## **A**NALYSIS OF THE HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE IN THE MAIN BACTERAEMIA-CAUSING ORGANISMS AT **MFT**



<u>Côrte, L.</u>, Taylor, M., and M. Cullen

Manchester NHS Foundation Trust | Wythenshawe Hospital Southmoor Rd, Wythenshawe, Manchester M23 9LT, UK. Contact: mairi.cullen@mft.nhs.uk



## BACKGROUND

Illness associated with bacteraemia ranges from self-limiting infection to life threatening sepsis that requires rapid and aggressive antimicrobial treatment. The selection of the appropriate antimicrobial treatment is complicated by the increase in antibiotic resistance worldwide (reviewed in ref. 1) and by the time-consuming identification of the pathogen and its resistances via blood cultures (2). Thus, analysis of local trends in antibiotic resistance in bacteraemia aids prescribing and infection control policy making (3).

Sepsis is a systemic, deleterious host response to infection that can lead to severe sepsis (acute organ dysfunction secondary to documented or suspected infection) and septic shock (severe sepsis plus hypotension not reversed with fluid resuscitation). Severe sepsis and septic shock are major healthcare problems, affecting millions of individuals around the world each year (reviewed in ref. 4) and are among the main causes of death regarding hospitalised patients (5). In severe sepsis, early administration of appropriate broad-spectrum antibiotics led to reduced mortality, emphasising that prompt and adequate antimicrobial therapy is the cornerstone in sepsis treatment (5, 6).

## HYPOTHESIS

The objective of this study was to identify local trends in antibiotic resistance to aid prescribing and infection control policy-making.

This is of particular importance regarding sepsis of unknown source, since blood cultures can take longer to grow and reveal antibiotic sensitivities than the time available for sepsis patients to react to appropriate therapy, emphasising the need to get the best treatment as soon as possible at first try and, therefore, the need for historical data.

Our rationale is that knowledge of which antibiotics are more likely to work given the historical evolution of resistance for the key bacteraemia-causing species in this Trust will greatly improve the outcomes of present-day patients.

### METHODS

We reviewed trust wide bacteraemia and antimicrobial sensitivity data between 2013 and 2017, broken down regarding organism/organism type, including carbapenemase-producing enterobacteriaceae (CPE) as Manchester has been reported as an area with high prevalence of healthcare-associated infections caused by these pathogens; main organisms were selected (7, 8).

The MicroGuide<sup>TM</sup> app was used to obtain current antimicrobial recommendations for this Trust. Antimicrobials examined: co-amoxiclav, amikacin, amoxicillin, azithromycin, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, co-trimoxazole, cefotaxime, cefuroxime, ertapenem, cefepime, cefoxitin, gentamicin, meropenem, temocillin, tigecycline, tobramycin, trimethoprim, piperacillin+tazobactam.

We considered as contaminants all *Micrococcus* spp. and, if not line- or device-associated, coagulase-negative staphylococci, propionibacteria, *Bacillus* spp. and diphtherioids. Duplicates were discarded to avoid over-representation of the same case (same patient from the same location growing the same organism within 14 days).

Data analysis was performed with the appropriate SQL queries.

## RESULTS

A

### E. coli is the most common organism in significant positive blood cultures

	20	13	201	4	201	5	2016		2017	
Organism	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Escherichia coli	220	26.4	236	22.3	253	24.6	273	27.3	248	24.9
Staphylococcus aureus	81	9.7	101	9.5	102	9.9	101	10.1	120	12.1
MRSA	6	0.7	3	0.3	5	0.5	12	1.2	6	0.6
Klebsiella spp.	62	7.5	88	8.3	64	6.2	71	7.1	47	4.7
Streptococcus pneumoniae	29	3.5	28	2.6	27	2.6	31	3.1	28	2.8
Enterococcus spp.	59	7.1	85	8.0	66	6.4	52	5.2	51	5.1
VRE	14	1.7	19	1.8	10	1.0	15	1.5	7	0.7
Pseudomonas spp.	18	2.2	62	5.8	21	2.0	27	2.7	33	3.3
Other streptococci	94	11.3	98	9.2	124	12.0	101	10.1	119	12.0
Coagulase-negative staph.	98	11.8	120	11.3	133	12.9	94	9.4	135	13.6
Proteus spp.	10	1.2	22	2.1	25	2.4	14	1.4	25	2.5
Enterobacter spp.	14	1.7	26	2.5	15	1.5	19	1.9	30	3.0
Serratia con	7	0.8	10	0 0	13	13	7	07	12	1 2



# Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the main source of *E. coli* bacteraemias



Serratia spp.	7	0.8	10	0.9	13	1.3	7 0.7	12	1.2
Anaerobes	15	1.8	23	2.2	23	2.2	<i>25</i> 2.5	13	1.3
Others	72	8.7	93	8.8	95	9.2	<i>104</i> 10.4	75	7.5
Fungi	33	4.0	46	4.3	54	5.2	<i>53</i> 5.3	46	4.6
Total	832	100	1060	100	1030	100	999 100	995	100

Contaminants										
Coagulase-negative staph.	311	75.3	300	80.6	306	82.9	318	81.1	355	84.1
Diphtheroids	54	13.1	28	7.5	23	6.2	18	4.6	17	4.0
Propionibacteria	23	5.6	18	4.8	23	6.2	29	7.4	27	6.4
Micrococcus spp.	22	5.3	22	5.9	10	2.7	22	5.6	14	3.3
<i>Bacillus</i> spp.	3	0.7	4	1.1	7	1.9	5	1.3	9	2.1
Total	413	100	372	100	369	100	392	100	422	100

			sepsis	Onest	Onknow			
R					C	ountCui	mulative %	
_		Posit	ive Ent	erococa	BC&	Enterococal	BC&U % relative	BC&U % relative
	Year	BC	S	BCs	<b>U</b> *	BCs %	to enterococal BCs	s to positive BCs
_	2017	824	1	45	1	5.5%	2.2%	0.1%
	2016	850	)	45	3	5.3%	6.7%	0.4%
	2015	844	1	53	1	6.3%	1.9%	0.1%
	2014	854	1	74	1	8.7%	1.4%	0.1%
_								

Fig. 2. (A) Pareto chart with sources of *E. coli* bacteraemia in 2017; Biliary is grouped with intra-abdominal sepsis; community-acquired pneumonia with 'chest'; post-partum with 'obstetrics'. Mets, metastatic cancer; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection. (B) The role of enterococci in urosepsis appears negligible. Enterococci in significant blood cultures vs urines, without duplicates. \*Count of patients with an enterococcal blood culture and urine sample within a day of collection of the former.

Fig. 1. The main species responsible for bacteraemia remained globally unaltered throughout the years analysed, with *Escherichia coli* being the main contributor (22.3-27.3% of total significant isolates between 2013-2017). (A) Absolute numbers and percentages are indicated and contaminants are included below. (B) Bar chart with percentage data from Fig. 1A.

#### **Rise in carbapenemase-producing and ESBL/AmpC Gram-negatives**

	2015					2016					2017				
			CPE	ESBL	./AmpC			СРЕ	ESBL	./AmpC		(	СРЕ	ESBL	./AmpC
	Total	Ν	%	Ν	%	Total	Ν	%	Ν	%	Total	Ν	%	Ν	%
Escherichia coli	232	1	0.4%	27	11.6%	267		0.0%	24	9.0%	231	4	1.7%	32	13.9%
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	54	2	3.7%	1	1.9%	59	3	5.1%	4	6.8%	43	4	9.3%	3	7.0%
Enterobacter spp.	13		0.0%	1	7.7%	16		0.0%	3	18.8%	17		0.0%	4	23.5%
Pseudomonas spp.	13		0.0%		0.0%	19		0.0%	0	0.0%	27		0.0%		0.0%
Proteus spp.	19		0.0%		0.0%	14		0.0%	0	0.0%	20		0.0%	1	5.0%
Serratia spp.	9	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	6		0.0%	0	0.0%	9		0.0%		0.0%
Citrobacter spp.	3		0.0%		0.0%	4		0.0%	0	0.0%	5		0.0%	1	20.0%
Morganella spp.	6		0.0%		0.0%	1		0.0%	0	0.0%	2		0.0%	1	50.0%
Providencia spp.	1	1	100.0%		0.0%	0		-		-	0		-		-
Salmonella spp.	2		0.0%		0.0%	7		0.0%	0	0.0%	1		0.0%		0.0%
Acinetobacter spp.	6		0.0%		0.0%	3	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1		0.0%		0.0%
Total	358	5	1.4%	31	8.7%	396	4	1.0%	31	7.8%	356	8	2.2%	42	11.8%

### With *E. coli*, addition of gentamicin can be beneficial



Fig. 3. Carbapenemase-producing Gram-negatives showed an increase of 60.1% between 2015 and 2017 whereas ESBL/AmpC increased 36.2% in the same time period.

Fig. 4. For *E. coli*, resistance to antimicrobials was mainly found to be stable or exhibit a slight increase. Combining gentamicin with other antibiotics is still beneficial in initial empirical treatment. The spike in AMC resistance in *E. coli* and other Gram-negatives was largely due to changes in sensitivity testing based on EUCAST recommendations. NT, non-tested; R, resistant; I, intermediate; S, sensitive.

## CONCLUSIONS

Our results provide clinicians with reassurance that current antimicrobial recommendations for empiric treatment of sepsis at our Trust are evidence-based and appropriate in the majority of cases. They support the continued inclusion of aminoglycosides for additional empiric cover in the acute management of septic patients at our hospital.

Performing periodic studies of the aetiology of bacteraemia as well as assessing antimicrobial resistance levels and the resistance mechanisms present in blood culture isolates is crucial. However, changes in laboratory practice must always be taken into account when interpreting resistance data in the clinical context.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Reacher, M. H., A. Shah, D. M. Livermore, M. C. J. Wale, C. Graham, A. P. Johnson, H. Heine, M. A. Monnickendam, K. F. Barker, D. James, and R. C. George. 2000. Bacteraemia and antibiotic resistance of its pathogens reported in England and Wales between 1990 and 1998: trend analysis. BMJ 320:213-216.
- 2. Paolucci, M., M. P. Landini, and V. Sambri. 2010. Conventional and molecular techniques for the early diagnosis of bacteraemia. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 36, Supplement 2:S6-S16.
- 3. NICE. 2011. Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections (Quality improvement guide), p. 1-43. NICE Public Health Guidance.
- 4. Dellinger, R. P., M. Levy, A. Rhodes, D. Annane, H. Gerlach, S. Opal, J. Sevransky, C. Sprung, I. Douglas, R. Jaeschke, T. Osborn, M. Nunnally, S. Townsend, K. Reinhart, R. Kleinpell, D. Angus, C. Deutschman, F. Machado, G. Rubenfeld, S. Webb, R. Beale, J.-L. Vincent, and R. Moreno. 2013. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock, 2012. Intensive Care Med 39:165-228.
- 5. Ferrer, R., A. Artigas, D. Suarez, E. Palencia, M. M. Levy, A. Arenzana, X. L. Pérez, and J.-M. Sirvent. 2009. Effectiveness of Treatments for Severe Sepsis. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care. Med. 180:861-866.
- 6. Garnacho-Montero, J., T. Aldabo-Pallas, C. Garnacho-Montero, A. Cayuela, R. Jiménez, S. Barroso, and C. Ortiz-Leyba. 2006. Timing of adequate antibiotic therapy is a greater determinant of outcome than are TNF and IL-10 polymorphisms in patients with sepsis. Crit Care 10:1-12.
- 7. Public Health England. 2013. Acute trust toolkit for the early detection, management and control of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae.
- Public Health England. 2014. UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations Laboratory Detection and Reporting of Bacteria with Carbapenem-Hydrolysing β-lactamases (Carbapenemases).