ significantly between the treatment groups (RR 0.78; 95% CI 0.29–2.12). </li> <li>Antibiotic resistance More patients in the conventional group developed a carbapenem-resistant strain of <i>A. baumannii</i>, although the difference was not statistically significant (RR 0.63; 95% CI 0.38–1.04)</li></ul>	RCT Low methodological quality (0) Small sample size
Study details	Objective and participants	MDR Gram-negative bacteria	Intervention, control and follow-up	Results	Quality assessment
------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--	---------	-----------------------
	Exclusion criteria: not reported		Length of follow-up: duration of treatment		

P. aeruginosa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; E. coli, Escherichia coli; C. freundii, Citrobacter freundii; M. morgagnii, Morganella morgagnii; A. baumannii, Acinetobacter baumannii; A. anitratus, Acinetobacter anitratus; P. mira, Proteus mira; S.marcescens, Serratia marcescens; B. cepacia, Burkholderia cepacia; MDR, multi-drug resistant; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; CMS, colistimethate sodium; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ICU, intensive care unit; UTI, urinary tract infection; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; NSS, nebulized sterile normal saline; CFU, colonyforming unit; SD, standard deviation; RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence interval.

## 4.4. Systematic Review References

## 4.4.1.Antimicrobial Stewardship

Ben-David D, Maor Y, Keller N, *et al.* Potential role of active surveillance in the control of a hospital-wide outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;**31**:620-626

Borer A, Eskira S, Nativ R, et al. A multifaceted intervention strategy for eradication of a hospital-wide outbreak caused by carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Southern Israel. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;**32**:1158-1165.

Church EC, Mauldin PD, Bosso JA. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* related to quinolone formulary changes: an interrupted time series analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;**32**:400-402.

Cohen MJ, Block C, Levin PD, et al. Institutional control measures to curtail the epidemic spread of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae: A 4-year perspective. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;**32**:673-678.

Dortch MJ, Fleming SB, Kauffmann RM, Dossett LA, Talbot TR, May AK. Infection reduction strategies including antibiotic stewardship protocols in surgical and trauma intensive care units are associated with reduced resistant Gram-negative healthcare-associated infections. *Surgical Infections* 2011;**12**:15-25.

Lewis GJ, Fang X, Gooch M, Cook PP. Decreased resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with restriction of ciprofloxacin in a large teaching hospital's intensive care and intermediate care units. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;**33**:368-373.

Meyer E, Lapatschek M, Bechtold A, Schwarzkopf G, Gastmeier P, Schwab F. Impact of restriction of third generation cephalosporins on the burden of third generation cephalosporin resistant *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* in an ICU. *Intensive Care Med* 2009;**35**:862-870.

Yong MK, Buising KL, Cheng AC, Thursky KA. Improved susceptibility of Gram-negative bacteria in an intensive care unit following implementation of a computerized antibiotic decision support system. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;**65**:1062-1069.

Xue X-s, Wang B, Deng L-j, Kang Y. [Carbapenem restriction reduce the incidence of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in ventilator associated pneumonia]. *Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue* 2009;**21**:234-236.

#### 4.4.2.Other infection control measures

Levin PD, Golovanevski M, Moses AE, Sprung CL, Benenson S. Use of single patient rooms to decrease acquisition of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the ICU. *Crit Care* 2010;**14**:S156-S157.

#### 4.4.3.Selective decontamination

Agusti C, Pujol M, Argerich MJ, *et al.* Short-term effect of the application of selective decontamination of the digestive tract on different body site reservoir ICU patients colonized by multi-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002;**49**:205-208.

Brun-Buisson C, Legrand P, Rauss A, *et al.* Intestinal decontamination for control of nosocomial multiresistant Gramnegative bacilli. Study of an outbreak in an intensive care unit. *Ann Intern Med* 1989;**110**:873-881.

Saidel-Odes L, Polachek H, Peled N, *et al.* A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of selective digestive decontamination using oral gentamicin and oral polymyxin E for eradication of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carriage. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;**33**:14-19.

#### 4.4.4.Treatment

Betrosian AP, Frantzeskaki F, Xanthaki A, Georgiadis G. High-dose ampicillin-sulbactam as an alternative treatment of late-onset VAP from multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2007;**39**:38-43.

Betrosian AP, Frantzeskaki F, Xanthaki A, Douzinas EE. Efficacy and safety of high-dose ampicillin/sulbactam vs. colistin as monotherapy for the treatment of multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* ventilator-associated pneumonia. *J Infect* 2008;**56**:432-436.

Chastre J, Wolff M, Fagon JY, et al. Comparison of 8 vs 15 days of antibiotic therapy for ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults: a randomized trial. JAMA 2003;290:2588-2598.

Cox CE, McCabe RE, Grad C. Oral norfloxacin versus parenteral treatment of nosocomial urinary tract infection. *Am J Med* 1987;**82(6B)**:59-64.

Giamarellou H, Mandragos K, Bechrakis P, Pigas K, Bilalis D, Sfikakis P. Pefloxacin versus imipenem in the therapy of nosocomial lung infections of intensive care unit patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1990;**26 Suppl B**:117-127.

Huttner B, Haustein T, Uckay I, *et al.* Decolonization of intestinal carriage of extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae with oral colistin and neomycin: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013;**68**:2375-2382.

Moskowitz SM, Emerson JC, McNamara S, et al. Randomized trial of biofilm testing to select antibiotics for cystic fibrosis airway infection. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2011;**46**:184-192.

Rattanaumpawan P, Lorsutthitham J, Ungprasert P, Angkasekwinai N, Thamlikitkul V. Randomized controlled trial of nebulized colistimethate sodium as adjunctive therapy of ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by Gram-negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;**65**:2645-2649.

Stenderup J, Orskov I, Orskov F. Changes in serotype and resistance pattern of the intestinal *Escherichia coli* flora during travel. Results from a trial of mecillinam as a prophylactic against travellers' diarrhoea. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1983;**15**:367-373.

Tannock GW, Tiong IS, Priest P, *et al.* Testing probiotic strain *Escherichia coli* Nissle 1917 (Mutaflor) for its ability to reduce carriage of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* by elderly residents in long-term care facilities. *J Med Microbiol* 2011;**60**:366-370.

Wang D. Experience with extended-infusion meropenem in the management of ventilator-associated pneumonia due to multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009;**33**:290-291.

Xue X-s, Wang B, Deng L-j, Kang Y. [Carbapenem restriction reduce the incidence of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in ventilator associated pneumonia]. *Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue* 2009;**21**:234-236.

## 4.5. Excluded clinical studies

#### 4.5.1.Case-control study

Abbo A, Navon-Venezia S, Hammer-Muntz O, Krichali T, Siegman-Igra Y, Carmeli Y. Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii. Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;**11**:22–29.

Al Jarousha AMK, El Jadba AHN, Al Afifi AS, El Qouqa IA. Nosocomial multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in the neonatal intensive care unit in Gaza City, Palestine. *Int J Infect Dis* 2009;**13**:623–628.

Aloush V, Navon-Venezia S, Seigman-Igra Y, Cabili S, Carmeli Y. Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: risk factors and clinical impact. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006;**50**:43–48.

Anonymous. The cost of antibiotic resistance: effect of resistance among *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on length of hospital stay. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2002;**23**:106–108.

Apisarnthanarak A, Kiratisin P, Saifon P, Kitphati R, Dejsirilert S, Mundy LM. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of community-onset, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* infections in Thailand: a case–case– control study. *Am J Infect Control* 2007;**35**:606–612.

Arnoni MV, Berezin EN, Martino MDV. Risk factors for nosocomial bloodstream infection caused by multidrug resistant Gram-negative bacilli in pediatrics. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2007;**11**:267–271.

Arruda EA, Marinho IS, Boulos M, et al. Nosocomial infections caused by multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999;**20**:620–623.

Asensio A, Oliver A, Gonzalez-Diego P, *et al.* Outbreak of a multiresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain in an intensive care unit: antibiotic use as risk factor for colonization and infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;**30**:55–60.

Aslan Gulen T, Guner R, Yilmaz GR, Keske S, Tasyaran MA. Clinical impact and cost analysis of multidrug-resistant nosocomial *Acinetobacter baumannii* bacteraemia: a case–control study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;**18**:765.

Aydemir H, Celebi G, Piskin N, et al. Mortality attributable to carbapenem-resistant nosocomial Acinetobacter baumannii infections in a Turkish university hospital. Jpn J Infect Dis 2012;65:66–71.

Banu A, Sathyanarayana BC, Chattannavar G. Efficacy of fresh Aloe vera gel against multi-drug resistant bacteria in infected leg ulcers. *Australas Med J* 2012;**5**:305–309.

Baran G, Erbay A, Bodur H, *et al.* Risk factors for nosocomial imipenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections. *Int J Infect Dis* 2008;**12**:16–21.

Bermejo J, Lesnaberes P, Arnesi N, *et al.* Risk factors associated with ceftazidime-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. *Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin* 2003;**21**:72–76.

Bisson G, Fishman NO, Patel JB, Edelstein PH, Lautenbach E. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species: risk factors for colonization and impact of antimicrobial formulary interventions on colonization prevalence. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2002;**23**:254–260.

Borer A, Saidel-Odes L, Riesenberg K, *et al.* Attributable mortality rate for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteremia. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;**30**:972–976.

Cao B, Wang H, Sun H, Zhu Y, Chen M. Risk factors and clinical outcomes of nosocomial multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;**57**:112–118.

Cao B, Wang H, Zhu Y-J, Chen MJ. Risk factors and clinical outcomes of nosocomial infections caused by multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Chin J Tubercul Respir Dis* 2004;**27**:31–35.

Carmeli Y, Eliopoulos G, Mozaffari E, Samore M. Health and economic outcomes of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;**162**:2223–2228.

Çelebi S, Hacimustafaoglu M, Yüce N, *et al.* Risk factors and clinical outcomes of infections caused by *Acinetobacter* spp. in children: results of a 5 year study. *J Pediatr Infect* 2010;**4**:15–20.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* associated with a long-term-care facility – West Virginia, 2009–2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011;**60**:1418–1420.

Chen H, Li H, He L, *et al.* Analysis of hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Chin J Infect Chemother* 2010;**10**:94–99.

Cipriano Souza R, Vicente AC, Vieira VV, *et al.* Clindamycin and metronidazole as independent risk factors for nosocomial acquisition of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Hosp Infect* 2008;**69**:402–403.

Cohen MJ, Anshelevich O, Raveh D, Broide E, Rudensky B, Yinnon AM. Acquisition of multidrug-resistant organisms among hospital patients hospitalized in beds adjacent to critically ill patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;**27**:675–681.

Cohen-Nahum K, Saidel-Odes L, Riesenberg K, Schlaeffer F, Borer A. Urinary tract infections caused by multi-drug resistant *Proteus mirabilis*: risk factors and clinical outcomes. *Infection* 2010;**38**:41–46.

Cornejo-Juarez P, Perez-Jimenez C, Silva-Sanchez J, *et al.* Molecular analysis and risk factors for *Escherichia coli* producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamase bloodstream infection in hematological malignancies. *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e35780.

Cortes JA, Cuervo SI, Urdaneta AM, et al. Identifying and controlling a multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak in a Latin-American cancer centre and its associated risk factors. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2009;**13**:99–103.

Cranendonk DR, van der Valk M, Langenberg ML, van der Meer JT. Clinical consequences of increased ciprofloxacin and gentamicin resistance in patients with *Escherichia coli* bacteraemia in the Netherlands. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2012;**44**:363–368.

Dantas SRPE, Moretti-Branchini ML. Impact of antibiotic-resistant pathogens colonizing the respiratory secretions of patients in an extended-care area of the emergency department. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2003;**24**:351–355.

Defez C, Fabbro-Peray P, Bouziges N, et al. Risk factors for multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* nosocomial infection. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;**57**:209–216.

del Mar Tomas M, Cartelle M, Pertega S, *et al.* Hospital outbreak caused by a carbapenem-resistant strain of *Acinetobacter baumannii*: patient prognosis and risk-factors for colonisation and infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005;**11**:540–546.

Deris ZZ, Harun A, Shafei MN, Rahman RA, Johari MR. Outcomes and appropriateness of management of nosocomial Acinetobacter bloodstream infections at a teaching hospital in northeastern Malaysia. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2009;**40**:140–147.

Di Martino P, Gagniere H, Berry H, Bret L. Antibiotic resistance and virulence properties of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains from mechanically ventilated patients with pneumonia in intensive care units: comparison with imipenem-resistant extra-respiratory tract isolates from uninfected patients. *Microbes Infect* 2002;**4**:613–620.

Durakovic N, Radojcic V, Boban A, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of colistin in the treatment of infections caused by multidrugresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients with hematologic malignancy: a matched pair analysis. *Intern Med* 2011;**50**:1009–1013.

Eagye KJ, Kuti JL, Nicolau DP. Risk factors and outcomes associated with isolation of meropenem high-level-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;**30**:746–752.

Falagas ME, Rafailidis PI, Kofteridis D, et al. Risk factors of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infections: a matched case–control study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;60:1124–1130.

Fernandez A, Pereira MJ, Suarez JM, *et al.* Emergence in Spain of a multidrug-resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* clinical isolate producing SFO-1 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011;**49**:822–828.

Fierobe L, Lucet J, Decre D, et al. An outbreak of imipenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in critically ill surgical patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2001;**22**:35–40.

Fortaleza CMCB, Freire MP, Filho Dde C, de Carvalho Ramos M. Risk factors for recovery of imipenem- or ceftazidimeresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among patients admitted to a teaching hospital in Brazil. *Infect Control Hosp*  *Epidemiol* 2006;**27**:901–906.

Furtado GHC, Bergamasco MD, Menezes FG, et al. Imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection at a medicalsurgical intensive care unit: risk factors and mortality. *J Crit Care* 2009;**24**:625.e9–e14.

Garnacho J, Sole-Violan J, Sa-Borges M, Diaz E, Rello J. Clinical impact of pneumonia caused by *Acinetobacter baumannii* in intubated patients: a matched cohort study. *Crit Care Med* 2003;**31**:2478–2482.

Gasink LB, Fishman NO, Nachamkin I, Bilker WB, Lautenbach E. Risk factors for and impact of infection or colonization with aztreonam-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;**28**:1175–1180.

Gregory CJ, Llata E, Stine N, et al. Outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Puerto Rico associated with a novel carbapenemase variant. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;**31**:476–484.

Gulay Z, Atay T, Amyes SG. Clonal spread of imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the intensive care unit of a Turkish hospital. *J Chemother* 2001;**13**:546–554.

Hussein K, Sprecher H, Mashiach T, Oren I, Kassis I, Finkelstein R. Carbapenem resistance among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates: risk factors, molecular characteristics, and susceptibility patterns. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;**30**:666–671.

Hyle EP, Lipworth AD, ZaoutisTE, et al. Risk factors for increasing multidrug resistance among extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;**40**:1317–1324.

Jeon M-H, Choi S-H, Kwak YG, et al. Risk factors for the acquisition of carbapenem-resistant Escherichia coli among hospitalized patients. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2008;62:402–406.

Johnson JR, Kuskowski MA, Gajewski A, Sahm DF, Karlowsky JA. Virulence characteristics and phylogenetic background of multidrug-resistant and antimicrobial-susceptible clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* from across the United States, 2000–2001. *J Infect Dis* 2004;**190**:1739–1744.

Kallel H, Hergafi L, Bahloul M, et al. Safety and efficacy of colistin compared with imipenem in the treatment of ventilatorassociated pneumonia: a matched case–control study. Intensive Care Med 2007;33:1162–1167.

Kanafani ZA, Mehio-Sibai A, Araj GF, Kanaan M, Kanj SS. Epidemiology and risk factors for extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing organisms: a case–control study at a tertiary care center in Lebanon. *Am J Infect Control* 2005;**33**:326–332.

Kang C, Kim S, Park WB, *et al.* Clinical epidemiology of ciprofloxacin resistance and its relationship to broad-spectrum cephalosporin resistance in bloodstream infections caused by *Enterobacter* species. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2005;**26**:88–92.

Kim YA, Choi JY, Kim CK, *et al.* Risk factors and outcomes of bloodstream infections with metallo-beta-lactamase-producing *Acinetobacter. Scand J Infect Dis* 2008;**40**:234–240.

Kim JY, Lautenbach E, Chu J, et al. Fluoroquinolone resistance in pediatric bloodstream infections because of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;**36**:70–73.

Kohlenberg A, Weitzel-Kage D, Sohr D, et al. Outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in a surgical intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect* 2010;**74**:350–357.

Krcmery, Jr, V, Spanik S, Krupova I, *et al.* Bacteremia due to multiresistant Gram-negative bacilli in neutropenic cancer patients: a case controlled study. *J Chemother* 1998;**10**:320–325.

Kritsotakis EI, Tsioutis C, Roumbelaki M, Christidou A, Gikas A. Antibiotic use and the risk of carbapenem-resistant extended-spectrum-

actamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection in hospitalized patients: results of a double case–control study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;**66**:1383–1391.

Kuo KC, Shen YH, Hwang KP. Clinical implications and risk factors of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection in children: a case–control retrospective study in a medical center in southern Taiwan. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2007;**40**:248–254. Kuo SC, Lee YT, Yang SP, et al. Eradication of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii from the respiratory tract with inhaled colistin methanesulfonate: a matched case–control study. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:870–876.

Lautenbach E, Strom BL, Bilker WB, *et al.* Epidemiological investigation of fluoroquinolone resistance in infections due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;**33**:1288–1294.

Lautenbach E, Metlay JP, Weiner MG, *et al.* Gastrointestinal tract colonization with fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* in hospitalized patients: changes over time in risk factors for resistance. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;**30**:18–24.

Lautenbach E, Synnestvedt M, Weiner MG, *et al.* Epidemiology and impact of imipenem resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;**30**:1186–1192.

Lautenbach E, Synnestvedt M, Weiner MG, *et al.* Imipenem resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: emergence, epidemiology, and impact on clinical and economic outcomes. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;**31**:47–53.

Lee NY, Lee HC, Ko NY, et al. Clinical and economic impact of multidrug resistance in nosocomial Acinetobacter baumannii bacteremia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:713–719.

Lin MF, Yang CM, Lin CH, Huang ML, Tu CC, Liou ML. Clinical features and molecular epidemiology of multidrugresistant *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus–A baumannii* complex in a regional teaching hospital in Taiwan. *Am J Infect Control* 2009;**37**:e1–e3.

Lodise TP, Miller CD, Graves J, et al. Clinical prediction tool to identify patients with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* respiratory tract infections at greatest risk for multidrug resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;**51**:417–422.

Lopez-Dupla M, Martinez JA, Vidal F, *et al.* Previous ciprofloxacin exposure is associated with resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics in subsequent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremic isolates. *Am J Infect Control* 2009;**37**:753–758.

Lytsy B, Lindback J, Torell E, Sylvan S, Velicko I, Melhus A. A case–control study of risk factors for urinary acquisition of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing CTX-M-15 in an outbreak situation in Sweden. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2010;**42**:439–444.

Maragakis LL, Winkler A, Tucker MG, et al. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant Serratia marcescens infection in a neonatal intensive care unit. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;**29**:418–423.

Martinez-Aguilar G, Alpuche-Aranda CM, Anaya C, et al. Outbreak of nosocomial sepsis and pneumonia in a newborn intensive care unit by multiresistant extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: high impact on mortality. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2001;**22**:725–728.

Matthaiou DK, Michalopoulos A, Rafailidis PI, et al. Risk factors associated with the isolation of colistin-resistant Gramnegative bacteria: a matched case–control study. Crit Care Med 2008;36:807–811.

Mentzelopoulos SD, Pratikaki M, Platsouka E, *et al.* Prolonged use of carbapenems and colistin predisposes to ventilatorassociated pneumonia by pandrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Intensive Care Med* 2007;**33**:1524–1532.

Montero M, Dominguez M, Orozco-Levi M, Salvado M, Knobel H. Mortality of COPD patients infected with multi-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a case and control study. *Infection* 2009;**37**:16–19.

Montero M, Sala M, Riu M, et al. Risk factors for multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* acquisition. Impact of antibiotic use in a double case–control study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010;**29**:335–339.

Mosqueda-Gomez JL, Montano-Loza A, Rolon AL, *et al.* Molecular epidemiology and risk factors of bloodstream infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. A case–control study. *Int J Infect Dis* 2008;**12**:653–659.

Mouloudi E, Protonotariou E, Zagorianou A, *et al.* Bloodstream infections caused by metallo-beta-lactamase/*Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing K. pneumoniae among intensive care unit patients in Greece: risk factors for infection and impact of type of resistance on outcomes. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;**31**:1250–1256.

Muder RR, Brennen C, Drenning SD, Stout JE, Wagener MM. Multiply antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative bacilli in a long-term-care facility: a case–control study of patient risk factors and prior antibiotic use. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1997;**18**:809–813.

Nateghian AR, Parvin M, Rohani P, Tabrizi M. Incidence and risk factors for gentamicin and ceftriaxone resistant E.coli causing urinary tract infection in children admitted in Hazrat-E-Ali Asghar hospital. *J Iran Univ Med Sci* 2009;**16**:56.

Nouer SA, Nucci M, de-Oliveira MP, Pellegrino FLPC, Moreira BM. Risk factors for acquisition of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* producing SPM metallo-beta-lactamase. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;**49**:3663–3667.

Nseir S, Di Pompeo C, Diarra M, *et al.* Relationship between immunosuppression and intensive care unit-acquired multidrug-resistant bacteria: a case–control study. *Crit Care Med* 2007;**35**:1318–1323.

Ohmagari N, Hanna H, Graviss L, *et al.* Risk factors for infections with multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients with cancer. *Cancer* 2005;**104**:205–212.

Onguru P, Erbay A, Bodur H, et al. Imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: risk factors for nosocomial infections. J Korean Med Sci 2008;23:982–987.

Palmore TN, Michelin AV, Bordner M, *et al.* Use of adherence monitors as part of a team approach to control clonal spread of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a research hospital. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;**32**:1166–1172.

Paramythiotou E, Lucet JC, Timsit JM, *et al.* Acquisition of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients in intensive care units: role of antibiotics with antipseudomonal activity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;**38**:670–677.

Park YS, Lee H, Chin BS, *et al.* Acquisition of extensive drug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among hospitalized patients: risk factors and resistance mechanisms to carbapenems. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;**79**:54–58.

Park SY, Kang CI, Joo EJ, *et al.* Risk factors for multidrug resistance in nosocomial bacteremia caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Microb Drug Resist* 2012;**18**:518–524.

Pereira GH, Levin AS, Oliveira HB, Moretti ML. Controlling the clonal spread of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;**29**:549–552.

Pinheiro MRS, Lacerda HR, Melo RGL, Maciel MA. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections: factors relating to mortality with emphasis on resistance pattern and antimicrobial treatment. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2008;**12**:509–515.

Playford EG, Craig JC, Iredell JR. Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in intensive care unit patients: risk factors for acquisition, infection and their consequences. *J Hosp Infect* 2007;**65**:204–211

Pop-Vicas A, Tacconelli E, Gravenstein S, Bing L, D'Agata EMC. Influx of multidrug-resistant, Gram-negative bacteria in the hospital setting and the role of elderly patients with bacterial bloodstream infection. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;**30**:325–331.

Qavi A, Segal-Maurer S, Mariano N, et al. Increased mortality associated with a clonal outbreak of ceftazidime-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae: a case–control study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2005;**26**:63–68.

Rattanaumpawan P, Tolomeo P, Bilker WB, Fishman NO, Lautenbach E. Risk factors for fluoroquinolone resistance in Gram-negative bacilli causing healthcare-acquired urinary tract infections. *J Hosp Infect* 2010;**76**:324–327.

Ray A, Perez F, Beltramini AM, *et al.* Use of vaporized hydrogen peroxide decontamination during an outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection at a long-term acute care hospital. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;**31**:1236–1241.

Ribeiro Gomes MZ, de Oliveira RVC, Machado CR, *et al.* Factors associated with epidemic multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in a hospital with AIDS-predominant admissions. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2012;**16**:219–225.

Rocha Lde A, Vilela CAP, Cezario RC, Almeida AB, Gontijo Filho P. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in an adult clinicalsurgical intensive care unit of a Brazilian university hospital: incidence, risk factors, etiology, and antibiotic resistance. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2008;**12**:80–85.

Rodriguez-Bano J, Navarro MD, Romero L, *et al.* Clinical and molecular epidemiology of extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* as a cause of nosocomial infection or colonization: implications for control. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;**42**:37–45. Romanelli RM, Jesus LA, Clemente WT, et al. Outbreak of resistant Acinetobacter baumannii- measures and proposal for prevention and control. Braz J Infect Dis 2009;**13**:341–347.

Saito R, Okugawa S, Kumita W, et al. Clinical epidemiology of ciprofloxacin-resistant Proteus mirabilis isolated from urine samples of hospitalised patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007;**13**:1204–1206.

Salgado FXC, Goncalves JC, de Souza CM, da Silva NB, Sanchez TEG, de Oliveira Karnikowski MG. Cost of antimicrobial treatment in patients infected with multidrug-resistant organisms in the intensive care unit. *Medicina* 2011;**71**:531–535.

Sanchez M, Herruzo R, Marban A, et al. Risk factors for outbreaks of multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in critical burn patients. *J Burn Care Res* 2012;**33**:386–392.

Scerpella EG, Wanger AR, Armitige L, Anderlini P, Ericsson CD. Nosocomial outbreak caused by a multiresistant clone of *Acinetobacter baumannii*: results of the case–control and molecular epidemiologic investigations. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1995;**16**:92–97.

Schechner V, Kotlovsky T, Tarabeia J, *et al.* Predictors of rectal carriage of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) among patients with known CRE carriage at their next hospital encounter. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;**32**:497–503.

Serefhanoglu K, Turan H, Timurkaynak FE, Arslan H. Bloodstream infections caused by ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*: risk factors for multidrug-resistance. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2009;**13**:403–407.

Soderstrom M, Vikatmaa P, Lepantalo M, Aho PS, Kolho E, Ikonen T. The consequences of an outbreak of multidrugresistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa among patients treated for critical leg ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;**50**:806–812.

Song KH, Jeon JH, Park WB, et al. Clinical outcomes of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis due to extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species: a retrospective matched case–control study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2009;**9**:41.

Spanik S, Krupova I, Trupl J, et al. Bacteremia due to multiresistant Gram-negative bacilli in neutropenic cancer patients: a case–controlled study. J Infect Chemother 1999;5:180–184.

Superti SV, Augusti G, Zavascki AP. Risk factors for and mortality of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* nosocomial bloodstream infections. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 2009;**51**:211–216.

Surasarang K, Narksawat K, Danchaivijitr S, et al. Risk factors for multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii nosocomial infection. J Med Assoc Thailand 2007;**90**:1633–1699.

Tacconelli E, Cataldo MA, De Pascale G, *et al.* Prediction models to identify hospitalized patients at risk of being colonized or infected with multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* calcoaceticus complex. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;**62**:1130–1137.

Tsai H-T, Wang J-T, Chen C-J, Chang S-C. Association between antibiotic usage and subsequent colonization or infection of extensive drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*: a matched case–control study in intensive care units. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008;**62**:298–305.

Tumbarello M, Repetto E, Trecarichi EM, et al. Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bloodstream infections: risk factors and mortality. *Epidemiol Infect* 2011;**139**:1740–1749.

Tumbarello M, Trecarichi EM, Fiori B, *et al.* Multidrug-resistant *Proteus mirabilis* bloodstream infections: risk factors and outcomes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;**56**:3224–3231.

Tuncer Ertem G, Sonmezer MC, Tulek N, et al. Evaluation of risk factors for nosocomial multidrugresistant *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;**18**:517.

Turkoglu M, Dizbay M, Ciftci A, Aksakal FN, Aygencel G. Colistin therapy in critically ill patients with chronic renal failure and its effect on development of renal dysfunction. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2012;**39**:142–145.

Valencia R, Arroyo LA, Conde M, et al. Nosocomial outbreak of infection with pan-drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in a tertiary care university hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009;**30**:257–263.

Vigil KJ, Adachi JA, Aboufaycal H, et al. Multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli bacteremia in cancer patients. Am J Infect Control 2009;**37**:741–745.

Villers D, Espaze E, Coste-Burel M, *et al.* Nosocomial *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections: microbiological and clinical epidemiology. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**129**:182–189.

von Dolinger de Brito D, Oliveira EJ, Abdallah VOS, da Costa Darini AL, Filho PPG. An outbreak of *Acinetobacter baumannii* septicemia in a neonatal intensive care unit of a university hospital in Brazil. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2005;**9**:301–309.

Weingarten CM, Rybak MJ, Jahns BE, Stevenson JG, Brown WJ, Levine DP. Evaluation of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection and colonization, and antimicrobial treatment patterns in an urban teaching hospital. *Pharmacotherapy* 1999;**19**:1080–1085.

Wendt C, Lin D, von Baum H. Risk factors for colonization with third-generation cephalosporin-resistant enterobacteriaceae. *Infection* 2005;**33**:327–332.

Yakupogullari Y, Otlu B, Dogukan M, et al. Investigation of a nosocomial outbreak by alginate-producing pan-antibioticresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Am J Infect Control 2008;**36**:e13–e18.

Ye, Jr J, Huang C-T, Shie S-S, *et al.* Multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*: risk factors for appearance of imipenem resistant strains on patients formerly with susceptible strains. *PLoS One* 2010;**5**:e9947.

Young LS, Sabel AL, Price CS. Epidemiologic, clinical, and economic evaluation of an outbreak of clonal multidrugresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection in a surgical intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;**28**:1247– 1254.

#### 4.5.2. Case series/report

Allou N, Kermarrec N, Muller C, et al. Risk factors and prognosis of post-operative pneumonia due to Pseudomonas aeruginosa following cardiac surgery. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;65:806–807.

Bercault N, Linassier P. Interest of septic isolation to decrease the acquisition of multiply antibiotic-resistant bacteria in intensive care unit. Effect on nosocomial infections. Rev Med Intern 1999;20:86–87.

Bint AJ, Bullock DW, Speller DC, Stern SR, Turner A. Cefuroxime therapy for urinary tract infections caused by a multiresistant, epidemic *Klebsiella aerogenes*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1979;**5**:189–193.

Conejo MC, Dominguez MC, Lopez-Cerero L, Serrano L, Rodriguez-Bano J, Pascual A. Isolation of multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella oxytoca* carrying blaIMP-8, associated with OXY hyperproduction, in the intensive care unit of a community hospital in Spain. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;**65**:1071–1073.

Cree M, Stacey S, Graham N, Wainwright C. Fosfomycin – investigation of a possible new route of administration of an old drug. A case study. *J Cystic Fibrosis* 2007;**6**:244–246.

Evagelopoulou P, Myrianthefs P, Markogiannakis A, Baltopoulos G, Tsakris A. Multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* mediastinitis safely and effectively treated with prolonged administration of tigecycline. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008;**46**:1932–1933.

Fraser TG, Reiner S, Malczynski M, Yarnold PR, Warren J, Noskin GA. Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cholangitis after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: failure of routine endoscope cultures to prevent an outbreak. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;**25**:856–859.

Furtado GHC, d'Azevedo PA, Santos AF, Gales AC, Pignatari ACC, Medeiros EAS. Intravenous polymyxin B for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;**30**:315–319.

Goldoni S, Galassi P, Gandolfi P, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of aztreonam in the treatment of urinary infections due to multiresistant Gram-negative bacteria. *Curr Ther Res Clin Exp* 1987;**42**:880–888.

Helm EB, Munk I, Shah PM, Stille W. Elimination of bacteria during antibacterial chemotherapy – a neglected parameter of chemotherapy. *Infection* 1979;**7**(Suppl. 5):S492–S494.

Khawcharoenporn T, Apisarnthanarak A, Mundy LM. Intrathecal colistin for drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* central nervous system infection: a case series and systematic review. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010;**16**:888–894.

Kumarasamy K, Kalyanasundaram A. Emergence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate co-producing NDM-1 with KPC-2 from India. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;**67**:243–244.

Manzar S. Outbreak of multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter* in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Saudi Med J* 2004;**25**:961–963.

Min SS, Weber DJ, Donovan BJ, et al. Multidrug-resistant Enterococcus faecium in a patient with burns. Clin Infect Dis 2003;36:1210–1211.

Palasubramaniam S, Subramaniam G, Muniandy S, Parasakthi N. SHV-5 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* associated with a nosocomial outbreak in a paediatric oncology unit in Malaysia. *Int J Infect Dis* 2005;**9**:170–172.

Paz A, Bauer H, Potasman I. Multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak associated with contaminated transrectal ultrasound. *J Hosp Infect* 2001;**49**:148–149.

Reish O, Ashkenazi S, Naor N, Samra Z, Merlob P. An outbreak of multiresistant *Klebsiella* in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect* 1993;**25**:287–291.

Rodriguez CH, Barberis C, Nastro M, et al. Impact of heteroresistance to colistin in meningitis caused by Acinetobacter baumannii. J Infect 2012;64:119–121.

Rosanova M, Epelbaum C, Noman A, *et al.* Use of colistin in a pediatric burn unit in Argentina. *J Burn Care Res* 2009;**30**:612–615.

Spanik S, Lacka J, Koren P, et al. Increasing incidence of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteraemia in a cancer centre over a seven-year period. *J Hosp Infect* 1997;**35**:250–251.

#### 4.5.3. Cross-sectional

Augustin A, Shahum A, Kalavsky E, Liskova A, Kisac P, Krcmery V. Colonization of the respiratory tract by drug-resistant bacteria in HIV-infected children and prior exposure to antimicrobials. *Med Sci Monitor* 2008;**14**:SC19–SC22.

Beerepoot MAJ, den Heijer CDJ, Penders J, Prins JM, Stobberingh EE, Geerlings SE. Predictive value of *Escherichia coli* susceptibility in strains causing asymptomatic bacteriuria for women with recurrent symptomatic urinary tract infections receiving prophylaxis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;**18**:E84–E90.

Behiry IK, Abada EA, Ahmed EA, Labeeb RS. Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* associated with diarrhea in children in Cairo, Egypt. *Sci World* 2011;**11**:2613–2619.

Cordero L, Rau R, Taylor D, Ayers LW. Enteric Gram-negative bacilli bloodstream infections: 17 years' experience in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Am J Infect Control* 2004;**32**:189–195.

Crandon JL, Kuti JL, Jones RN, Nicolau DP. Comparison of 2002–2006 OPTAMA programs for US hospitals: focus on Gram-negative resistance. *Ann Pharmacother* 2009;**43**:220–227.

Ejrnaes K. Bacterial characteristics of importance for recurrent urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli*. *Danish Med Bull* 2011;**58**:B4187.

Fadel R, Dakdouki GK, Kanafani ZA, Araj GF, Kanj SS. Clinical and microbiological profile of urinary tract infection at a tertiary-care center in Lebanon. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;**25**:82–85.

Giamarellou H, Galanakis N. Use of intravenous ciprofloxacin in difficult-to-treat infections. Am J Med 1987;82:346–351.

Iosifidis E, Antachopoulos C, Tsivitanidou M, et al. Differential correlation between rates of antimicrobial drug

consumption and prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in a tertiary care hospital in Greece. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;**29**:615–622.

Jeddi R, Ghedira H, Ben Amor R, *et al.* Risk factors of septic shock in patients with hematologic malignancies and *Pseudomonas* infections. *Hematology* 2011;**16**:160–165.

Jenkinson L, Smullen J, Weightman NC, Kerr KG. Changes in gastrointestinal carriage of multi-resistant Gram-negative bacilli in a predominantly rural population served by a district general hospital. *J Clin Pathol* 2012;**65**:376–377.

Jukemura EM, Burattini MN, Pereira CAP, Braga ALF, Medeiros EAS. Control of multi-resistant bacteria and ventilatorassociated pneumonia: Is it possible with changes in antibiotics? *Braz J Infect Dis* 2007;**11**:418–422.Livesey JE, Chiew Y-F. Antimicrobial drug utilisation in Dunedin Hospital, New Zealand, and its association with antimicrobial resistance. *Pathology* 2006;**38**:245–248.

Khosravi Y, Tay ST, Jamuna V. First characterization of blaIMP and blaVIM cassette containing novel integron in metallobeta-lactamase producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Malaysia. *Int J Infect Dis* 2010;**14**:e37.

Kiani QH, Amir M, Ghazanfar MA, Iqbal M. Microbiology of wound infections among hospitalised patients following the 2005 Pakistan earthquake. *J Hosp Infect* 2009;**73**:71–78.

Martins-Loureiro M, de Moraes BA, de Mendonca VL, Rocha-Quadra MR, dos Santos-Pinheiro G, Dutra-Asensi M. Molecular epidemiology of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from neonatal intensive care unit patients involved in hospital infection cases in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Rev Latinoam Microbiol* 2001;**43**:88–95.

Paladino JA, Sunderlin JL, Singer ME, Adelman MH, Schentag JJ. Influence of extended-spectrum beta-lactams on Gram-negative bacterial resistance. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2008;**65**:1154–1159.

Ramakant P, Verma AK, Misra R, *et al.* Changing microbiological profile of pathogenic bacteria in diabetic foot infections: time for a rethink on which empirical therapy to choose? *Diabetologia* 2011;**54**:58–64.

Rosenthal EJK. Epidemiology of septicaemia pathogens. Deutsche Med Wochenschr 2002;127:2435-2440.

Savas L, Duran N, Savas N, Onlen Y, Ocak S. The prevalence and resistance patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in intensive care units in a university hospital. *Turk J Med Sci* 2005;**35**:317–322.

Sepp E, Stsepetova J, Loivukene K, *et al.* The occurrence of antimicrobial resistance and class 1 integrons among commensal *Escherichia coli* isolates from infants and elderly persons. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 2009;**8**:34.

Urbánek K, Kolář M, Lovečková Y, Strojil J, Šantavá L. Influence of third-generation cephalosporin utilization on the occurrence of ESBL-positive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2007;**32**:403–408.

Vavilov VN, Ponomarenko OB, Popova MO, et al. Epidemiology of bacterial infections and antibiotic resistance in BMT clinic: a single center experience. Cell Ther Transplant 2009;2:123.

Veldman K, Cavaco LM, Mevius D, *et al.* International collaborative study on the occurrence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in *Salmonella enterica* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from animals, humans, food and the environment in 13 European countries. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;**66**:1278–1286.

Viray M, Linkin D, Maslow JN, *et al.* Longitudinal trends in antimicrobial susceptibilities across long-term-care facilities: emergence of fluoroquinolone resistance. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2005;**26**:56–62.

Wiener J, Quinn JP, Bradford PA, et al. Multiple antibiotic-resistant *Klebsiella* and *Escherichia coli* in nursing homes. *JAMA* 1999;**281**:517–523.

#### 4.5.4. In-vitro studies

Al-Kaabi MR, Tariq WUZ, Hassanein A. Rising bacterial resistance to common antibiotics in Al Ain, United Arab Emirates. *East Med Health J* 2011;**17**:479–484.

Blaettler L, Mertz D, Frei R, et al. Secular trend and risk factors for antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates in Switzerland 1997–2007. Infection 2009;**37**:534–539.

Campana S, Taccetti G, Farina S, Ravenni N, De Martino M. Antimicrobial susceptibility and synergistic activity of meropenem against Gram-negative non-fermentative bacteria isolated from cystic fibrosis patients. *J Chemother* 2003;**15**:551–554.

Chromy BA, Elsheikh M, Christensen TL, *et al.* Repurposing screens identify rifamycins as potential broad-spectrum therapy for multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* and select agent microorganisms. *Future Microbiol* 2012;**7**:1011–1020.

Erlandsson M, Gill H, Nordlinder D, et al. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns and clones of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Swedish ICUs. Scand J Infect Dis 2008;40:487–494.

Falagas ME, Kanellopoulou MD, Karageorgopoulos DE, *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibility of multidrug-resistant Gram negative bacteria to fosfomycin. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008;**27**:439–443.

Fooladi AAI, Sattari M, Pourbabaei AA, Gholami M. Relation between quinolones and beta-lactams resistance with feature of producing capsules in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from urine. *Med Sci J Islamic Azad Univ Tehran Med Branch* 2009;**19**:7.

Gustafsson I, Sjolund M, Torell E, *et al.* Bacteria with increased mutation frequency and antibiotic resistance are enriched in the commensal flora of patients with high antibiotic usage. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003;**52**:645–650.

Guyot A, Barrett SP, Threlfall EJ, Hampton MD, Cheasty T. Molecular epidemiology of multi-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *J Hosp Infect* 1999;**43**:39–48.

Kansal R, Pandey A, Asthana AK. beta-lactamase producing *Acinetobacter* species in hospitalized patients. *Ind J Pathol Microbiol* 2009;**52**:456–457

Luo Y, Cui S, Li J, *et al.* Characterization of *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy food handlers in hospital. *Microb Drug Resist* 2011;**17**:443–448.

Messai L, Achour W, Ben Hassen A. Epidemiological profile of enterobacteria isolated from neutropenic patients. *Pathol Biol* 2007;**55**:230–234.

Moskowitz SM, Garber E, Chen Y, *et al.* Colistin susceptibility testing: evaluation of reliability for cystic fibrosis isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;**65**:1416–1423.

Oguttu JW, Veary CM, Picard JA. Antimicrobial drug resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from poultry abattoir workers at risk and broilers on antimicrobials. *J S Afr Vet Assoc* 2008;**79**:161–166.

Shigemura K, Tanaka K, Okada H, *et al.* Pathogen occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility of urinary tract infection cases during a 20-year period (1983–2002) at a single institution in Japan. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2005;**58**:303–308.

Shin J, Kim DH, Ko KS. Comparison of CTX-M-14- and CTX-M-15-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from patients with bacteremia. *J Infect* 2011;**63**:39–47.

Sinirtas M, Akalin H, Gedikoglu S. Investigation of colistin sensitivity via three different methods in *Acinetobacter* baumannii isolates with multiple antibiotic resistance. *IntJ Infect Dis* 2009;**13**:e217–e220.

Spence RP, Towner KJ, Henwood CJ, James D, Woodford N, Livermore DM. Population structure and antibiotic resistance of *Acinetobacter* DNA group 2 and 13TU isolates from hospitals in the UK. *J Med Microbiol* 2002;**51**:1107–1112.

Stephens C, Francis SJ, Abell V, DiPersio JR, Wells P. Emergence of resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in critically ill patients within an acute care teaching hospital and a long-term acute care hospital. *Am J Infect Control* 2007;**35**:212–215.

Szucs O, Ozse M, Kristof K, Darvas K, Csomos A. Pattern of pathogens in hospital-acquired blood-stream infections: 2 years comparison. *Intensive Care Med* 2011;**37**:S19.

Taherikalani M, Etemadi G, Geliani KN, Fatollahzadeh B, Soroush S, Feizabadi MM. Emergence of multi and pan-drug resistance *Acinetobacter baumannii* carrying blaOXA-type-carbapenemase genes among burn patients in Tehran, Iran. *Saudi Med J* 2008;**29**:623–624.

Tato M, Valverde A, Coque TM, Canton R. PER-1 multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain in Spain. *Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin* 2006;**24**:472–473.

Vettoretti L, Floret N, Hocquet D, et al. Emergence of extensive-drug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a French university hospital. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009;**28**:1217–1222.

Wada K, Kariyama R, Mitsuhata R, *et al.* Experimental and clinical studies on fluoroquinolone-insusceptible *Escherichia coli* isolated from patients with urinary tract infections from 1994 to 2007. *Acta Med Okayama* 2009;**63**:263–272.

Zavascki AP, Cruz RP, Goldani LZ. High rate of antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a tertiary-care teaching hospital in southern Brazil. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;**25**:805–807.

#### 4.5.5. Prospective cohort

Acar A, Oncul O, Ozyurt M, Budak S, Gorenek L, Haznedaroglu T. *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections in intensive care units patients: predictors of risk factors of multidrug resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010;**16**:S368.

Acolet D, Ahmet Z, Houang E, Hurley R, Kaufmann ME. *Enterobacter cloacae* in a neonatal intensive care unit: account of an outbreak and its relationship to use of third generation cephalosporins. *J Hosp Infect* 1994;**28**:273–286.

Alvarez-Lerma F, Palomar M, Olaechea P, et al. Changes of multiresistant markers in the ICU. 2005-2008 data. Intensive Care Med 2009;35:S268.

Brown BJ, Asinobi AO, Fatunde OJ, Osinusi K, Fasina NA. Antimicrobial sensitivity pattern of organisms causing urinary tract infection in children with sickle cell anaemia in Ibadan, Nigeria. *W Afr J Med* 2003;**22**:110–113.

Calil R, Marba STM, Von Nowakonski A, Tresoldi AT. Reduction in colonization and nosocomial infection by multiresistant bacteria in a neonatal unit after institution of educational measures and restriction in the use of cephalosporins. *Am J Infect Control* 2001;**29**:133–138.

Ciobotaro P, Oved M, Nadir E, Bardenstein R, Zimhony O. An effective intervention to limit the spread of an epidemic carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain in an acute care setting: from theory to practice. *Am J Infect Control* 2011;**39**:671–677.

Elnasser ZA, Al Aseel SM. Antibiotic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from patients in King Abdullah University Hospital in Jordan. *J Chemother* 2009;**21**:356–359.

Fernandez J, Acevedo J, Castro M, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of infections by multiresistant bacteria in cirrhosis: a prospective study. *Hepatology* 2012;**55**:1551–1561.

Gomes CC, Vormittag E, Santos CR, Levin AS. Nosocomial infection with cephalosporin-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* is not associated with increased mortality. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;**27**:907–912.

Gouin F, Papazian L, Martin C, *et al.* A non-comparative study of the efficacy and tolerance of cefepime in combination with amikacin in the treatment of severe infections in patients in intensive care. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1993;**32**(Suppl. B):205–214.

Gudiol C, Tubau F, Calatayud L, *et al.* Bacteraemia due to multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli in cancer patients: risk factors, antibiotic therapy and outcomes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;**66**:657–663.

Heppt W, Lutz H. Clinical experiences with ofloxacin sequential therapy in chronic ear infections. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 1993;**250**(Suppl. 1):S19–S21.

Limat S, Cornette C, Deconinck E, Woronoff-Lemsi MC, Cahn JY. Antibiotic therapy of febrile neutropenic patients: prospective study at a hematologic department. *Presse Med* 1999;**28**:729–733.

Lu Q, Luo R, Bodin L, et al. Efficacy of high-dose nebulized colistin in ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Anesthesiology 2012;**117**:1335–1347.

Markogiannakis A, Fildisis G, Tsiplakou S, *et al.* Cross-transmission of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clonal strains causing episodes of sepsis in a trauma intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;**29**:410–417.

Martin C, Ofotokun I, Rapp R, et al. Results of an antimicrobial control program at a university hospital. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2005;62:732–738.

Mastoraki A, Douka E, Kriaras I, Stravopodis G, Manoli H, Geroulanos S. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* susceptible only to colistin in intensive care unit patients. *Surg Infect* 2008;**9**:153–160.

Metan G, Sariguzel F, Sumerkan B. Factors influencing survival in patients with multi-drug-resistant *Acinetobacter* bacteraemia. *Eur J Intern Med* 2009;**20**:540–544.

Meyer KS, Urban C, Eagan JA, Berger BJ, Rahal JJ. Nosocomial outbreak of *Klebsiella* infection resistant to lategeneration cephalosporins. *Ann Intern Med* 1993;**119**:353–358.

Millar M, Philpott A, Wilks M, et al. Colonization and persistence of antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae strains in infants nursed in two neonatal intensive care units in East London, United Kingdom. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46:560–567.

Mukhopadhyay C, Chawla K, Krishna S, Nagalakshmi N, Rao SP, Bairy I. Emergence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and pandrug-resistant non-fermenters from southern Karnataka, India. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2008;**102**(Suppl. 1):S12–S17.

Narchi H, Al-Hamdan MA. Antibiotic resistance trends in paediatric community-acquired first urinary tract infections in the United Arab Emirates. *East Med Health J* 2010;**16**:45–50.

Nseir S, Di Pompeo C, Soubrier S, *et al.* First-generation fluoroquinolone use and subsequent emergence of multiple drug-resistant bacteria in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med* 2005;**33**:283–289.

Ortega B, Groeneveld ABJ, Schultsz C. Endemic multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in critically ill patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;**25**:825–831.

Paauw A, Verhoef J, Fluit AC, *et al.* Failure to control an outbreak of qnrA1-positive multidrug-resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* infection despite adequate implementation of recommended infection control measures. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;**45**:1420–1425.

Parra Moreno ML, Arias Rivera S, de La Cal Lopez MA, *et al.* Effect of selective digestive decontamination on the nosocomial infection and multiresistant microorganisms incidence in critically ill patients. *Med Clin* 2002;**118**:361–364.

Presentado JCM, Lopez DP, Castiglioni MB, Gerez J. Ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin restriction in an intensive care unit: less incidence of *Acinetobacter* spp. and improved susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Rev Panam Salud Publ* 2011;**30**:603–609.

Rafat C, Vimont S, Ancel PY, *et al.* Ofloxacin: new applications for the prevention of urinary tract infections in renal graft recipients. *Transplant Infect Dis* 2011;**13**:344–352.

Rogers BA, Sidjabat HE, Paterson DL, Kennedy K, Collignon P, Jones M. Prolonged carriage of resistant *E. coli* by returned travellers: clonality, risk factors and bacterial characteristics. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;**31**:2413–2420.

Saavedra S, Ramirez-Ronda CH, Nevarez M. Ciprofloxacin in the treatment of urinary tract infections caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and multiresistant bacteria. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* 1986;**5**:255–257.

Sandiumenge A, Lisboa T, Gomez F, Hernandez P, Canadell L, Rello J. Effect of antibiotic diversity on ventilatorassociated pneumonia caused by ESKAPE organisms. *Chest* 2011;**140**:643–651.

Slim E, Smit CA, Bos AJ, Peerbooms PG. Nosocomial transmission of highly resistant microorganisms on a spinal cord rehabilitation ward. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2009;**32**:422–427.

Stein GE. Serum bactericidal activity of trovafloxacin against drug-resistant respiratory pathogens. *Drugs* 1999;**58**:356–357.

Suankratay C, Jutivorakool K, Jirajariyavej S. A prospective study of ceftriaxone treatment in acute pyelonephritis caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing bacteria. *J Med Assoc Thailand* 2008;**91**:1172–1181.

Tacconelli E, De Angelis G, Cataldo MA, *et al.* Antibiotic usage and risk of colonization and infection with antibiotic-resistant bacteria: a hospital population-based study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;**53**:4264–4269.

Thabet L, Memmi M, Turki A, Messadi AA. The impact of fluoroquinolones use on antibiotic resistance in an intensive care burn department. *Tunisie Med* 2010;**88**:696–699.

Van Der Voort PHJ, Van Roon EN, et al. A before–after study of multi-resistance and cost of selective decontamination of the digestive tract. Infection 2004;**32**:271–277.

Van Ruler O, Kiewiet JJS, Van Ketel RJ, Boermeester MA. Initial microbial spectrum in severe secondary peritonitis and relevance for treatment. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;**31**:671–682.

Wybo I, Blommaert L, De Beer T, et al. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in a Belgian university hospital after transfer of patients from Greece. J Hosp Infect 2007;67:374–380.

Yang K, Guglielmo BJ. Diagnosis and treatment of extended-spectrum and AmpC beta-lactamase-producing organisms. *Ann Pharmacother* 2007;**41**:1427–1435.

Zhang J-P, Yang X-S, Chen J, Peng Y-Z, Huang Y-S. Clinical assessment of colistin in treating infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacillus in patients with severe burn. *Zhonghua Shao Shang Za Zhi* 2009;**25**:372–376.

#### 4.5.6. Surveillance

Andrade SS, Jones RN, Gales AC, Sader HS. Increasing prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in Latin American medical centres: 5 year report of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1997–2001). *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003;**52**:140–141.

Baang JH, Axelrod P, Decker BK, et al. Longitudinal epidemiology of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Acinetobacter species in a tertiary care hospital. Am J Infect Control 2012;40:134–137.

Behera B, Mathur P. High levels of antimicrobial resistance at a tertiary trauma care centre of India. *Ind J Med Res* 2011;**133**:343–345.

Bou G, Cervero G, Dominguez MA, Quereda C, Martinez-Beltran J. Characterization of a nosocomial outbreak caused by a multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain with a carbapenem-hydrolyzing enzyme: high-level carbapenem resistance in *A. baumannii* is not due solely to the presence of beta-lactamases. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;**38**:3299–3305.

Brink A, Feldman C, Richards G, Moolman J, Senekal M. Emergence of extensive drug resistance (XDR) among Gramnegative bacilli in South Africa looms nearer. S Afr Med J 2008;**98**:586–592.

Cabrita J, Oleastro M, Lopes MM, Barros R, Peres I, Pires I. Molecular epidemiologic analysis of multiresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from a pediatric hospital. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 1999;**5**:109–112.

Cardoso O, Alves AF, Leitao R. Surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates from a central hospital in Portugal. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007;**60**:452–454.

Daoud Z, Moubareck C, Hakime N, Doucet-Populaire F. Extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing enterobacteriaceae in Lebanese ICU patients: epidemiology and patterns of resistance. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* 2006;**52**:169–178.

De Gheldre Y, Maes N, Rost F, *et al.* Molecular epidemiology of an outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Enterobacter aerogenes* infections and in vivo emergence of imipenem resistance. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997;**35**:152–160.

Filius PMG, Gyssens IC, Kershof IM, et al. Colonization and resistance dynamics of Gram-negative bacteria in patients during and after hospitalization. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:2879–2886.

Furtado GHC, Perdiz LB, Medeiros EAS. The effect of a 4th generation-cephalosporin introduction upon the incidence of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in a non-teaching hospital. *Am J Infect Dis* 2008;**4**:267–271.

Gomes MZR, Machado CR, De Souza da Conceicao M, *et al.* Outbreaks, persistence, and high mortality rates of multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in a hospital with AIDS-predominant admissions. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2011;**15**:312–322.

Gottig S, Pfeifer Y, Wichelhaus TA, et al. Global spread of New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase 1. Lancet Infect Dis 2010;**10**:828–829.

Gottlieb T, Bradbury R, Cheong E. The resistible rise and fall of a burns and ICU-related hospital outbreak of multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010;**16**:S411.

Kim JY, Sohn JW, Park DW, Yoon YK, Kim YM, Kim MJ. Control of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* using a computer-assisted management program to restrict third-generation cephalosporin use. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;**62**:416–421.

Kochar S, Sheard T, Sharma R, et al. Success of an infection control program to reduce the spread of carbapenemresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009;**30**:447–452.

Lemmen SW, Hafner H, Kotterik S, Lutticken R, Topper R. Influence of an infectious disease service on antibiotic prescription behavior and selection of multiresistant pathogens. *Infection* 2000;**28**:384–387.

Liu WL, Chang PC, Chen YY, Lai CC. Impact of fluoroquinolone consumption on resistance of healthcare-associated *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Infect* 2012;**64**:335–337.

Livermore DM, Hill RLR, Thomson H, et al. Antimicrobial treatment and clinical outcome for infections with carbapenemand multiply-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii around London. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2010;35:19–24.

Low DE, Markovic MJ, Dowzicky MJ. Antimicrobial susceptibility among bacterial isolates from ICU and non-ICU setting and different age groups: results from the tigecycline evaluation and surveillance trial in North America. *J Chemother* 2009;**21**:16–23.

Luzzaro F, Vigano EF, Fossati D, *et al.* Prevalence and drug susceptibility of pathogens causing bloodstream infections in northern Italy: a two-year study in 16 hospitals. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002;**21**:849–855.

MacDougall C, Harpe SE, Powell JP, Johnson CK, Edmond MB, Polk RE. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and fluoroquinolone use. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;**11**:1197–1204.

Marra AR, de Almeida SM, Correa L, et al. The effect of limiting antimicrobial therapy duration on antimicrobial resistance in the critical care setting. Am J Infect Control 2009;**37**:204–209.

Mazzarello MG. Action of several new antibiotics on multi-resistant bacterial strains isolated in the urine of hospitalised patients. *Gazz Med Ital Arch Sci Med* 1988;**147**:155–158.

Miano TA, Powell E, Schweickert WD, Morgan S, Binkley S, Sarani B. Effect of an antibiotic algorithm on the adequacy of empiric antibiotic therapy given by a medical emergency team. *J Crit Care* 2012;**27**:45–50.

Monzon H, Salvado M, Estelrich M, Gasos A, Serrano C, Montaner F. Impact of an antimicrobial stewardship program in a second level hospital. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;**17**:S725.

Munoz-Price LS, Hayden MK, Lolans K, *et al.* Successful control of an outbreak of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* at a long-term acute care hospital. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;**31**:341–347.

Muzaheed, Doi Y, Adams-Haduch JM, *et al.* High prevalence of CTX-M-15-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among inpatients and outpatients with urinary tract infection in Southern India. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;**61**:1393–1394.

Pardo Serrano FJ, Tirado Balaguer MD, Garcia Zuniga ED, Granados Ortega J, Campos Aznar A, Moreno Munoz R. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: antimicrobial resistance in clinical isolates. Castellon 2004–2008. *Rev Espanol Quimioter* 2010;**23**:20–26.

Pires dos Santos R, Jacoby T, Pires Machado D, *et al.* Hand hygiene, and not ertapenem use, contributed to reduction of carbapenem-resistant pseudomonas aeruginosa rates. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;**32**:584–590.

Polemis M, Trifinopoulou K, Chrisochoidou S, et al. Bacteraemia and antibiotic resistance of its pathogens reported in Greece between 2000 and 2009: trend analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;**17**:S423.

Pop-Vicas AE, D'Agata EMC. The rising influx of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli into a tertiary care hospital. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;**40**:1792–1798.

Suman E, Gopalkrishna Bhat K. Urinary tract infection in children due to drug-resistant bacteria - a study from South India. *J Trop Pediatr* 2001;**47**:374.

Szucs O, Kristof K, Darvas K, Csomos A. Changes in the incidence of multiresistant pathogens and its consequences in the intensive care unit. *Orvosi Hetilap* 2011;**152**:1486–1491.

Takesue Y, Nakajima K, Ichiki K, *et al.* Impact of a hospital-wide programme of heterogeneous antibiotic use on the development of antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. *J Hosp Infect* 2010;**75**:28–32.

Tamayo J, Orden B, Cacho J, Cuadros J, Gomez-Garces JL, Alos JI. Activity of ertapenem and other antimicrobials against ESBL-producing enterobacteria isolated from urine in patients from Madrid. *Rev Espanol Quimioter* 2007;**20**:334–338.

Tomasoni D, Gattuso G, Scalzini A, *et al. Enterobacter cloacae* in an Italian Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: pattern of drug resistance compared with an international database (SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program). *J Chemother* 2006;**18**:110–111.

Turner PJ. Meropenem activity against European isolates: report on the MYSTIC (Meropenem Yearly Susceptibility Test Information Collection) 2006 results. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008;**60**:185–192.

Van Der Mee-Marquet N, Valentin-Domelier AS, Charbonnier T, *et al.* Characteristics of the multi-resistant bacteria that actually diffuse in the Centre region, France, in and out of healthcare institutions. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010;**16**:S29.

Wroblewska MM, Rudnicka J, Marchel H, Luczak M. Multidrug-resistant bacteria isolated from patients hospitalised in intensive care units. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2006;**27**:285–289.

#### 4.5.7. Narrative reviews, commentaries or editorials

Agarwal R. Do fluoroquinolones actually increase mortality in community-acquired pneumonia? Crit Care 2006;10:403.

Aguado JM. Role of new carbapenems in nosocomial intraabdominal infection. *Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin* 2010;**28**(Suppl. 2):65–68

Arya SC, Agarwal N, Solanki BS, Agarwal S. Use of cefepime for the treatment of infections caused by extended spectrum: beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*. *Singapore Med J* 2007;**48**:600–601.

Barie PS. Clinical issues in the management of surgical infections, with an emphasis on antibiotic management of infections caused by multi-drug-resistant pathogens. *Surg Infect* 2005;**6**:S1–S3.

Barraclough KA, Hawley CM, Playford EG, Johnson DW. Prevention of access-related infection in dialysis. *Exp Rev Anti-infect Ther* 2009;**7**:1185–1200.

Baughman RP, Glauser MP. Managing serious infections in the hospital: a new model. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005;**11**:1–3.

Bhavnani SM. Antimicrobial usage and resistance problems: surveillance issues and a strategy for the future. *Antimicrob Infect Dis Newslett* 1998;**17**:41–47.

Bishop M. New strains of E. coli cause concern. Pharmaceut J 2005;275:770.

Bragesjo F, Hallberg M. Back to basics: Governing antibacterial resistance by means of mundane technoscience and accountability relations in a context of risk. *Health Risk Soc* 2011;**13**:691–709.

Cooper TW, Pass SE, Brouse SD, Hall IRG. Can pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic principles be applied to the treatment of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter? Ann Pharmacother* 2011;**45**:229–240.

Cunha BA. Pharmacokinetic considerations regarding tigecycline for multidrug-resistant (MDR) Klebsiella pneumoniae or MDR Acinetobacter baumannii urosepsis. J Clin Microbiol 2009;47:1613.

Cunha BA. Oral doxycycline for non-systemic urinary tract infections (UTIs) due to *P. aeruginosa* and other Gram negative uropathogens. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;**31**:2865–2868.

Curcio D. Tigecycline for treating ventilator-associated pneumonia: a practical perspective. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis

2011;**69**:466–467.

Curcio D. Resistant pathogen-associated skin and skin-structure infections: antibiotic options. *Exp Rev Anti-infectTher* 2010;**8**:1019–1036.

Diomedi A. *Acinetobacter baumannii* pandrug-resistant: update in epidemiological and antimicrobial managing issues. *Rev Chil Infectol* 2005;**22**:298–320.

Drinka P, Niederman MS, El-Solh AA, Crnich CJ. Assessment of risk factors for multi-drug resistant organisms to guide empiric antibiotic selection in long term care: a dilemma. *J Am Med Direct Assoc* 2011;**12**:321–325.

Drissi M, Poirel L, Mugnier PD, Baba Ahmed Z, Nordmann P. Carbapenemase-producing *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Algeria. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010;**29**:1457–1458.

Emonet S, Schrenzel J. How could rapid bacterial identification improve the management of septic patients? *Exp Rev Anti-infect Ther* 2011;**9**:707–709.

Evans ME, Feola DJ, Rapp RP. Polymyxin B sulfate and colistin: old antibiotics for emerging multiresistant Gram-negative bacteria. *Ann Pharmacother* 1999;**33**:960–967.

Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK, Michalopoulos A. Treatment of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* pneumonia. *J Cystic Fibrosis* 2005;**4**:149–150.

Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK, Michalopoulos A. Polymyxins: a word of caution for prudent use of valuable 'old antibiotics' . *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;**27**:995.

Falagas ME, Koletsi PK, Bliziotis IA. The diversity of definitions of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and pandrug-resistant (PDR) Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Med Microbiol 2006;**55**:1619–1629.

Filius PMG, Verbrugh HA. Epidemiology of antibiotic resistant bacteria: what is the course of resistance development. *Pharmaceut Weekblad* 2001;**136**:958–963.

Fish DN. Meropenem in the treatment of complicated skin and soft tissue infections. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 2006;**2**:401–415.

Furukawa K. Importance of appropriate carbapenem use to reduce carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nippon Rinsho* 2007;**65**(Suppl. 2):258–264.

Giamarellou H. Prescribing guidelines for severe Pseudomonas infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002;49:229-233.

Giske CG, Monnet DL, Cars O, Carmeli Y. Clinical and economic impact of common multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;**52**:813–821.

Gotoh N. Antibiotic resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nippon Rinsho* 2003;61(Suppl. 3):196–201.

Heininger A, Unertl K. Antibiotic therapy: ventilator-associated pneumonia and multiresistant bacteria. *Anasthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther* 2007;**42**:122–129.

Heyn D, Kober P. Results of a review of disinfectant use in public health institutions. Hyg Med 1992;17:145–148.

Hoban DJ, Zhanel GG. Introduction to the CANWARD Study (2007–2009). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;69:289–290.

Horcajada JP, Farinas MC. Involvement of bacterial resistances in community-acquired urinary infections. *Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin* 2005;**23**:1–3.

Inglis TJJ, Beer CD. Multiresistant *Escherichia coli* in aged care: the gathering storm. The growing infection control challenges facing an ageing population. *Med J Aust* 2011;**195**:489–490.

Jain R, Danziger LH. Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* infections: an emerging challenge to clinicians. *Ann Pharmacother* 2004;**38**:1449–1459.

Kaier K, Frank U, Meyer E. Economic incentives for the (over-)prescription of broad-spectrum antimicrobials in German ambulatory care. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;**66**:1656–1658.

Kim C, Kim DG, Kang HR, et al. A trial of aerosolized colistin for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia due to multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Tuberc Respir Dis 2008;64:102–108.

Kollef MH. An empirical approach to the treatment of multidrug-resistant ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003;**36**:1119–1121.

Kretzschmar A. Antibiotic therapy in intensive care medicine: new options for risk-adapted strategies. *Krankenhauspharmazie* 2009;**30**:560.

Kuper KM, Hirsch EB, Tam VH. Significant publications on infectious diseases pharmacotherapy in 2008. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2009;**66**:1726–1734.

Kwa AL, Tam VH, Falagas ME. Polymyxins: a review of the current status including recent developments. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 2008;**37**:870–883.

Leggiadro RJ. Pediatric antimicrobial therapy. Curr Prob Pediatr 1993;23:315-321.

Levy SB, Zimmermann O, De Ciman R, *et al.* Bacteremia among Kenyan children (multiple letters). *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**:1379–1381.

Lindemann H. Recent developments in prevention and treatment of *Pseudomonas* infection in CF patients. *Monatsschrift Kinderheilkunde* 2002;**150**:1224–1232.

Lopardo HA. Acinetobacter spp. and time-kill studies. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;61:464.

Lowy FD. Antimicrobial resistance: the example of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Clin Investig* 2003;**111**:1265–1273.

Lubelski J, Konings WN, Driessen AJM. Distribution and physiology of ABC-type transporters contributing to multidrug resistance in bacteria. *Microbiol Molec Biol Rev* 2007;**71**:463–476.

Lynch AS. Antimicrobial resistance - 2005 Annual Conference. Science-prevention-control. 27–29 June 2005, Bethesda, MD, USA. *IDrugs* 2005;**8**:697–700.

Marcos RJ, Torres Marti A, Ariza Cardenal FJ, Alvarez Lerma F, Barcenilla Gaite F. Recommendations for the treatment of severe nosocomial pneumonia. *Med Intens* 2004;**28**:262–278.

Martinez-Martinez L. Bacterial death and heteroresistance to antimicrobial agents. *Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin* 2008;**26**:481–484.

Mathai E. Nosocomial bacteraemia & antimicrobial resistance in intensive care units. Ind J Med Res 2005;122:285–287.

Maviglia R, Nestorini R, Pennisi M. Role of old antibiotics in multidrug resistant bacterial infections. *Curr Drug Targets* 2009;**10**:895–905.

Mendelson M, Whitelaw A, Nicol M, Brink A. Wake up, South Africa! The antibiotic 'horse' has bolted. *S Afr Med J* 2012;**102**:607–608.

Michalopoulus A, Kasiakou SK, Falagas ME, Mubareka S, Rubinstein E. The significance of different formulations of aerosolized colistin (multiple letters). *Crit Care* 2005;**9**:417–418.

Mojtahedzadeh M, Mahmoudi L. Aminoglycoside resistance in ICUs: are we running out of drugs, for bad bugs. *Iran J Pharm Res* 2011;**10**:391–392.

Montefour K, Frieden J, Hurst S, *et al. Acinetobacter baumannii*: an emerging multidrug-resistant pathogen in critical care. *Crit Care Nurs* 2008;**28**:15–25; quiz 26.

Montero A, Corbella X, Ariza J. Clinical relevance of *Acinetobacter baumannii* ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2003;**31**:2557–2559.

Moriyama B, Henning SA, Neuhauser MM, Danner RL, Walsh TJ. Continuous-infusion beta-lactam antibiotics during continuous venovenous hemofiltration for the treatment of resistant Gram-negative bacteria. *Ann Pharmacother* 2009;**43**:1324–1337.

Motaouakkil S, Charra B, Hachimi A, Benslama A. Nosocomial pneumonia caused by multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumanii* treated by colistin and rifampicin. *Ann Francais Anesthes Reanim* 2006;**25**:543–544.

Mulvey MR, Simor AE. Antimicrobial resistance in hospitals: how concerned should we be? CMAJ 2009;180:408-415.

Munoz Bellido JL. Problematic bacteria. Rev Espanol Quimioter 2008;21:2-6.

Nguyen S, Whitehill J. Treatment of urinary tract infections in children. US Pharmacist 2011;36:HS2–HS7.

Nicolau DP. Carbapenems: a potent class of antibiotics. Exp Opin Pharmacother 2008;9:23-37.

Nicolau DP. Management of complicated infections in the era of antimicrobial resistance: the role of tigecycline. *Exp Opin Pharmacother* 2009;**10**:1213–1222.

Niederman MS. Reexamining quinolone use in the intensive care unit: use them right or lose the fight against resistant bacteria. *Crit Care Med* 2005;**33**:443–444.

Nseir S. Aerosolized antibiotics are not a good idea – don't go with the flow: Premum Non Nocere! *Crit Care Med* 2009;**37**:800–801.

Obritsch MD, Fish DN, MacLaren R, Jung R. Nosocomial infections due to multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: epidemiology and treatment options. *Pharmacotherapy* 2005;**25**:1353–1364.

Oliver A. Carbapenem resistance and Acinetobacter baumannii. Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin 2004;22:259–261.

Oncul O. Tertiary trauma care centre & antimicrobial resistance. Ind J Med Res 2011;134:238.

Ong CT, Kuti JL, Nightingale CH, Nicolau DP. Emerging *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* resistance: implications in clinical practice. *Connecticut Med* 2004;**68**:11–15.

Orhan-Sungur M, Akca O. Ventilator-associated pneumonia by multidrug-resistant bacteria: pathogen-specific risks versus care-related risks. *J Crit Care* 2007;**22**:26–27.

Oteo J, Alos JI. What's new in bacterial resistance to antimicrobials? Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin 2002;20:28-33.

Parry CM, Hien TT, Dougan G, White NJ, Farrar JJ. Typhoid fever. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1770–1782.

Perez F, Endimiani A, Bonomo RA. Why are we afraid of *Acinetobacter baumannii*? *Exp Rev Anti-Infect Ther* 2008;**6**:269–271.

Philippon A, Arlet G, Lagrange P. Extended spectrum beta-lactamases. Rev Pratic 1993;43:2387-2395.

Pickering LK. Emerging antibiotic resistance in enteric bacterial pathogens. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis 1996;7:272-280.

Poulakou G, Giamarellou H. Doripenem: an expected arrival in the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. *Exp Opin Investig Drugs* 2008;**17**:749–771.

Rapp RP. Antimicrobial resistance: insights into control and treatment of complicated infections – introduction. *Pharmacotherapy* 2005;**25**:41S–43S.

Rapp RP, Empey KM. Antimicrobial cycling to control bacterial resistance. Ann Pharmacother 2001;35:1289–1290.

Rice LB. Emerging issues in the management of infections caused by multi-drug-resistant, Gram-negative bacilli. *Surg Infect* 2005;**6**:S37–S47.

Rodriguez-Bano J, Bonomo RA. Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*: 'eyes wide shut'? *Enferm Infec Microbiol Clin* 2008;**26**:185–186.

Rodriguez-Bano J, Pascual A. Hospital infection control in Spain. J Hosp Infect 2001;48:258-260.

Rotimi VO, Jamal W, Salama M. Control of acinetobacter outbreaks in the intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect* 2009;**73**:286–287.

Ruf BR, Kern WV. Infectiology. Internist 1999;40:369-380.

Sabella C, Goldfarb J. Fluoroquinolone therapy in pediatrics: where we stand. Clin Pediatr 1997;36:445-448.

Sandel DC, Wang C-T, Kessler S. Urinary tract infections and a multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* clonal group. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**346**:535–536.

Sarma S, Nair D, Rawat D, *et al*. Burn wound septicemia – a pilot study from a tertiary care hospital. *Ann Trop Med Public Health* 2011;**4**:146–148.

Schwaber MJ, Carmeli Y. Carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae: a potential threat. JAMA 2008;300:2911–2913.

Shears P. A review of bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents in tropical countries. *Ann Trop Paediatr* 1993;**13**:219–226.

Silver LL. Antibacterial drug discovery & development - SRI's 11th Annual Summit. Antibacterial trends and current research, 10–11 April 2006, Princeton, NJ, USA. *IDrugs* 2006;**9**:394–397.

Simon A, Krawtschenko O, Reiffert SM, Exner M, Trautmann M, Engelhart S. Outbreaks of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in pediatric patients – clinical aspects, risk factors and management. *J Pediatr Infect Dis* 2008;**3**:249–269.

Slover CM, Rodvold KA, Danziger LH. Tigecycline: a novel broad-spectrum antimicrobial. *Ann Pharmacother* 2007;**41**:965–972.

Strateva T, Markova B, Marteva-Proevska Y, Ivanova D, Mitov I. Widespread dissemination of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* producing OXA-23 carbapenemase and ArmA 16S ribosomal RNA methylase in a Bulgarian university hospital. *Brazi J Infect Dis* 2012;**16**:307–310.

Sun HY, Fujitani S, Quintiliani R, Yu VL. Pneumonia due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Part II: Antimicrobial resistance, pharmacodynamic concepts, and antibiotic therapy. *Chest* 2011;**139**:1172–1185.

Sydnor ERM, Perl TM. Hospital epidemiology and infection control in acute-care settings. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2011;**24**:141–173.

Taubes G. The bacteria fight back. Science 2008;321:356-360, 361.

Tellado JM. The need for new antimicrobials for intra-abdominal infections (IAI): defining the forthcoming scenario. *Surg Infect* 2006;**7**:1–4.

Totsuka K, Kaku M, Kuwabara M, Rikitomi N, Fuchigami T. Antibiotic therapy in routine medical care: progress in diagnosis of and therapy for bacterial infections (discussion). *Nihon Naika Gakkai Zasshi* 2006;**95**:2256–2276.

Turkoglu M, Dizbay M. Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection is not an independent risk factor for mortality in critically ill patients with hematologic malignancy. *J Crit Care* 2011;**26**:526–527.

Urban C, Segal-Maurer S, Rahal JJ. Considerations in control and treatment of nosocomial infections due to multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003;**36**:1268–1274.

Van Delden C, Blumberg EA. Multidrug resistant Gram-negative bacteria in solid organ transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2009;**9**:S27–S34.

Van Saene HKF, Stoutenbeek CP, Gilbertson AA. Review of available trials of selective decontamination of the digestive tract (SDD). *Infection* 1990;**18**:S5–S9.

Wagenlehner FME, Schmiemann G, Hoyme U, et al. National S3 guideline on uncomplicated urinary tract infection:

recommendations for treatment and management of uncomplicated community-acquired bacterial urinary tract infections in adult patients. *Urologe* 2011;**50**:153–169.

Wagner BA, Dargatz DA, Morley PS, Keefe TJ, Salman MD. Analysis methods for evaluating bacterial antimicrobial resistance outcomes. *Am J Vet Res* 2003;**64**:1570–1579.

Wang F. Strategies for control of serious infections caused by multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii. Chin J Infect Chemother* 2007;**7**:230–232.

Waterman P, Kwak Y, Clifford R, et al. A multidrug-resistance surveillance network: 1 year on. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2012;**12**:587–588.

Wunderink RG, Niederman MS. Update in respiratory infections 2011. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2012;185:1261–1265.

Xu JF, Wu JF. Infection caused by multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Chin J Infect Chemother* 2007;**7**:141–144.

Yankaskas JR, Marshall BC, Sufian B, Simon RH, Rodman D. Cystic fibrosis adult care: consensus conference report. *Chest* 2004;**125**:1S–39S.

Yuan JY, Yang F. Latest advances on the diagnosis and management of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chin J Infect Chemother* 2007;**7**:382–385.

Zavascki AP. Treatment of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections: more attention required to in-vitro studies. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005;**11**:856–857.

Zavascki AP, Li J. Intravenous colistimethate for multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2008;**8**:403–405.

Zavascki AP, Machado ABMP, de Oliveira KRP, et al. KPC-2-producing Enterobacter cloacae in two cities from Southern Brazil. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009;34:286–288.

#### 4.5.8. Retrospective cohort

Alexiou VG, Michalopoulos A, Makris GC, Peppas G, Samonis G, Falagas ME. Multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infection in surgical patients hospitalized in the ICU: a cohort study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;**31**:557–566.

Branski LK, Al-Mousawi A, Rivero H, Jeschke MG, Sanford AP, Herndon DN. Emerging infections in burns. *Surg Infect* 2009;**10**:389–397.

Cheng C, Tsai M, Huang Y, *et al.* Antibiotic resistance patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infections in children with vesicoureteral reflux receiving prophylactic antibiotic therapy. *Pediatrics* 2008;**122**:1212–1217.

Daniels TL, Deppen S, Arbogast PG, Griffin MR, Schaffner W, Talbot TR. Mortality rates associated with multidrugresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection in surgical intensive care units. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;**29**:1080– 1083.

das Neves MT, de Lorenzo MEP, Almeida RAMB, Fortaleza CMCB. Antimicrobial use and incidence of multidrugresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a teaching hospital: an ecological approach. *Rev Soc Brasil Med Trop* 2010;**43**:629–632.

Florent A, Chichmanian RM, Cua E, Pulcini C. Adverse events associated with intravenous fosfomycin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011;**37**:82–83.

Fortaleza CMCB, Figueiredo LC, Beraldo CC, De Melo EC, Pola PMS, Aragao VDN. Risk factors of oropharyngeal carriage of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among patients from a medical-surgical intensive care unit. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2009;**13**:173–176.

Geyik MF, Aldemir M, Hosoglu S, Tacyildiz HI. Epidemiology of burn unit infections in children. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;**31**:342–346.

Hachem RY, Chemaly RF, Ahmar CA, *et al.* Colistin is effective in treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cancer patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;**51**:1905–1911.

Hyle EP, Lipworth AD, Zaoutis TE, Nachamkin I, Bilker WB, Lautenbach E. Impact of inadequate initial antimicrobial therapy on mortality in infections due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae: variability by site of infection. *Arch Intern Med* 2005:**165**:1375–1380.

Johansen HK, Moskowitz SM, Ciofu O, Pressler T, Hoiby N. Spread of colistin resistant non-mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among chronically infected Danish cystic fibrosis patients. *J Cystic Fibrosis* 2008;**7**:391–397.

Johnson LE, D'Agata EMC, Paterson DL, *et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia over a 10-year period: multidrug resistance and outcomes in transplant recipients. *Transplant Infect Dis* 2009;**11**:227–234.

Joung MK, Kwon KT, Kang CI, et al. Impact of inappropriate antimicrobial therapy on outcome in patients with hospitalacquired pneumonia caused by Acinetobacter baumannii. J Infect 2010;61:212–218.

Kasiakou SK, Michalopoulos A, Soteriades ES, Samonis G, Sermaides GJ, Falagas ME. Combination therapy with intravenous colistin for management of infections due to multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in patients without cystic fibrosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;**49**:3136–3146.

Kent L, Bradley JM, France M, et al. Temocillin in cystic fibrosis: a retrospective pilot study. J Cystic Fibrosis 2008;7:551–554.

Leflon-Guibout V, Ternat G, Heym B, Nicolas-Chanoine M-H. Exposure to co-amoxiclav as a risk factor for co-amoxiclavresistant *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002;**49**:367–371.

Le Hello S, Falcot V, Lacassin F, Mikulski M, Baumann F. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections at a tertiary care hospital in New Caledonia, South Pacific. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2010;**42**:821–826.

Lipovy B, Rihova H, Hanslianova M, Gregorova N, Suchanek I, Brychta P. Prevalence and resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in severely burned patients: a 10-year retrospective study. *Acta Chirurg Plastic* 2010;**52**:39–43.

Medvedev DS, Zakharova NV. De-escalation strategy reduces Gram-negative pathogen resistance in infectious complications of thermal burns. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;**17**:S774.

Navarro JL, Somodevilla A, Martinez MC, *et al.* Nosocomial outbreak of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adult inpatients: multidrug- vs. non-multidrug-resistant strains. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;**17**:S717.

Poulakou G, Kontopidou FV, Paramythiotou E, *et al.* Tigecycline in the treatment of infections from multi-drug resistant Gram-negative pathogens. *J Infect* 2009;**58**:273–284.

Prevotat A, Leroy S, Perez T, Wallet F, Wallaert B. Tolerance and efficacy of ceftazidime in combination with aztreonam for exacerbations of cystic fibrosis. *Rev Malad Respir* 2010;**27**:449–456.

Schwaber MJ, Navon-Venezia S, Kaye KS, Ben-Ami R, Schwartz D, Carmeli Y. Clinical and economic impact of bacteremia with extended-spectrum-beta- lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006;**50**:1257–1262.

Sousa M, Trindade I, Cortesao N, Alves V, Granja C. Successful change in epidemiology of ICU multiresistant bacteria with implementation of evidence-based interventions. *Crit Care Med* 2010;**38**:A84.

Strenger V, Gschliesser T, Grisold A, *et al.* Orally administered colistin leads to colistin-resistant intestinal flora and fails to prevent faecal colonisation with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteria in hospitalised newborns. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011;**37**:67–69.

Tasbakan MS, Pullukcu H, Sipahi OR, Tasbakan MI, Aydemir S, Bacakoglu F. Is tigecyclin a good choice in the treatment of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* pneumonia? *J Chemother* 2011;**23**:345–349.

Trottier V, Namias N, Pust DG, et al. Outcomes of Acinetobacter baumannii infection in critically ill surgical patients. Surg Infect 2007;8:437–443.

## 4.5.9. Study design not relevant

Bercault N, Linassier P. The value of sepsis isolation to diminish the spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria in intensive care. Consequences on the incidence of nosocomial infections. *Rev Med Intern/ Fondee* 1999;**20**:86–87.

Drapeau CMJ, Grilli E, Petrosillo N. Rifampicin combined regimens for Gram-negative infections: data from the literature. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2010;**35**:39–44.

Eveillard M, Eb F, Tramie B, *et al.* Evaluation of the contribution of isolation precautions in prevention and control of multiresistant bacteria in a teaching hospital. *J Hosp Infect* 2001;**47**:116–124.

Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK. Toxicity of polymyxins: a systematic review of the evidence from old and recent studies. *Crit Care* 2006;**10**:R27.

Furtado GHC, Martins ST, Machado AMO, Wey SB, Medeiros EAS. Clinical culture surveillance of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species in a teaching hospital in São Paulo, Brazil: a 7-year study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006;**27**:1270–1273.

Hashino S, Morita L, Kanamori H, *et al.* Clinical impact of cycling the administration of antibiotics for febrile neutropenia in Japanese patients with hematological malignancy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;**31**:173–178.

Noy A, Orni-Wasserlauf R, Sorkine P, Siegman-Igra Y. Epidemiology of ceftazidime-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a large university hospital in Tel Aviv. *Israel Med Assoc J* 2000;**2**:908–911.

Oostdijk EA, Leverstein-van Hall M, Muilwijk J, Kesecioglu J, Bonten MJ. Colistin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria during prophylactic colistin use in intensive care units. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;**17**:S294.

Patterson JE, Hardin TC, Kelly CA, Garcia RC, Jorgensen JH. Association of antibiotic utilization measures and control of multiple-drug resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2000;**21**:455–458.

Prescott WA Jr, Gentile AE, Nagel JL, Pettit RS. Continuous-infusion antipseudomonal beta-lactam therapy in patients with cystic fibrosis. *P T* 2011;**36**:723–763.

Schmitt F, Clermidi P, Dorsi M, Cocquerelle V, Gomes CF, Becmeur F. Bacterial studies of complicated appendicitis over a 20-year period and their impact on empirical antibiotic treatment. *J Pediatr Surg* 2012;**47**:2055–2062.

#### 4.5.10. Controlled before-after studies without a minimum of two intervention and control sites

Bennett KM, Scarborough JE, Sharpe M, *et al.* Implementation of antibiotic rotation protocol improves antibiotic susceptibility profile in a surgical intensive care unit. *J Trauma* 2007;**63**:307–311.

De Champs CL, Guelon DP, Garnier RM, *et al.* Selective digestive decontamination by erythromycin-base in a polyvalent intensive care unit. *Intensive Care Med* 1993;**19**:191–196.

Martínez J, Nicolás J, Marco F, et al. Comparison of antimicrobial cycling and mixing strategies in two medical intensive care units. *Crit Care Med* 2006;**34**:329–336.

# 4.5.11. Interrupted time series studies without at least three data points before and after the intervention

Geissler A, Gerbeaux P, Granier I, Blanc P, Facon K, Durand-Gasselin J. Rational use of antibiotics in the intensive care unit: impact on microbial resistance and costs. *Intensive Care Med* 2003;**29**:49–54.

#### 4.5.12. Participants not relevant

Giamarellou H, Efstratiou A, Tsagarakis J, Petrikkos G, Daikos GK. Experience with ciprofloxacin in vitro and in vivo. *Arzneimittel Forschung* 1984;**34**:1775–1778.

Karageorgopoulos DE, Kelesidis T, Kelesidis I, Falagas ME. Tigecycline for the treatment of multidrug-resistant (including

carbapenem-resistant) *Acinetobacter* infections: a review of the scientific evidence. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;**62**:45–55.

Kastoris A, Rafailidis P, Vouloumanou E, Gkegkes I, Falagas M. Synergy of fosfomycin with other antibiotics for Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2010;**66**:359–368.

Zervos M, Mandell LA, Vrooman PS, *et al.* Comparative efficacies and tolerabilities of intravenous azithromycin plus ceftriaxone and intravenous levofloxacin with step-down oral therapy for hospitalized patients with moderate to severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Treat Respir Med* 2004;**3**:329–336.

## 4.5.13. Antibiotics used not relevant for the review

Galanakis N, Giamarellou H, Moussas T, Dounis E. Chronic osteomyelitis caused by multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: evaluation of treatment with newer quinolones after prolonged follow-up. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1997;**39**:241–246.

Giamarellou H, Tsagarakis J, Petrikkos G, Daikos GK. Norfloxacin versus cotrimoxazole in the treatment of lower urinary tract infections. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* 1983;**2**:266–269.

Goldstein EJ, Alpert ML, Najem A, *et al.* Norfloxacin in the treatment of complicated and uncomplicated urinary tract infections. A comparative multicenter trial. *Am J Med* 1987;**82**:65–69.

Henry Jr DC, Bettis RB, Riffer E, *et al.* Comparison of once-daily extended-release ciprofloxacin and conventional twicedaily ciprofloxacin for the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women. *Clin Ther* 2002;**24**:2088–2104.

Holloway WJ, Palmer D. Clinical applications of a new parenteral antibiotic in the treatment of severe bacterial infections. *Am J Med* 1996;**100**:52S–59S.

Vlieghe E, Phoba MF, Tamfun JJM, Jacobs J. Antibiotic resistance among bacterial pathogens in Central Africa: a review of the published literature between 1955 and 2008. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2009;**34**:295–303.

#### 4.5.14. Not multi-drug-resistant infections

Hooton TM, Roberts PL, Stapleton AE. Cefpodoxime vs ciprofloxacin for short-course treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2012;**307**:583–589.

Sole Violan J, Fernandez JA, Benitez AB, Cendrero JAC, De Castro FR. Impact of quantitative invasive diagnostic techniques in the management and outcome of mechanically ventilated patients with suspected pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2000;**28**:2737–2741.

## **Appendix 5: CPD material**

1. Which of the following are appropriate monotherapy meropenem-sparing agents:

- a) Temocillin
- b) Cefixime
- c) Ceftolozane/tazobactam
- d) Fosfomycin
- e) Ceftazidime/avibactam
- Answer a, c, d, e
- 2. Which of the following are true:

a) Polymyxins do not require monitoring renal function in the elderly.

b) Fluoroquinolones can be used to treat urinary infection due to multidrug resistant Gramnegative bacteria

c) Oral pivmecillinam should be used alone in the treatment of upper urinary infection

d) Polymyxins should be given in combination with other agents if they are used in treating carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

e) Co-trimoxazole should be used in treatment of infections due to Stenotrophomonas maltophilia

Answer b, d, e

3. Which of the following are true:

a) In uncomplicated urinary infection due to a proven ESBL-producing organism, treatment is recommended for 3 days

b) If infection with MDR GNB is suspected, treat asymptomatic bacteriuria

c) Give antibiotic prophylaxis for urinary catheter insertion if previous history of symptomatic urinary infections associated with a catheter change or there is trauma during the catheter insertion

d) Daily antibiotic prophylaxis is preferable to standby antibiotics in recurrent urinary infection

e) Always send a urine specimen for culture if an antibiotic-resistant organism is suspected AND the patient is asymptomatic

Answer c,

- 4. Which of the following are true;
  - a) Ceftolozane-tazobactam is active against AmpC producing Enterobacteriaceae
  - b) Ceftazidime-avibactam is active against AmpC producing#

Enterobacteriaceae

c)KPC-producing *Klebsiella sp.* often produce aminoglycoside

methyltransferases conferring pan-aminoglycoside resistance

- d) NDM-producing *E. coli* are usually mecillinam susceptible
- e) Proteus sp. are usually resistant to fosfomycin

Answer b

## Appendix 6: Consultation stakeholders

Antimicrobial Resistance and Hospital Acquired Infection
Advisory Committee (APRHAI)
British Medical Association
British Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy
British Infection Association
C. Diff Support
European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases
Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine
Foundation Trust Network
Hand Hygiene Alliance
Healthcare Infection Society
Infection Prevention Society
Lee Spark Foundation
MRSA Action UK
NHS Confederation
NHS England
NHS Trust Development Authority
Patient's Association
Public Health England/ Wales/ Scotland/ Northern Ireland
Royal College of Pathologists

Royal College of General Practitioners

Royal College of Nursing

Royal College of Physicians

Royal College of Surgeons

Service User Research Forum Healthcare acquired Infections

UK Clinical Pharmacists Association

Unison

Respondent	Address	Email	Date Rec/d
Conor Doherty	NHS GGC – paed infectious diseases	Conor.Doherty@ggc.scot.nhs.uk	23 May 2016
Ibai Los-Arcos	Infectious Diseases Division, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron Avda. Vall d'Hebron, 119-129 08035 Barcelona. Spain	bai.losarcos@gmail.com	01 June 2016
Prof. Céline PULCINI	Nancy University Hospital, Nancy, France	<u>celine.pulcini@univ-lorraine.fr</u>	01 June 2016
Aaron Nagar	Microbiology Department, Antrim Area Hospital, 45 Bush Rd, Antrim, Northern Ireland, BT41 2RL	Aaron.Nagar@northerntrust.hscni.net	01 June 2016
Dr Paul Chadwick & Dr Alex Peel	Microbiology Department Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust Stott Lane, Salford. M6 8HD	<u>paul.chadwick@srft.nhs.uk;</u> <u>alex.peel@srft.nhs.uk</u>	15 June 2016
Rebecca Tilley	West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Hardwick Lane, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk, IP33 2QZ.	rebecca.tilley@wsh.nhs.uk	17 June 2016

Egidia Miftode	Hospital of Infectious Diseases Iasi Str O Botez no 2, code 700274, Iasi Romania	emiftode@yahoo.co.uk	27 June 2016
Neil Woodford	Head, Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit (AMRHAI) Public Health England 61 Colindale Avenue London, NW9 5EQ	Neil.Woodford@phe.gov.uk	27 June 2016

British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Joint Working Party Paper on Multi resistant Gram-negative Infection: Treatment Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016				
<ul><li>Please use this form for subi</li><li>Please put each comment in</li></ul>	mitting your comments to BSAC. <b>COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM</b> a separate row			
<ul> <li>Type directly onto the form. Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost</li> <li>Only comments received on the attached form will be considered.</li> <li>How to respond: Please complete this BSAC response form and submit by email to <u>fdrummond@bsac.org.uk</u> no later than <u>Friday</u></li> </ul>				
Name	Conor Doherty			
Organisation Address & Postcode	NHS GGC – paed infectious diseases			
Email	Conor.doherty@ggc.scot.nhs.uk			

Phone number					
Conflict(s) of	Interest	nil			
Document	Page Number	Line Number	Comments	Changes:	
Indicate if you are referring to the <b>Full</b> version or the <b>Appendices</b>	Number only ( <u>do</u> <u>not write the</u> <u>word 'page/pg'</u> ). Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	Number only (do not write the word 'line'). See example in cell below	Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
EXAMPLE: Full	16	45	Our comments are as follows	Exclude: Reason	WP Response
			Generally a very useful document. My one concern is that there is no mention of children, infants, neonates. Paeds are increasingly faced particularly with multiresistant G-ve UTI's and the data here is all from all adult studies/perspectives. Unfortunately experience with quite a few of the alternative drugs discussed here is very scant and often appropriate doses/formulations are unknown/unavailable.1)As a result carabpenem sparing strategies are particularly problematic due to lack of alternatives. I would suggest that the doc either declares itself as 'adult' guidance or discusses this2)Appropriate empirical treatment and prophylaxis strategies in the face of increasing trimethoprim resistance		Specific mention made that does not cover neonates and mostly does not deal with paediatric dosage or paediatric- specific issues such prophylaxis of UTI

	for paed UTI's is a major issue and	
	not discussed	

Bri Joint Working Party					
	Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016				
• Please use this form for subr	nitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM				
• Please put each comment in	a separate row				
• Type directly onto the form.	Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost				
• Only comments received on	the attached form will be considered.				
Name					
	Ibai Los-Arcos				
Organisation Address & Postcode	Infectious Diseases Division,				
	Avda Vall d'Hebron, 119-129				
	08035 Barcelona.				
	Spain				
Email	ibai.losarcos@gmail.com				
Phone number		0034 93 274 6090			
--	--	------------------------------	---	---	---
Conflict(s) of Interest		None			
Document	Page Number	Line Number	Comments	Changes:	WP Response
Indicate if you are referring to the <b>Full</b> version or the <b>Appendices</b>	Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	See example in cell below	Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
Full	61	1651	Mean prostatic fosfomycin levels in the uninflamed peripheral prostatic area after a 3 g dose of fosfomycin trometamol were higher than 4 µg/g in 70% of patients (Gardiner et al. 2014). In addition, fosfomycin- tromethamine monotherapy proved useful for the treatment of 2 cases of MDR Enterobacteriaceae prostatitis (Grayson et al. 2015) and also for the treatment of 53% of patients with difficult-to-treat chronic bacterial prostatitis, including 4/5 (80%) MDR Enterobacteriaceae (Los-Arcos et al. 2015). It could be an alternative agent for the treatment of MDR Enterobacteriaceae prostatitis, in isolates with fosfomycin MICs < 4 µg/ml.	Include	Reference to prostatitis included in fosfomycin section

- Gardiner BJ, Mahony AA, Ellis A G, et al. Is fosfomycin a potential treatment alternative for multidrug-resistant gram-negative prostatitis? Clin Infect Dis 2014;58:e101–5.
- Grayson ML, Macesic N, Trevillyan J, et al. Fosfomycin for treatment of prostatitis: new tricks for old dogs. Clin Infect Dis 2015; 61:1141-3.
- Los-Arcos I, Pigrau C, Rodríguez-Pardo D, et al. Long-term fosfomycin-tromethamine oral therapy for difficult to treat chronic bacterial prostatitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015; 60: 1854-8.

Br Joint Working Party						
• Please use this form for sub	mitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM					
• Please put each comment in	Please put each comment in a separate row					
• Type directly onto the form.	Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost					
Only comments received on	the attached form will be considered.					
How to respond: Please complete this BSAC response form and submit by email to <u>fdrummond@bsac.org.uk</u> no later than <u>Friday</u> <u>17 June 2016</u> . Comments received after the deadline will not be accepted.						
Name	Prof. Céline PULCINI					
Organisation Address & Postcode	Nancy University Hospital, Nancy, France					
Email	celine.pulcini@univ-lorraine.fr					
Phone number						
Conflict(s) of Interest	None					

Document	Page Number	Line Number	Comments	Changes:	
Indicate if you are referring to the <b>Full</b> version or the <b>Appendices</b>	Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	See example in cell below	Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
EXAMPLE: Full	16	45	Our comments are as follows	Exclude: Reason	WP Response
Full	general		Congratulations on your hard work! I miss a summary of the recommended dosing and durations of treatment for each antibiotic and I feel that a section on optimised PK/PD (prolonged infusions) would be a plus		Dosing recommendations uness specifically otherwise referenced are as per product medicines license and outside scope of WP Report. Some information on prolonged infusion of meropenem now included but full section rather than illustration of benefit outside scope of WP

British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Joint Working Party Paper on Multi resistant Gram-negative Infection: Treatment				
	Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016			
Please use this form for subr	nitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM			
Please put each comment in	a separate row			
• Type directly onto the form.	Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost			
• Only comments received on	the attached form will be considered.			
How to respond: Please complete the second s	his BSAC response form and submit by email to <u>fdrummond@bsac.org.uk</u> no later than <u>Friday</u> after the deadline will not be accepted.			
Name	Aaron Nagar			
Organisation Address & Postcode	Microbiology Department, Antrim Area Hospital, 45 Bush Rd, Antrim, Northern Ireland, BT41 2RL			
Email	Aaron.Nagar@northerntrust.hscni.net			
Phone number	02894424113			
Conflict(s) of Interest	Speaker fee from Astellas			

Document Indicate if you are referring to the Full version or the Appendices	Page Number Number only ( <u>do</u> <u>not write the</u> <u>word 'page/pg'</u> ). Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	Line Number Number only (do not write the word 'line'). See example in cell below	Comments Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Changes: Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
EXAMPLE: Full	16	45	Our comments are as follows	Exclude: Reason	WP Response
Full	40	1086	Change to "Ceftazidime –avibactam may be used as an alternative to carbapenems in exceptional circumstances i.e. infection with KPC producer"	Include: Though evidence is not there feel that Ceftazidime-avibactam should be reserved for infections for which there are limited options .i.e. KPC producers. Given targets to reduce carbapenem use, I fear ceftazidime-avibactam may be overused driving resistance to it.	Review is required to be evidence-based by NICE
Full	64	1743	Suggest changing the order of the oral agents i.e. nitrofurantoin, pivmecillinam and fosfomycin	Include: Feel this order is better as people tend to use the first agent in a guideline more. Feel that fosfomycin should be last as we may have to use the IV form more when CPE becomes more prevalent. It will not be useful if we drive resistance by PO fosfomycin overuse.	Order specified in new algorithm
Full	65	1754	Feel that the order of PO agents in the text should be changed to nitrofurantoin, pivmecillinam and fosfomycin	Include: Feel this order is better as people tend to use the first agent in a guideline more i.e. feel	Order specified in algorithm

				that it indicates	
				preference. Feel that	
				fosfomycin should be last	
				as we may have to use the	
				IV form more when CPE	
				becomes more prevalent.	
				It will not be useful if we	
				drive resistance by PO	
				fosfomycin overuse.	
Full	65	1756	Feel that we should remove 7 days treatment	Exclude: Feel that clinical	Comment is not evidence-
			for uncomplicated UTI due to an ESBL	staff over treat older	based. WP specifically
			producer	patients with	considered that
				asymptomatic bacteriuria	bacteriologically optimum
				and are always looking for	treatement required when
				excuses to extend	MDR GNB being treated
				duration. I feel we should	but not generally
				stick with shorter	
				durations for symptomatic	
				cure.	
Full	81	2179	Feel that we should discourage dipstick use in	Exclude: Find it very	Agree with specific point
			patients over 65 years of age as per SIGN	difficult to convince	about asymptomatic
			guidance	clinicians not to use urine	bacteriuria and this has
				dipstick to diagnose and	been added. Detailed
				treat asymptomatic	technology review
				bacteriuria as UTI.	consideration of dipsticks
					in paper extended and
					changed

British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Joint Working Party Paper on Multi resistant Gram-negative Infection: Treatment					
	Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016				
Please use this form for sul	bmitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM				
Please put each comment in a separate row					
Type directly onto the form	n. Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost				
Only comments received o	n the attached form will be considered.				
17 June 2016. Comments receive	d after the deadline will not be accepted.				
	Dr Alex Peel, Antimicrobial stewardship lead/consultant microbiologist				
Organisation Address &	Microbiology Department				
Postcode	Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust				
	Stott Lane, Salford. M6 8HD				
Email	paul.chadwick@srft.nhs.uk;				
	alex.peel@srft.nhs.uk				
Phone number	01612065030				
Conflict(s) of Interest					

Document Indicate if you are referring to the Full version or the Appendices	Page Number Number only ( <u>do</u> <u>not write the</u> <u>word 'page/pg'</u> ). Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	Line Number Number only (do not write the word 'line'). See example in cell below	Comments Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Changes: Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	WP Response
Full	general		This guideline is welcomed as a resource to support treatment of MDR Gram negative infections and is supported by an extensive literature review. However, the recommendations in their current form appear as a fairly disjointed and inconsistent collection of statements. For example, the first recommendation starts with the role of temocillin vs Enterobacteria and Burkholderia and the second recommendation is for ampicillin-sulbactam vs Acinetobacter. This is not a logical or helpful sequence for presentation. Some of the recommendations appear as a surprise as they do not relate back to the preceding evidence or discussion. Care should be taken to ensure that this link is made and a justification provided for all recommendations Perhaps the functionality of the guideline could be improved with a more structured approach to the management of MDR Gram negatives? For example the role of each of the different classes of agents (recommended Y/N + comments) could be systematically presented as a table for each of the common resistance mechanisms, if necessary separated into different tables for the		<ul> <li>Very useful set of comments.</li> <li>1. Antibiotics considered have been re-ordered to reflect important issues.</li> <li>2. All recommendatios n checked for relationship to text and evidence</li> <li>3. Too many mechanisms to consider all but additional table on mechanisms and activity added.</li> </ul>

			different organism groups (e.g.	
			Enterobacteria, non-fermentors).	
Full	28	783	The conclusion that temocillin may be used as	Considered on a case by
			a carbapenem-sparing agent against	case basis
			Enterobacteria is (a reasonable) opinion of	
			the authors but does not follow from the	
			evidence presented. (The same opinion might	
			also have be given for other classes of agent	
			such as polymixins). Consideration should be	
			given to simplifying and rephrasing the	
			recommendation to 'temocillin can be used	
			to treat infections due to Enterobacteria,	
	_	<u> </u>	including ESBL and AmpC producers'	
Full	30	830	The recommendation that 'Amoxicillin-	Detailed consideration
			clavulanate should not be used to treat	given of this
			infection with known ESBL-producing	recommendation but
			organism unless sensitivity known ' is	given 6+% recurrence rate
			generally not very helpful for a typical	with ESBL infection
			diagnostic laboratory where apparent co-	previous susceptibility is
			amoxiclav susceptibility will be known either	an important factor in
			before or at the same time as ESBL	making this choice.
			production is confirmed.	Substantual cavaeats
				against use of coamoxiclav
			Alternatively, if the authors are suggesting	and
			that a patient with a <u>history of</u> ESBL positive	piperacillin/tazobactam
			UTI/infection should not be given co-	use in UK added both
			amoxiclav until sensitivity for the <u>current</u>	because of in vitro
			episode is confirmed, the recommendation	resistance and prevalence
			should be clearly reworded	pf OXA-1 in UK isolates
Full	32	883	The following recommendation is not	Recommendation changed
			supported by any evidence linking clinical	to omit reference to
			outcomes to sepsis severity criteria:	severity of infection
			'Piperacillin-tazobactam can be considered	
			for use in mild-moderate infections (i.e. not	
			severe sepsis) and to ESBL-producing	
			Enteropacteriaceae if supported by	
			susceptibility results. The evidence should be	
			provided, the opinion justified, or the	
E.J.II	22	000	The following recommendation is not	Agree Demound
Full	32	888	The following recommendation is not	Agree. Removed
			supported by any evidence However	
			combination with an aminoglycoside is	
			advisable for severe infections. The evidence	

			should be provided, the opinion justified, or	
			the recommendation removed.	
Full	36	986	It is unclear why there needs to be a separate	Ertapenem has different
			recommendation for ertapenem: 'Ertapenem	properties and is now
			is effective in treatment of infections with	recommended for OPAT.
			multi-resistant Enterobacteriaceae apart from	AmpC issue now
			carbapenemase producers' when this has	considered
			apready been covered by the previous	
			recommendation: ' Carbapenems should be	
			used to treat serious ESBL-producing Gram-	
			negative infections subject to antibiotic	
			stewardship to minimize the risk of	
			developing resistance'.	
			Is there a reason why the general	
			carbapenem recommendation is not	
			extended to include AmpC resistance? For	
			internal consistency within the document, we	
			suggest merging these two recommendations	
			as follow: 'carbapenems can be used to treat	
			infections due to ESBL or AmpC producing	
			Enterobacteria'.	
Full	37	1010	The format of the following recommendation	rephrased
			is internally inconsistent within the	
			document: 'Although it retains good efficacy	
			against infections with Pseudomonas	
			aeruginosa, ceftazidime is not recommended	
			for the treatment of other serious infections	
			due to ESBL / AmpC producing	
			Enterobacteriaceae, even if in vitro tests	
			suggest the isolate is susceptible.'	
			We suggest 1) separating the	
			recommendations for treating Pseudomonas	
			and Enterobacterial infections, 2) rephrasing	
			the recommendation for Enterobacteria as	
			follows: 'ceftazidime should NOT be used to	
			treat infections due to ESBL or AmpC	
			producing Enterobacteria'	
Full	39	1074	Information relating to aztreonam-avibactam,	Separate aztreonam
		1	while interesting does not belong under a	section added whoich
			while interesting, does not belong under a	Section added wholen

			directly relevant to the guideline – suggest	combination aztreoname-
			remove	avibactam
Full	40	1086	The format of the following recommendation	Rewritten
			is internally inconsistent within the	
			document: 'With the exception of infections	
			with metallo-β-lactamase strains,	
			ceftazidime-avibactam, when available,	
			should be used as alternative treatment to	
			carbapenems'.	
			We suggest rephrase this recommendation as	
			follows: 'ceftazidime-avibactam can be used	
			to treat infections due to Enterobacteria,	
			including ESBL and AmpC producers'	
Full	42	1140	The format of the following recommendation	Rewritten
			is internally inconsistent within the document	
			(and implies that it should be used in	
			preference to carbapenems): 'Ceftolozane-	
			tazobactam should be used as alternative	
			treatment to carbapenems in treating ESBL-	
			producing Gram negative pathogens (but not	
			carbapenemase producers).	
			We suggest rephrase this recommendation as	
			follows (softelezone tozebestem can be used	
			to treat infections due to Enterphactoria	
			including ESPL and AmpC producers'	
Eull	/E	1221	There is not ontial overlap (duplication	Cross references inserted
Full	45	1251	regarding combination therapy with this	where useful
			recommendation and the recommendation	where userul
			on page 56 line 1518 Consider either	
			removing (and preferably used in	
			combination with other agents' and adding a	
			cross reference to the later section	
Full	45	1234	The recommendation with regard to renal	To contaienm a Iready
r un	15	1201	function is internally inconsistent within the	voluminous length
			document as side effects are not	Unwanted effects are
			systematically considered for other agents.	highlighted where may be
			Many important unwanted effects occur for	specifically over-looked.
			many different antimicrobials and relevant	
			monitoring should be considered as a matter	
			of course by the prescribing clinician (and this	
			might include monitoring colistin levels also,	

			which is not mentioned as a	
			recommendation).	
Full	46	1266	The format of the following recommendation	Standardised
			is internally inconsistent within the	
			document: 'Fluoroquinolones can be used to	
			treat urinary infection due to multidrug	
			resistant Gram-negative bacteria based on	
			susceptibility results.'	
			We suggest rephrase this recommendation as	
			follows: 'quinolones can be used to treat	
			complicated urinary tract infections due to	
			Gram negative bacteria'	
Full	51	1390	The format of the following recommendation	Standardised
			is internally inconsistent within the	
			document: 'Fosfomycin should be used in	
			treatment of urinary infection due to	
			multiresistant Gram-negative bacteria (oral	
			administration only suitable for lower urinary	
			infection)'	
			We suggest rephrase as follows: 'Fosfomycin	
			can be used to treat urinary tract infections	
			due to Gram-negative bacteria (oral	
			administration only suitable for lower urinary	
			infection)'	
Full	52	1410	To improve internal consistency within the	Agreed
			document, we suggest adding the following	
			additional recommendation (which follows	
			from the preceding evidence): 'aztreonam	
			should NOT be used to treat infections due to	
			ESBL or AmpC producing Enterobacteria'	
Full	65	1758	There is a recommendation to use 7 days	Debated at length within
			therapy for ESBL simple UTIs to improve	WP. Considered that best
			bacteriological clearance. There is no	possible bacteriological
			mention of clinical outcomes evidence.	clearance should be
			Bacteriological clearance does not necessarily	obtained with proven
			correlate well with clinical outcomes (e.g.	MDR GNB infection but
			nign prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria	caveat inserted about
			in certain patient populations). This	clinical relevance of
			recommendation could lead to a large	bacteriological cure.
			increase in ab use if implemented widely and	

			it would need strong clinical evidence before doing so.	
Full	66	1795	This recommendation: 'admission for intravenous aminoglycoside therapy' is potentially confusing as it appears to exclude an inpatient carbapenem option (presumably temocillin or other agents recommended above for Enterobacteria could also be considered). We suggest rephrase as 'admission for intravenous therapy with an aminoglycoside or carbapenem (? Or temocillin etc)	Whole section fo recommendations recast. Point accepted.
Full	General	General	Although the evidence base is weak in many areas, and the authors are to be commended for covering many topic areas, we feel the document does not read like it is focused on an infection specialist dealing with 'real world' problems e.g. a patient with KPC bacteraemia with MICs of x,y,z and renal failure and obesity etc – we note that the US has produced flowcharts previously (e.g. Medscape <u>http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/7800</u> <u>65_9</u> ) see screenshot on following page, and more recent publications - clearly these may be based on minimal evidence but they do provide a start. We wonder whether consideration could be given by the WP to producing similar tools.	" simple flow-charts inserted but subject is too diverse to deal with all possible clinical situations



## Figure 2.

Potential antibiotic combination therapy algorithm for the treatment of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infections stratified to site of infection and antibiogram results. <sup>1</sup>Algorithm would be appropriate for institution where >50% of isolates exhibit carbapenem MICs in the treatable range with HD therapy (MIC <32 mg/ml). Specific drugs used for empirical therapy should be tailored the epidemiology of endemic carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae strains.<sup>4</sup>HD meropenem (6 g daily, administered as prolonged infusion).<sup>3</sup>HD tigecycline (200 mg loading dose, 100 mg once a day), see text regarding the limitations and evidence supporting the use of HD regimens.HD: High-dose.

British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Joint Working Party Paper on Multi resistant Gram-negative Infection: Treatment				
Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016				
Please use this form for submitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FOR				
Please put each comment in a separate row				
<ul> <li>Type directly onto the form. Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost</li> </ul>				
Only comments received on the attached form will be considered.				
How to respond: Please complete this BSAC response form and submit by email to <u>fdrummond@bsac.org.uk</u> no later than <u>Friday</u> <u>17 June 2016</u> . Comments received after the deadline will not be accepted.				
Name Rebecca Tilley				
Organisation Address & PostcodeWest Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Hardwick Lane, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk, IP33 2QZ.				
Email rebecca.tilley@wsh.nhs.uk				
Phone number         01284 712635				
Conflict(s) of Interest None				

Document Indicate if you are referring to the Full version or the Appendices	Page Number Number only ( <u>do</u> <u>not write the</u> <u>word 'page/pg'</u> ). Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	Line Number Number only (do not write the word (line'). See example in cell below	Comments Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Changes: Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
EXAMPLE: Full	16	45	Our comments are as follows	Exclude: Reason	WP Response
Full	15	423	Typo – " <u>u</u> in" instead of "in"	Exclude: correct the spelling	All typos dealt with
Full	38	1054	Typo – "Gram- <u>e</u> gative" instead of "Gram- negative"	Exclude: correct the spelling	All typos dealt with
Full	52	1415	Typo – "mecilli <u>a</u> nam" instead of "mecillinam"	Exclude: correct the spelling	All typos dealt with
Full	74-75	2004-2009	All bacteraemias or just MRGN bacteraemias? This would require a standardised format to enable direct comparison but is also a very complex, multifactorial issue and would also need to capture sufficient clinical detail e.g. not all mortality is a result of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing; blood cultures often signal positive after the patient has died plus were there risk factors for MRGN identified during primary assessment? This also sounds a very labour intensive requirement. Please be aware that many microbiology consultants are already having to collate a lot of information as a mandatory requirement for bodies such as PHE without any additional resources being identified and would struggle to add more to the pile. Not all departments have junior doctors to assist with this sort of responsibility.	Exclude: needs modifying. Please specify whether all bacteraemias or not and give appropriate consideration to format and additional resources required, particularly if this were to become a mandatory requirement, to support business cases within local Trusts.	Accept point on consultant time and specifically added but priority of required action and information on Gram- negative bacteraemias is high. Extensive bacteraemia information added and advice taken from BIA.

Full	82	2194	Would recommend that 1) the term "standby	Exclude: Needs	Clarified
			antibiotics" is explained and 2) that advice is	modification.	
			given on how a clinician, bearing in mind this		
			is often a GP, would decide which antibiotic		
			would be appropriate as a "standby" option.		
Full	90	2246	There is a superscript $\beta$ in the flowchart, but	Exclude: Needs reviewing	Dealt with
			it does not appear to refer to anything		
Full	90	2252	There is a comment marked ¥, but this	Exclude: needs reviewing	Dealt with
			symbol does not appear in the flowchart.		
Full	General		MRGNs are an increasing problem for us but		We are also concerned
			we are not yet seeing many MRGN		about the potneital
			bacteraemias and CPEs remain very rare		conflict between
			locally. The management of sepsis necessarily		antibiotic-use reduction
			requires empirical broad-spectrum antibiotic		targets and potential
			treatment before we have positive		mortality in bacteraemia
			microbiology but we are not yet at the stage		which has similar 30 day
			where our local guidance advises empirical		mortality to C.difficile.
			cover for MRGNs unless there are risk factors		Document extensively
			for this. We are concerned that the recent		revised and your general
			CQUIN – re: reduction in antibiotic		points incorporated.
			consumption which is particularly targeting		Thank you
			piperacillin-tazobactam and carbapenems		
			seems to be at odds with the empirical		
			management of sepsis and if our Trust has		
			any hope of achieving this target (which		
			incidentally uses historic baseline data from a		
			time when MRGNs were far less prevalent)		
			then we would need to be moving empirical		
			therapy back to cephalosorins and quinolones		
			for example. We are reluctant to do this from		
			a C. difficile perspective and from driving		
			resistance mechanisms yet further. We		
			appreciate that this document is not directly		
			related to the CQUIN and that we are venting		
			our frustration but it would be helpful if BSAC		
			could issue a position statement or guidance		
			on this CQUIN and outline the best approach		
			for microbiologists to a) do the right thing in		
			terms of empirical therapy for the septic		
			patient, particularly if there is a MRGN risk		
			plus b) reduce the risk of promoting antibiotic		
			resistance <u>plus</u> c) meet contractual		
			obligations. I know we are not the only Trust		

1			
		that is exasperated by the specifics within this	
		DH requirement which seems to totally	
		disregard all the improvements made in	
		recent years with regard to C. difficile and	
		antibiotic stewardship.	
Full	General	The document discusses using antibiotics	In practice we now
		such as temocillin, tigecycline, colisitin and	consider that molecular
		fosfomycin. EUCAST does not provide	methodology is needed for
		guidance on interpretation of temocillin	colistin susceptibility
		susceptibility either by disk or MIC.	testing and MICs for
		Tigecycline needs to be tested via MIC for	meropenem with MDR
		anything other than E coli. Fosfomycin &	GNB and this has been
		colistin need to be tested by MIC. These	added. To track the fast
		requirements reduce the turnaround times	changing situation we
		for results. In addition, the turnaround times	have now recommended
		for CPE resistance mechanisms/additional	that i) mandatory
		sensitivities do not help support optimum	reporting of carbapenem
		patient management. Could PHE Colindale	resistant isolates is
		publish its testing methods/MIC	introduced ii) isolates are
		interpretations to enable local testing rather	dealt with expeditiously
		than sending isolates to them? Is there a way	for patient benefit and iii)
		to expedite EUCAST guidance on temocillin	isolates referred where
		interpretations? Can BSAC offer	testing is beyond the
		recommendations to support local business	scope of local laboratories.
		cases for introducing technology that enables	
		faster identification of e.g. CPEs in house as	
		opposed to relying on reference laboratories?	

Bi Joint Working Part	ritish Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy y Paper on Multi resistant Gram-negative Infection: Treatment
	Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016
• Please use this form for sub	mitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM
• Please put each comment i	n a separate row
• Type directly onto the form	. Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost
Only comments received or	n the attached form will be considered.
How to respond: Please complete <u>17 June 2016</u> . Comments received	this BSAC response form and submit by email to <u>fdrummond@bsac.org.uk</u> no later than <u>Friday</u> I after the deadline will not be accepted.
name	Egidia Miftode
Organisation Address & Postcode	Hospital of Infectious Diseases Iasi Str O Botez no 2, code 700274, Iasi Romania
Email	emiftode@yahoo.co.uk
Phone number	+40744118866
Conflict(s) of Interest	none

Document	Page Number	Line Number	Comments	Changes:	
Indicate if you are referring to the <b>Full</b> version or the <b>Appendices</b>	Number only ( <u>do</u> <u>not write the</u> <u>word 'page/pg'</u> ). Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	Number only ( <u>do not write</u> <u>the word</u> <u>'line'</u> ). See example in cell below	Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
EXAMPLE: Full	16	45	Our comments are as follows	Exclude: Reason	WP response
Full	56	1515	Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase- producing		Dealt with
full	47	1292	compared		Dealt with

	British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Joint Working Party Paper on Multi resistant Gram-negative Infection: Treatment Consultation deadline: Friday 17 June 2016
•	Please use this form for submitting your comments to BSAC. COMMENTS WILL ONLY BE ACCEPTED ON THIS FORM
•	Please put each comment in a separate row
•	Type directly onto the form. Do not paste other tables or figures as they may get lost
•	Only comments received on the attached form will be considered.
Но <u>17</u>	ow to respond: Please complete this BSAC response form and submit by email to <u>fdrummond@bsac.org.uk</u> no later than <u>Friday</u> June 2016. Comments received after the deadline will not be accepted.

Name		Neil Woodford			
Organisation Address & Postcode		Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit (AMRHAI) Public Health England 61 Colindale Avenue London, NW9 5EQ			
Email		Neil.Woodfor			
Phone numb	er	Tel. +44 (0)20 8	327 7255		
Conflict(s) of Interest					
Document	Page Number	Line Number	Comments	Changes:	WP Response
Indicate if you are referring to the <b>Full</b> version or the <b>Appendices</b>	Number only ( <u>do</u> <u>not write the</u> <u>word 'page/pg'</u> ). Alternatively write <u>'general'</u> if your comment relates to the whole document	Number only ( <u>do not write</u> <u>the word</u> <u>'line'</u> ). See example in cell below	Please insert each comment on a separate row. Please do not paste other tables into this table, as your comments could get lost – type directly into this table.	Mark as "Exclude" OR "Include" (and reason for change or no change)	
Full	Many	Many	Group the urinary tract infection summaries	Include	Sections extensively re-
Full	Many	Many	The referencing seems to be sporadic, with some areas very well referenced and others less so or not at all. A consistent approach throughout would be beneficial e.g. more references for UK statements in Pages 624- 634	Include	Re-referenced and numerous references added
Full	Many	Many	Quite a lot of sections do not have an added line break following a new paragraph	Include	Line breaks removed for JAC
Full	Many	Many	After evidence and recommendations sometimes there are bullet points and other times not – consistency would be good	Include	Consistent approach adopted
Full	5	163	Infection also happens through bacteria gaining access to organs or bloodstream from internal sources e.g. gut translocation	Include	Evidence for translocation in absence of local infection is poor

Full	11	322	Extra space between 'tazobactam' and	Exclude	Typos dealt with
			'should'		
Full	13	370	Full stop required after 'resistance'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	13	381	Extra space between 'of' and 'new'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	13	388	Extra comma between 'the' and 'community'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	14	404	Full stop required after 'incontinence'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	15	423	Extra u in 'uin'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	17	471	Extra space between 'treatment' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	17	483	Full stop required after '(Table 2)'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	2	131	No Appendix 5 listed	Include	Appendices renumbered and referred to in text
Full	18	505	Extra comma required between 'required' and 'notably'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	18	505	Extra comma between '.' and 'There'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	23	643	Extra space required between '2009,' and 'and'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	25	685	Et al should be italicised	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	25	694	Extra space between '5%' and ')'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	26	702	Extra space between 'imported' and 'to'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	26	733	Extra space required between 'compare,' and '('	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	30	817	Extra space between 'the' and 'study'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	30	819	Extra space between 'MICs' and 'to'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	32	879	Extra space between 'bactam' and 'is'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	33	917	Extra full stop after 'ceftazidime' and'.'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	35	960	Extra space between 'isolates' and 'of'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	35	967	Extra comma between 'result' and '(Hyle)'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	35	973	Extra space between 'did' and 'not'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	37	1024	Extra space between 'responded' and '.'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	38	1044	Extra space required between 'Eve' and 'in'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	39	1066	Extra space between 'lactamases' and '(NDM'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	39	1078	Extra space between 'trials' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	40	1097	Extra space between 'aeruginosa' and 'with'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	42	1140	Extra space between 'bactam' and 'should'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	43	1184	Extra space between 'period' and '(Huttner'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	44	1211	Extra space required between 'toxicity' and '(Kelesidis'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	46	1246	Extra space between 'quinolones' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	46	1255	Extra space between 'used' and 'to'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	47	1276	Extra space between 'most' and 'Enterobacteriaceae'	Exclude	Typos dealt with

Full	48	1309	Extra space between 'Tumbarello' and 'et al'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	49	1345	Extra space between 'activity' and ':'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	50	1370	Extra space between 'gentamicin' and '('	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	56	1518	Should 'except rifampicin' be included in the recommendation for combination therapy with colistin	Include	Considered but dealt with in text
Full	56-57	1539-1543	Is this truly accurate of UK practice. Internal work at St Thomas' Hospital several years ago highlighted much higher resistance rates than this.	Include	Agree. Modified with additional references
Full	60	1624	Extra space between 'GI' and 'effects'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	60	1630	Extra space between 'factors' and 'that'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	56-63	N/A	Should there be a section on the use of sterilising agents or the use of NSAIDs in uncomplicated UTIs	Include	Probably not as emphasis is primarily on serious infection
Full	64	1738	Extra space between 'cure' and 'Brayfield'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	67	1824	Extra space between 'or' and 'carbapenem'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	67	1826	Extra space between 'situations' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	68	1852	Extra space between 'appropriate' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	68	1857	Extra space between 'institutions' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	69	1862	Extra space between 'and' and 'accounts'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	70	1890	Extra space between 'One' and 'controlled'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	70	1892	Extra space between 'most' and 'studies'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	70	1898	Extra space between 'trials' and ','	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	71	1917	Extra space between 'few' and 'studies'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	75	2011	Extra space between 'of' and 'new'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	76	2053	Extra space between '%' and 'absolute'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	78	2088	Extra space required between ')' and 'in'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	78	2107	Extra space required between 'bacteriuria', which also needs an I removed, and 'in'	Include/Exclude/Respell	Typos dealt with
Full	78	2110	Extra space between 'of' and 'colonisation'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	80	2135	Extra space between 'resistance' and '.'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	80	2147	Extra space between 'resistance' and '.'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	80	2148	Extra space between 'on' and 'consensus'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	80	2147	Full stop needed after 'i'	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	81	2167	Extra space between 'infection' and 'but'	Exclude	Typos dealt with
Full	81-83	N/A	Should there again be a section on the use of sterilising agents or the use of NSAIDs in uncomplicated UTIs	Include	See previous response
Full	84	2217	Extra space required between 'studies' and '(SIGN'	Include	Typos dealt with

Full	85	2224	Extra space required between 'grading' and '(SIGN', which is also superscripted unnecessarily	Include	Typos dealt with
Full	85	2225	Table sometimes has full stop and at other times does not	Include	Hopefully dealt with